L. & M.
300-8-8-96.

Local & Munl. (1895) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 925 M

DATED 5th June 1895

[Despatch Abstract.]

Education.

Withdrawing, as a special case, the condition imposed on S. Narayanaswami Aiyar, Headmaster of the Municipal High school, Mayavaram, in G.O., dated 7th December 1891, No. 2165 M.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Clo 11	2570	Ed/95.					

No. 391

Municipal Office
Mayayaram.
~~3rd~~ 7 July 1894

From
M. R. Ry. T. A. ALAGA PILLAI AVERGAL RAO BAHADUR
Chairman, Municipal Council
MAYAVARAM.

To

THE Director of Public Instruction
Madras
through the Assistant Inspector
of Schools

Sir,

Referring to the letter of the Sub Assistant Inspector of Schools, Kumbakonam Range, forwarded to me with your Endorsement No 411, d/ the 4th May 1894, for any remarks I may wish to make with regard to the statements contained therein, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of the letter of the Headmaster explaining the matter at full length.

2. The Sub Assistant Inspector in his letter under reference (1) imputes the long delay of 4 months and 17 days to the Head Master, (2) speaks of certain "glaring inconsistencies"

12 I have also forwarded

shown in the work of each teacher, and
his strong suspicion of the correctness
of the entries regarding the subjects
taught by each teacher, and (3) of his
apprehension that work was shown
to one or two teachers in the higher
classes with a view to obtain higher
Government grants.

3. As regards the delay, the
Head Master says ~~that~~ there was none
on his part and the sub assistant
Inspector says that the report was
received in his office within a week
after the 23rd July 1893. According
to the latter officer's own admission
(vide para 6 of his letter) the delay,
if any on the part of the Headmaster
Could not have been more than
two weeks from the date of the ex-
-amination, viz 11th July 1893. There
Could be no motive on the part of
the Headmaster to delay the submission
of the report. In the face of the
denial of the delay by the Headmaster
and in the absence of Any ~~re~~ written
Communication on the part of the
Sub Assistant Inspector Calling for
the

the report, I do not think it safe to
throw the blame on the Headmaster
even for the delay of 15 days.

4. As regards the "glaring
inconsistencies" spoken of by the
Sub Assistant Inspector, I have
to observe that he has not pointed
out what they are and that in his
letter no. 2532/25-8-93 to the Acting Sub Asstt.
Inspector he only speaks of certain
omissions. Looking into the Inspection
Report, I find that the omission
to fill up certain Columns in Table
J, Could be only an accidental
mistake and not a material one,
since the entries originally made
in Table B. have ~~only~~ simply to be
transcribed in J. Hence there seems
to be no inconsistency. As to the sus-
-picion against the Head Master
regarding the correctness of the en-
-tries As to the subjects taught by
each teacher in each Form, it is
ill-grounded in my opinion.

5. As to the 3rd point, the ap-
-prehension of the Sub Assistant
Inspector

Inspector is unwarranted. For, Grant was applied for and drawn on behalf of the Headmaster and the 1st Assistant, only according to the rates already sanctioned by the Department. With regard to the other Graduate teacher in charge of the IV Form, no grant was applied for as he was not duly qualified to draw grants.

6. In conclusion I should think that the Sub Assistant Inspector is uncharitable in his insinuations against the Headmaster.

I have &c.

(Sd) F. A. Alagapillai
Chairman

(True copy)

T. Shotturundarama Aiyer
Chairman

From

THE CHAIRMAN
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL
MAYAVARAM.

To

THE Chief Secretary
to Government
Fort St George
Local & Mul. Departt.
Madras

UNDER SECRETARY
24 FEB 95
PUBLIC & ...

Dated 22-2-
Despatched 23-2- } 1895
Received

Enclosure to No. 155
22-2-95

ENCLOSURE. "

SUBJECT.

True Copy of the Chairman's letter no 391, offering remarks on the Sub Asst. Inspector's Communication in regard to the delay in the submission of the Tabular Inspection Report.

No. 392.

MUNICIPAL OFFICE
Mayavaram.
3d July 1894.

From
M. R. Ry. T. A. ALAGA PILLAI AVERGAL RAO BAHADUR
CHAIRMAN, MUNICIPAL COUNCIL
MAYAVARAM.

To

THE Inspector of schools
Southern Circle
through the Assistant Inspector
of Schools
S. Dn.

SIR,

In forwarding herewith the application of the Headmaster, Municipal High School, Mayavaram, on behalf of himself and the 1st Assistant requesting you to recommend to the Director of Public Instruction to exempt them from passing the L. T. Degree Examination, and to Confirm them in their appointments as Head Master & 1st Assistant, I have the honor to state that the circumstances set out in the letter are borne out by facts and both of them very well deserve the concession prayed for. In support of the request I should add that the present strength, the efficiency
condition

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No.

From

THE CHAIRMAN
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL
MAYAVARAM.

To

THE
Chief Secretary
to Government
Fort St George
Local & Munil. Depart.

Dated 22nd Feb
Despatched 23rd Feb } 1895
Received

UNDER SECRETARY
24 FEB 95
PUBLIC DEPT.

No.

ENCLOSURE. to no 155 / 22-2-95

SUBJECT.

