

[L. & M.]
[1,000-2-3-26.]

Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 157 L

DATED 22nd January 1896

[Despatch Abstract.]

Petition.

Informing Kuppanna Rao of Kumbakonam that no appeal lies to Government in the case of his dismissal from the post of chatram inspector.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

[illegible]

Local and Municipal Department.



PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ memorial dated

23rd December 1896 from Shuppanna Rao, late
 Chief Inspector, Tanjore.
 No. dated

ORDER— No. 157 dated 22-1-96

The petitioner is informed that under Local Fund rule No. ~~70~~⁷², which is extracted below,

no appeal lies to Government in his case.
no appeal at present lies to Government in his case.
his appeal is barred by lapse of time.

V. 8
 21/1/96

(True Extract.

Assistant Secretary

“72. Subject to the provisions of section 42 (3) of the Act, the President of a Local Board shall have power to fine, suspend or dismiss any servant of such Board. But an appeal shall lie to the President of the District Board in the case of all servants of Taluk Boards fined in sums exceeding one rupee, suspended, or dismissed by the President of the Taluk Board; an appeal shall also lie to the District Board in the case of all servants of the District Board, and of all servants of a Taluk Board on Rs 50 and upwards, against suspension or dismissal inflicted or confirmed by the President of the District Board; and a further appeal shall lie to the Governor in Council against dismissal in the case of all servants, whose appointment requires the sanction of Government.”

"73. No appeal under the foregoing rule will be entertained unless preferred within three months from the date of the order appealed against."

Is the petitioner ^{also} ✓

Sept 24/96

Haystack District

DEPARTMENT

105 1/2

From Kuppanna Rao

Chakrapani Swami

Kumbhakonam

No.

Dated 23.12.75.

Received 31.12.95

Regd 2-1-96
Enclosures nil

SUBJECT.

V.P.

Appealing against his dis-
missal from the post of
Chuttram Inspector.

The petition states as follows:-

The peti was Chutram Suspecting in the Kumbha Honam Sh. The Tahsil-dar of the Sh. suspended one Karsani-haiyan the Chutram Karsnam of Ruma-gaperam Vattam 1st. Thereupon also - condoned after committing certain faults. He was not found afterwards even though a warrant was issued for his arrest. The Dy. Coll. Taming Division held an enquiry into the above matter. Suspended the Tahsildar and trans-ferred the peti to Mannargudi. The Chutram Tahsildar thereupon inter-viewed the President of the D. Board and complained that the peti was not punished with suspension (without informing breaking a word about his transfer to Mannargudi). The peti thereupon was suspended ~~there~~ on 14.2.96. In the rearrangement of April last year the post of Suspe-ctor Mannargudi was abolished & the peti was from this fact left without employ. The peti after-wards received the Chutram Tahsil-dar's order No 1390d 15th July '96

Communications

[illegible][illegible]

Handwritten text in Malayalam script, likely a letter or official document, covering the left page of the spread.

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ 189.
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189.

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. -

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

Handwritten text in Malayalam script, continuing from the left page, covering the right page of the spread.