True Copy of letter no 392 / 3-7-94 of the Chairman, recommending the Confirmation of S. Narayanasami Esqr B.A. & M. P. Krishnasawmy Esqr BA as the Headmaster and the 1st Assistant respectively of the Ml High School, Mayavaram

---

No. 155

MUNICIPAL OFFICE
Mayavaram.
22nd February 1895

From
T. Kothandarama Esqr
M. R. Ry. ~~T. A. ALAGA PILLAI~~ AVERGAL RAO BAHADUR
CHAIRMAN, MUNICIPAL COUNCIL
MAYAVARAM.

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 647 M

To

THE
Chief Secretary to Government.
Fort St. George.
Local and Munl. Depat.

SIR,

I have the honor to report that the Government, in its order no 2165-M. Mis., dated the 7th December 1891, appointed M.R.Ry. S. Narayanasami Esqr ab B.A. as the Headmaster, Municipal High School, Mayavaram, on the Condition of his passing the L.T. degree Examination before the Close of 1893.

2. In 1892 he obtained permission to appear for the examination. But as, in this year, there existed a powerful rival High School which weaned away half of the Strength of the High School, all his energy was -

was required for the actual reorganization of the School, and he had therefore to give up the idea of appearing for the examination. Then, in his own interest, and with a view to satisfy the condition imposed, he applied for permission to be sent for training. But the then Chairman found it impossible to spare his services at the time, and said he would consider the question next year, in 1893. Thus it will be seen that he was not unmindful of the order of Government, though prevented from appearing for the examination by circumstances unavoidable.

3. Finding it difficult to spare time to prepare for the examination, while discharging the onerous duties of a Headmaster, and seeing that he was not sent for training, he put in an application for exemption which was strongly backed up by my predecessor in his letter No 392 dated 3rd July 1894 (Copy enclosed), and submitted to the Director thro' the Assistant Inspector and the Inspector of Schools.

4. Mr Munro, the Acting Director of Public Instruction, in his Proceedings No. 72672 dated the 4th August 1894, and received in this office on the 10th January 1895, remarked that the question of Confirmation will be considered after the receipt of the Inspector's report on the working of the School, and on the results of the Matriculation Examination of 1894, of which, I shall speak later on in this report.

5. Dr Duncan, the Director of Public Instruction, in his Proceedings dated the 6th February 96, No 1176, says that, in the interest of the School, and in view of the

requirements of the Educational Rules, he is unable to recommend the Headmaster for exempting.—

6 Before urging the claims of the Headmaster for exemption, I beg to bring to the notice of Government that the recommendation of the Chairman was considered with two other communications,—one a complaint against the Headmaster by one, Siva Swami Sastry of this town, and the other touching the delay in the submission of the Inspection Report of 1893-94. As regards the complaint, it was at first preferred to the Chairman who found it frivolous. Not satisfied with his decision he addressed the Director on the subject, who acquits him (the Headmaster) of all serious irregularities, on the report of the Inspector of Schools, submitted after holding a local enquiry. I would here remark that the complaint is due to the existance of a party who smart under the feeling of defeat consequent on the collapse of the rival High School under their management, brought on mainly by the efforts of the Headmaster and his Assistants. Regarding the other communication, I beg to state that the Sub Assistant, when called upon by the Director to account for the delay of 4 months and seventeen days in the submission of the Tabular Inspection Report of this Institution for 1893-94, thr~~ow~~ew the blame upon the Headmaster and made certain insinuations against him. My predecessor enquired into the matter and his conclusion, as embodied in his letter No 391 dated the 3rd July 1894, (Copy enclosed) is, that if the Headmaster is to blame at all, he was to blame

for having detained the papers for only 14 days out of a delay of 4 months and seventeen days for which the Sub Assistant was called upon to submit an explanation. By going through the records, I have also formed the same opinion.

7 As stated already in para 4, Mr. Munro remarked that the question of Confirmation would be considered on the receipt of the Tabular Inspection Report and on the results of the Matriculation Examination 94. In the Tabular Inspection Report, the Inspector remarks – (i) "The Staff is strong and well qualified" (ii) "The result of the examination was good", (iii) "The rise in strength showed that the School was certainly received with favor by the people in the town", (iv) "The drilling and gymnastics very good indeed", (v) "That some of the feats in the horizontal bar were such as he had seldom seen attempted in other Schools," (vi) "I was very favorably impressed indeed with the School. The results at Examination were very fair, the pupils all neatly and cleanly dressed, the discipline perfect."

8 Upon this, the Municipal Council in its meeting held on the 31st October last passed the following resolution – "The report is highly complimentary, as regards the finance, efficiency, strength, progress in education and physical exercise, perfect discipline, etc."

9 In the Matriculation examination held in December last, out of 22 boys sent up, 7 boys passed with 1 in the first class. This may be deemed as fairly satisfactory considering the low percentage of success obtained in most of the Schools

in the Presidency. A Scrutiny of the failure list shows that 8 boys failed in Science alone and 6 others in Science and other subjects. But for this weakness in this Special subject – a weakness for which the Headmaster is not primarily responsible, as he did not handle the subject – the result would have been far more Creditable. The results of the Matriculation examination in previous years have also been highly Creditable as will be seen from the figures marginally noted. Thus it will be seen that the interests of the School have not in the least suffered under the management of S. Narayanasami Iyr, the present Headmaster.

year	no sent up	no passed
1888-89.	28.	11
1889-90.	26.	15
1890-91.	25.	11
1891.92.	24.	14
1892.93.	15.	8
1893.94.	21.	6.

10 Dr Duncan says that, in the interests of the School and in view of the requirements of Educational Rules, he is unable to recommend the Headmaster for exemption. I beg to represent that the Institution does not suffer financially, as the Headmaster is at present eligible for a fourth grant and will be eligible only for the same rate of grant even if he passes the L.T. As regards the requirements of the Educational Rules, Art 2 of Sec. 2, prescribes any one of the 4 qualifications for the Headmaster's place and the present Headmaster S. Narayanasami Iyr, possesses two of them, as he counts about 14 years approved service and holds an untrained teachers' Certificate having already passed the Method Examination.

11. All these facts were represented to the Assistant Inspector and the Inspector of Schools. These officers, ~~it appt.~~ who have had opportunities of judging the ability and Capacity of the Headmaster have strongly recommended him for exemption.

12 I have also forwarded an

Copy of this letter to the Director of Public Instruction with a request to reconsider his decision and recommend the Headmaster for Confirmation.

13 I, therefore, request that the Government will be pleased to take into Consideration, the long and approved Service of S. Narayanasami Iyr B.A. the present Headmaster, his being doubly qualified, the Satisfactory results in the Matriculation examinations since he was placed at the Head of the Institution, the highly Complimentary remarks of the Inspector as to the Efficiency, progress, Strength, discipline of the Institution and Confirm him

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Sert.
T. Muttusamiam Aiyar
Chairman

Municipal Office
Mayavaram
22nd February 95

Local & Municipal Dept.

R. CURRENT No. 647/M

253 M 16-2-95
2165 M 7-12-91

From

THE CHAIRMAN
Municipal Council
MAYAVARAM.

To

THE
Chief Secretary
to Government
Fort St. George,
Local & Mun! Depart.

Dated 22nd February
Despatched 23rd Feb
Received
1895.

UNDER SECRETARY
24 FEB 95
PUBLIC ETC DEPTS.

No. 155

Enclosures two.

SUBJECT.

Recommending the Confirmation of M. R. Ry. S. Narayanaswami Aiyar B.A., as the Headmaster, Ml. High School, Mayavaram

---

Proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction.

Reference.—

Dated 4th August 1894.
No. 7267/2.

Officer.—

No.—

Date —

Read Endorsement of the Inspector of Schools, Southern Circle, No. 12, dated 27-7-94, submitting the Assistant Inspector's report on a complaint by a resident of Mayavaram against the Headmaster of the Municipal High School, Mayavaram.

The question of confirming the Headmaster will be deferred until the results of the next Matriculation Examination are published and until the receipt of the Tabular Inspection report on the School. In the meanwhile, the Headmaster should appear for the L. T. degree.

(Sd.) A. Monro
Ag. Director of Pub. Instn.
(True copy)

V. T. [illegible]
Ag. First Assistant to the
Director of Public Instruction

To
The
Inspector of Schools
S. C.

Copy to the Chairman Mul. Council Mayavaram } for information

Ed. Cor. 1 (a) 5,000—31-8-94.

Rno 17 of 95

From

THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
Madras,

To

THE INSPECTOR OF ~~~~ SCHOOLS,
T. Circle and others.

No.

Dated
Despatched
Received

DESPATCHED
8 JAN 95

ENCLOSURE.

Subject.

Passing orders with refce to
a complaint preferred against
the Hdmaster of the Mayavam
High School

789 M of 95

8th March 1895.

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 789 M

From

S. Narayanasawmy aiyar avl B.A.
Head Master
Municipal High School
Mayavaram

To

The chief Secretary to the Government
Local & Municipal Dept.
Fort St. George

Sir,

I most respectfully beg to submit the following for the favourable consideration of His Excellency the Governor in Council.

I have had an approved service of 14 years as a teacher and Headmaster of the Municipal High School, Mayavaram. I hold an untrained teacher's Certificate. From 1888 to 1891, I acted as the Headmaster of the Institution; and when in 1891, the place fell permanently vacant, I was recommended by the Chairman for the Headmastership in consideration of my long experience & the good results I had produced. The Govt. sanctioned my appointment, subject to my passing the L. T. Degree Examination, before the close of 1893.

The onerous duties as the head of this Institution, the existence of a powerful rival high School in the place, which weaned away half the strength of the School, and the task of preparing a large class for a difficult University Examination took up all my time and energy, and I had, therefore, no time to prepare for the L. T. Degree. I represented my difficulties to the Chairman, and requested him to send me for training, as that would raise my status, & afford facilities to take the Degree. In the peculiar circumstances of the School, he was not able to spare my services, and wrote to the Director for exempting me from from passing the examination.

Mr Munro, the acting Director of Public Instruction, in his proceedings dated the 4th August 94, No 72672, concerning the question of exemption, stated as follows:-

"The question of confirming the Headmaster will be deferred until the results of the next Matriculation Examination are published & until the receipt of the Tabular Inspection report on the School. In the meantime, the Headmaster should appear for the L. T. degree." (the proceedings is herewith submitted)

I am sorry that this Proceedings though dated 4th August 1894, was despatched only on the 8th January 1895, long after the time of application for the L. T. Degree was over.

Again, the Tabular Inspection Report was highly favourable as may be seen from the following extracts :—

1) 'I was very favourably impressed indeed with the School. The result at Examination were very fair.... ..... The discipline perfect'

2) 'The Staff is strong & well—qualified'.

3) 'The work of the teachers during the year as the result of the examination recorded in Table (g) will show, was good'.

4) 'The General result of the examination was very fair, approaching to good'

5) 'It is very satisfactory to note that the attendance has again increased.... ... This shows that the school is certainly viewed with favour by the people of this station.

6) 'I found the drilling & Gymnastics very good indeed. Some of the feat on the Horizontal bar were such as I have seldom seen attempted in other Schools.

The results of the Matriculation Exn was also satisfactory as 7 passed out of 22 boys sent up, with 1 in the 1st class. A perusal of the failure list shows that 18 boys out of 22, passed in English, & only one failed in General Knowledge, these being the subjects I handled during the year. Out of the 15 failures, 14 failed in Science, & 8 in Science alone, for which failure, I am not to be held directly responsible (as the Subject was handled by the Science assistant), & but for which, the result of the examination would have been far better.

As I thus satisfied both the conditions on which Mr Munro promised to consider the question of my exemption, I was expecting a favourable order.

Dr Duncan apparently without referring to the order quoted above, stated as follows in his proceedings dated 5th Feb 1895, No 1176—

'In the interests of the School &

School, & in view of the requirements of the Educational Rules, the Director is unable to recommend that exemption from the Condition imposed by Government be granted in this Case."

Against this order, I most respectfully beg to appeal to His Excellency the Governor in Council & mostly humbly request that I may be Confirmed in my appointment & be given the usual annual increments to which the Chairman has recommended on behalf of myself as well as the other teachers, for the following reasons:—

(1) The Condition was imposed in 1891 before the Madras Educational Rules Came into force. These rules prescribe only one of the four Conditions & I fulfil two of them, having had 14 years' approved service, & holding an untrained Teachers' Certificate.

(2) The interest of the School will not suffer, as I am at present eligible for a fourth grant from Provincial Funds, & shall draw the same Grant even after passing the L. T. Degree.

(3) The Condition was imposed when an untrained L. T. was eligible for a third Grant; but now he is eligible only for a fourth Grant.

(4) The Inspector of Schools & the Assistant Inspector who have had opportunities of judging my Capacity have strongly recommended me for exemption.

(5) The result of the Matriculation examination since 1888 when I became the Headmaster have been Satisfactory as seen below.

Year	No. Sent up	No. passed	Percentage of Pass.	Remarks
1888	23	11	48	..
1889	26	15	58	5 in the 1st class.
1890	25	11	44	..
1891	24	14	58	1 in the 1st class.
1892	15	8	53	..
1893	21	6	28.5	..
1894	22	7	31	1 in the 1st class

I therefore pray that, in Consideration of my long Service, the technical qualifications I at present possess & the Creditable results I have produced, I may be exempted from passing the L. T. Degree examination, & that I be given the usual annual increments & be Confirmed as the Head master of the Institution in which I have toiled hard for the past 14 years.

CHIEF SECRETARY
-8 MAR. 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

In Conclusion, I beg to state that I have submitted a Copy of this letter through the proper channel.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
S Narayanasami Aiyar
Head master

[9]

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Local & Municipal Dept

CURRENT No. 789 M

From

S. Narayanaswami Aiyar B.A.
Headmaster, Municipal High
School, Mayavaram

No.

Dated 8-3-95

Received 9-3-95

18 MAR 95

Enclosures /

SUBJECT.

Praying that he may be exempted from passing the L.T. Degree examination, &c.

1 Encls. to D.P.I's letter No. 3647/95

C No 647 M/95

R No. 3804/95

C Nos 647 + 789 M

No. 424 dy 16-3-95

Referred to the Director of Public Instruction for remarks.

18 MAR 95

(By order)

15/3/95

W. Francis

Ag. Assistant Secretary

V.R.
11/3/95

Fort St Geo.
13th March 1895 }

To,

The Director of Public Instruction,

(with endorsement) 9/3. A.G.3.

Proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction.

REFERENCE.—

Dated 5th February 1895.

No. 1176.

Officer.—

No.—

Date.—

Read again endorsement of the Inspector of Schools, Southern circle, No. 12, dated, 27 July 1894.

Read also endorsements of the same officer, nos. 13 and 14, dated, 27th July 1894.

In its order, No. 2165 M mis, dated, 7th December 1891, Government was pleased to appoint M.R.Ry. S. Narayanaswami Aiyar B.A. as Headmaster and N. P. Krishnaswami Aiyar B.A. as First Assistant of the Municipal High School, Mayavaram, on condition that they pass the L.T. degree Examination before the close of 1893. The latter has since passed the theoretical portion of the examination but the former has apparently made no endeavour to fulfil the condition on which he was appointed,

To

The

Ed. Cor.
1 (c) 5,000—16-1-95

From
THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
Madras,

To
THE INSPEC OF SCHOOLS,
Circle, and others.

No.

Dated
Despatched
Received

ENCLOSURE.

Subject.

alleging that his heavy duties do not permit of his studying for the L.T. degree Examination. In the interests of the school and in view of the requirements of the educational rules the Director is unable to recommend that exemption from the condition imposed by Government be granted in this case. If necessary, the Council may grant the Headmaster leave to qualify for the L.T. diploma. There should be no difficulty in a really successful teacher passing the examination after six months' preparation.

2. From a perusal of the Assistant Inspector's report on the subject of the complaints preferred by one Sivaswami Sastri against the Headmaster of the Municipal High School, the Director is on the whole inclined to acquit the Headmaster of any serious irregularities in the administration of the school. He should, however, have exercised greater discretion in the presentation of pupils

of

of the Third Form for the Lower Secondary Examination and he should have kept his records properly. Office copies of Inspection Reports, time-tables, and other important papers should invariably be kept for occasional reference and for production before the Inspecting officers when required.

3. The Director is not satisfied with the explanation furnished by the Headmaster in his letter, No. 90, dated 19th May 1894. In para 3 he reports that 'to the best of his knowledge' he sent in the report to the Sub: Assistant Inspector immediately after inspection. This would imply that there was no record to show the exact date on which the report was submitted. In connection with the information which the Sub: Assistant asked him to furnish on certain items in the report, the Headmaster writes that he could not give it without the report for reference. He ought to have kept an office copy of the report and should not expect

the Inspecting officers of the Department to return the report when explanation is wanted on certain items in it. Again, the Headmaster admits having omitted to give certain particulars in the report filled and sent by him, and he objects to the expression 'glaring inconsistencies' used by the Sub: Assistant Inspector. As the Assistant Inspector has observed, the Headmaster did not keep copies of the several time-tables framed by him in the course of the year, and this apparently explains his failure to fill in table (J). Though he would exonerate the Headmaster from an intentional omission, the Director cannot help thinking that he failed to keep his records properly. The Municipal Chairman, as the recognized manager of the school, will be requested to look into these matters himself in future or to appoint a sub: Committee for the supervision of educational work in the Municipality.

× ×

(sd) D. Duncan

Director of Public Instruction

(True copy)

D Duncan

Director of Public Instn

4/2 Encl. to D.P.I.'s letter no. 3647/95 ×

1110 M of 95

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF
PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
Madras, 25 March 1895

No. 3647.

1110 M

From

THE HONORABLE MR. D. DUNCAN, M.A., D.Sc.,
DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
Madras,

To

THE HONORABLE THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
FORT ST. GEORGE.

SIR,

I have the honour to return Government Endorsement, No. 424, dated, 16th instant, and to state that I still adhere to the opinion expressed by me in my letter to Government, No. 1158, dated, 4th February last that M. R. Ry. S. Narayanaswami aiyar, the present Headmaster of the Municipal High school, Mayavaram, should not be exempted from passing the L. T. degree Examination, a condition which was recommended in the interests of the school by Mr. Grigg and approved in G. O. No. 2165. M. mis. dated, 7th December 1891. A copy of the Chairman's letter to Government, dated, 22nd February last, No. 155, requesting a reconsideration of the above order, was forwarded to me by the Chairman and

Dr Duncan himself.

Ed. Gr. 15 (b) 1,500—17-11-94.

and I informed him that I saw no reason to alter my views on the point. One of the reasons urged by the Chairman for granting the exemption is that the school will not in any way be financially benefited by the Headmaster qualifying for the L. T. degree. This is, no doubt, true, as, even after passing the L. T. degree Examination, he would be eligible only for a fourth grant. But it does not follow from this that the school would not be benefited in other respects by the knowledge and insight which the Headmaster would acquire in the course of the reading he would require to go through in his preparation for the L. T. degree Examination. If such a course of reading did not make a Headmaster a better Headmaster, it would be utterly useless for the University to hold such an examination and equally useless for the Department to recognize the L. T. degree as a professional qualification. The L. T. degree is either of value or not of value. If it is of no value, the sooner it is expunged from the regulations of the Department, the better. If it is of value the Headmaster of the Mayaveram Municipal High School ought to be a better headmaster after he has gone through the course and obtained the degree. The condition recommended by Mr. Grigg and approved by Government was by

no

no means an unreasonable one. That there is no great difficulty in fulfilling it is proved by the fact that every year teachers in circumstances not very dissimilar from that of the petitione pass the examination. As far as I am aware the petitioner has made no serious attempt to satisfy the condition, but has trusted to getting it waived in due course.

2. By way of showing to Government that M. R. Ry. S. Narayanaswami Aiyar has still something to learn regardin the management of a school, I beg to enclose copy of my Proceedings, No. 1176, dated, 5th February 1895.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
D Duncan
Director of Public Instruction

Local ... ...ipal Dept.
PR. CURRENT 1110 M

Budget for 95-96
253 M 16-2-95
2165 M 7-12-91
416 M 31-3-94

From
The Director of Public Instruction
Madras.

To
The Hon'ble the chief secretary to Government
Fort St George.

DESPATCHED
26 MAR 1895

No 3647
Dated 25th March 1895
Despatched 26th do
CHIEF SECRETARY
27 MAR 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
Enclosures 2
647 ...

Returning with remarks Govt Endorsement No. 424. dy 16-3-95, on letter from M. R. Ry. S. Narayanaswami aiyar ~~B~~ B. A. Headmaster, Municipal High school, Mayavaram, praying that he be exempted from passing the L. T. Degree Examination. the above letter with remarks

E.S. 1/537 of 95

Proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction.

Dated 5th February 1895
No. 1176

Reference. —
Officer. —
No. —
Date. —

Read again Endorsement of the Inspector of Schools, Southern circle, No. 12, dated, 27th July 1894.

Read also Endorsements of the same officer, Nos. 13 and 14, dated 27th July 1894.

In its order, No 2165 M. Mis, dated, 7th December 1891, Government was pleased to appoint M. R. Ry. S. Narayana Swami aiyar B. A. as Headmaster and N. P. Krishnaswami aiyar B. A. as First Assistant of the Municipal High School, Mayavaram, on condition that they pass the L. T. degree Examination before the close of 1893. The latter has since passed the Theoretical portion of the Examination but the former has apparently made no endeavour to fufil the condition on which he was appointed, alleging that his heavy duties do not permit of his

Studying for the L.T. degree Examination. In the interests of the School and in view of the requirements of the Educational Rules the Director is unable to recommend that exemption from the Condition imposed by Government be granted in this case. If necessary the Council may grant the Headmaster leave to qualify for the L.T. diploma. There should be no difficulty in a really Successful teacher passing the Examination after Six months' preparation.

2. From a perusal of the Assistant Inspector's report on the Subject of the Complaints preferred by one Sivaswami Sastri against the Headmaster of the Municipal High School, the Director is on the whole inclined to acquit the Headmaster of any Serious irregularities in the administration of the School. He Should, however, have exercised greater discretion in the presentation of pupils of the Third-Form for the Lower Secondary Examination and he should have kept his records properly. Office Copies of Inspection Reports, time-tables and other important papers should invariably be kept for occasional reference

reference and for production before the Inspecting officers when required.

3. The Director is not satisfied with the explanation furnished by the Headmaster in his letter, No 90, dated, 19th May 1894. In para 3 he reports that 'to the best of his knowledge' he sent in the report to the Sub-Assistant Inspector immediately after inspection. This would imply there was no record to show the exact date on which the report was submitted. In Connection with the information which the Sub-Assistant asked him to furnish on certain items in the report, the Headmaster writes that he could not give it without the report for reference. He ought to have kept an office Copy of the report and should not expect the Inspecting officers of the Department to return the report when explanation is wanted on certain items in it. Again the Headmaster admits having omitted to give certain particulars in the report filled and sent by him, and he objects to the expression "Glaring inconsistencies" used by the Sub-Assistant Inspector. As the Assistant Inspector has observed the Headmaster did not keep Copies of the Several time-tables framed by him in the Course of the year, and

this apparently explains his failure to fill in table (g). Though he would exonerate the Headmaster from an intentional omission, the Director cannot help thinking that he failed to keep his records properly. The Municipal Chairman, as the recognized Manager of the School, will be requested to look into these matters himself in future or to appoint a Sub-Committee for the Supervision of Educational work in the Municipality.

4. The Sub-Assistant's apprehension that "work was assigned to one or two teachers in higher classes with a view to obtain higher Government grant" was not well-founded. He should have obtained the time-table of the School and examined it carefully and called for explanation through the Inspector before making such a statement in an official communication.

(Sd) D. Duncan
Director of Public Instruction

(True Copy) (Signed) D. Duncan
Director of Public Instruction

True copy.

E. Narayanasami Aiyar
Headmaster

No 536. Mun'l office
Mayavaram
29-8-93

To

The Headmaster,
Municipal High School,
Mayavaram

Reference: His letter No. 89. dated 27th March 93

The undersigned is not now prepared to send either the Headmaster or his 1st Assistant for training in the Teacher's College. This matter will be considered in December next.

(Signed) T. A. Alega Pillai
Chairman

(True Copy)

E. Narayanasami Aiyar
Headmaster

Municipal Office
Mayavaram
D/ 3rd July 1894.

No. 392.

From
The Chairman
Municipal Council
Mayavaram

To
The Inspector of Schools
Southern Circle
Tanjore

Sir,

In forwarding herewith the application of the Head Master Municipal High School Mayavaram on behalf of himself and the 1st Assistant requesting you to recommend to the Director of Public Instruction to exempt them from passing the L. T. Examination and to confirm them in their appointments as Head Master and 1st Assistant I have the honor to state that the circumstances set out in the letter are borne out by facts and both of them very well deserve the concession prayed for. In support of the request I should add that the present strength, the efficient condition and the financial prosperity of the High School are mainly due to the laudable exertions of the Head Master with the cooperation of the 1st Assistant and others. While the passing of the L. T. Examination is difficult for the reasons set out in the letter of the Head Master it does not materially advance his position or that of the school in the matter of grant or other practical purposes.

The Head Master Mr. S. Narayanasamy Aiyar B. A and the 1st Assistant Mr. A. P. Krishnasamy Aiyar are otherwise qualified for their respective places under the present rules.

I would therefore strongly back up their claims for confirmation in their respective appointments.

I have &c.
(Sd) T. A. Alaga Pillai
Chairman

(True copy)
S Narayanasami Aiyar

3/1148 [illegible]

Office of the Inspector of Schools,
S. Circle 1st September /94.

No. 564. J

From,
The Inspector of schools,
S. Circle

To,
The Headmaster,
Municipal High School,
Mayavaram.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. $\frac{131}{28-8-94}$ I have the honor to state that the Chairman's letter referred to therein has been submitted for the favourable consideration of the Director of Public Instruction, and his orders thereon should be awaited.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant,
(Signed) C. C. Flanagan
Inspector of Schools, S. Circle.

(True copy)

S Narayanasami Aiyar
Headmaster

From

The Chairman
Ml Council
Mayavaram

To The Chief Secretary
to Govt
Fort St George
Local & Ml Departt

Dated 28th March }
Despd 28th March } 95
Received }

Enclosures to No 284
28.3.95.

Copies of Certain Communications Connected with the Confirmation of M.R.Ry. S. Narayanasami Iyr B.A., as the Hd master, Ml High School. Mayavaram.

4
1148 M/95

Municipal High School
Mayavaram
Dt 28th March 1895.

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 1148 M

No. 64

From
The Head Master
Municipal High School
Mayavaram

To
The Chief Secretary to Government
Local and Municipal Department
Fort Saint George
Madras

Sir,

In continuation of my last letter concerning the question of my confirmation as Head Master of this Institution, I beg to avail myself of this opportunity to explain certain remarks contained in the Proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction dated 5th February 1895 No. 1176. (a copy is herewith enclosed). These remarks were made when my application for confirmation was disposed of along with two other communications touching me, though latter had nothing to do with the former. About the subjects of the communications and the decision of the Director of the Public Instruction thereon, I shall take another opportunity of placing all the circumstances, in detail for the consideration of His Excellency the Governor in Council. Meanwhile I beg to offer the following explanations regarding the remarks, as I apprehend, they will affect the disposal of my appeal, now pending.

In para 1 of the Proceedings quoted above it is stated:- (the Head Master "has apparently made no endeavour to fulfil the condition on which he was appointed":— 2

a The condition was imposed in December 1891 and I prepared for the L. T. Degree Examination of 1892 and even obtained the permission of the Syndicate; but the shattered condition of the school owing to the existence of the rival schools in the town and the difficulty of preparing a class for the Matriculation Examination whose standard was suddenly raised that year, prevented my appearing for the Degree.

(b) The next year, 1893 finding no leisure owing to my heavy duties, I requested the Chairman to send me for training but he could not spare my services. (Vide copy of the Chairman's order herewith enclosed). Thus in obedience to the order of the Chairman, my superior, I had to sacrifice my interest to the interest of the School.

(c) Meanwhile the two years' time allowed having elapsed I put in my application in 1894 for exemption and confirmation. This was strongly recommended by the Chairman (a copy of his letter is herewith sent) and supported by the Inspector of Schools who asked me to await the orders of the Director of Public Instruction (Vide copy of the Inspector's letter sent). Thus I represented that I found it impossible both to do my taxing duty as the Head Master and to prepare for the L. T. Degree Examination and the Chairman could not spare my services for undergoing training. So that, I beg to submit, I had no other alternative than to obey my superior and give up preparing for L. T. Degree Examination.

The Proceedings of the Acting (d) Director of Public Instruction dated 4th August 1894 No. 72672 (I have already submitted the same along with my first letter) stating that the question of my confirmation would be considered after the result of the Matriculation Examination of 1894 and after the receipt of the Tabular Inspection Report, was despatched, too late, on the 8th January, 4 months and 4 days after it was dated. Now the Inspection Report was favorable (Vide para 5 of my first letter) and the result of the Matriculation Examination was satisfactory (Vide para 6 of the same letter). In
there

these circumstances I naturally expected that my application for Confirmation would be favorably received. Thus from December 1891 when the condition was imposed, to February 1895, when Dr. Duncan issued another Proceedings, I made all endeavours to fulfil the condition, though I was unable to do so owing to circumstances over which I had no control.

3 Before I pass to the next remark I beg to state incidentally that Mr. Munro's Proceedings being dated 4th August 1894 is apparently based on the endorsements of the Inspector of Schools dated 27th July 1894. Dr. Duncan without referring to this Proceedings issued another, based on the same endorsements bearing the same date, nearly 7 months after, thus raking up the subject of complaints though they were already disposed of by Mr. Munro and though there was no necessity to consider again the question of my confirmation which was also already
in

in a way decided by the acting Director.

4 In para 3 it is stated "he ought to have kept an office copy of the Report".

What actually obtains is this; three blank forms are sent before Inspection. The Head Master makes the necessary entries and hands them, on the day of Inspection, to the Inspector who enters his remarks only in one of them & takes all the three books with him. The entries in the other two books are apparently transcribed by his clerks or by the Sub-Assistant, and one of the books is sent to me, some time after, through the Chairman. In this particular case, I was asked by the Sub-Assistant to explain a certain item in the report when all the three copies of the report were with him. Thus, I beg to submit that I was not to blame, if a copy of the Inspection Report was not with me as the Inspector of schools would not let me have a copy immediately after Inspection.

5 Again objection is taken to my having used the phrase "to the best of my knowledge" and thence the following conclusion is drawn. "This would imply there was no record to show the exact date on which the report was submitted".

As explained in the last para these copies of the report were with the Inspector of Schools and when he left the station he asked me to forward the same to the Sub-Assistant Inspector which I did through my peon. I thought it was unnecessary to treat this officially. Accordingly in the absence of a record to show the exact date, I stated that "to the best of my knowledge" I sent it immediately.

6 In para 3 it is stated "the Head Master did not keep copies

of the several time-tables framed by him in the course of the year xx. the Director cannot help thinking that he failed to keep his records properly."

The inspection took place in 1893 on the working of the year 1892. In 1894, two years after, I was asked if there were copies of the time-tables; several time-tables had to be framed, as there were frequent changes in the staff, during the year, and I beg to submit that it is impossible to preserve copies of these time-tables especially two years after, and that it is not proper to jump to the conclusion that I failed to keep my records properly, because I failed to keep copies of the time-tables. Further the Inspector of Schools who examines my office every year at the time of inspection examined my records that year also (1893) and found them to be correct, as seen from the Inspection Report.

7 Thus I have satisfactorily explained all the remarks contained in the Director's Proceedings dated 5 February 1895 No. 1176 and I beg to request that the question of my confirmation should be considered on its own merits and without reference to these remarks.

8 Further the present Chairman in his letter to the Director dated 22d February 1895, requesting him to reconsider the question of my confirmation stated "that the school is so peculiarly circumstanced that I cannot spare his services even for a short time by granting him leave to prepare for the L. T. Degree Examination. Remaining at his post, it is not possible for him to spare the necessary time and attention to prepare for the Examination, as he has to prepare a large class for the Matriculation Examination and to manage a

school of about 650 boys."

9 The peculiar circumstance referred to in the above is that two permanent teachers, the 2nd and the 3rd Assistants, resigned their appointments simultaneously and two hands have been recently entertained, so that it is impossible to arrange to relieve me this year even for a short time without prejudice to the interest of the school and that of the Matriculation class in particular.

10 In conclusion I pray that all these circumstances may be taken into consideration and that I may be confirmed in my appointment as the Head Master for the reasons urged in paras 5, 6, 11, 12, 13, 14, & 15, of my last letter. I beg to state that the present question is not even one of exemption as my request not only not contravenes any rules of the Educational Code but is quite in accordance with them. The condition was imposed in 1891 when the qualifications of a Head Master were not defined; the Educational rules which came into force next year prescribed the qualifications for the Head Mastership and I fully satisfy them; so that I may be given the benefit of those rules.

11 Before I conclude I beg to state that the school is now in a flourishing condition with a strength of about 560 boys on the Rolls and with an income of about Rs 9000 and I who was, as admitted by the Chairman, instrumental in bringing about this state, having toiled for 14 years, through thick and thin, should not be deprived of the benefits.

12 I have cast my lot in Municipal service for good without any prospect of pension and it will break the heart of a hardworking man if his hard work be not appreciated and if he be not confirmed after 14 years' continued and successful work in one and the same school.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
S Narayanasami Aiyar
Head Master.

as far as the undersigned could see, the High School Records are all correct and Regularly kept.

T. Thottuundarama

Chairman

No. 284

28.3.95

Submitted to the Chief Secretary to Government for the favourable consideration of His Excellency the Governor in Council along with this office letter No. 155 22nd Feby 1895.

M. R. Ry S. Narayanaswami Aiyar B.A. deserves to be confirmed as the Head Master of the Municipal High School in which he has been doing successful and hard work for the last 14 years. The present prosperous and effecient condition of the School is in no small measure due to his untiring exertion. It is impossible to spare him even for a short time without prejudice to the interest of the Institution.

Regarding the irregularities spoken of by the Director in his Proceedings No. 1176 dated 5th Feby 95, the explanation submitted by the Head Master is satisfactory

C.no 1110 dt/95 FC

Municipal Dept.
1148 M

From

FR. The Chairman.
Municipal Council
Mayavaram

To

The Chief Secretary to
Government.
Fort St George
Local & Mul Department

Dated 28th March } '95
Despd 28th March }
Received

CHIEF SECRETARY
30 MAR 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

No 280.

Enclosures 5. 4

Subject

Forwarding with support further communications from M.R.Ry. S. Narayanaswami Ayr B.A., H. Master Mul High School Mayavaram in regard to his confirmation.

wd. 2/537 ?.95

4/4. [illegible]

7

Current No.

C: 647. M. D/ 2nd Feb/95 From the Chairman, Municipal Council, Mayavaram.
C: 789. M. D/ 8th March/95 " the Head Master, Municipal High School, Mayavaram.
C: 1110. M. D/ 25th — " — " the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.
C: 1148 M. D/ 28th March — " the Chairman, Municipal Council, Mayavaram.

FOR ORDERS.

In G.O. dated 7th December 1891, No 2165. M., the Government sanctioned the appointment of S. Narayanaswami Iyer, B.A. as Head Master of the Mayavaram Municipal High School on the condition that he qualifies himself for the L.T. degree examination before the close of 1893. This condition has not yet been fulfilled by him.

2. In the first of the currents read above, the Chairman recommends that in the circumstances stated, the Head Master may be exempted from passing

the L. T. degree examination, and he confirmed in his appointment. The second current is a petition from the Head master himself praying for the same concession. The Director to whom the papers were referred for remarks reports that he is unable to recommend the exemption asked for. The fourth current is a further petition from the Head master in which he explains certain irregularities in the maintenance of the School-records, referred to in paragraph 2 of the Director's proceedings, dated 5th February 1895, No. 1176. (Enclosure 1 to Current No. 1110. M.).

3. It is clear from the papers that the Head master had, in 1892, applied for permission to appear for the L. T. degree examination, but that the Chairman declined to spare his services as there was at that time

time a powerful rival School with which the municipal School had to compete, and that later on when he requested to be allowed to be sent for training, the Chairman again found it impossible to spare his services. (Vide. para. 2 of the Chairman's letter, C: No. 647. M. & Enclosure. 2 to C: No. 1140. M.). These facts leave no room for doubt that there was no intention on the part of the Head master to evade the condition imposed upon him and that he was prevented from appearing for the examination or from getting himself trained by causes beyond his control.

4. The next question for consideration is whether this condition which was imposed in 1891 should now be insisted on. The Director apparently thinks that it should. It is ~~curious~~ however, ~~to~~ worthy of note in this Connect

that

FOR ORDERS.

that the Director's Proceedings of 4th August 1894, (Enclosure 1 to Current No. 789. M.) stating that the question of confirming the Head master ~~will~~ would be deferred until the results of the next Matriculation examination ~~are~~ were published and until the Tabular Inspection report on the School is received, and that in the meanwhile, the Head master should appear for the L. T. Degree, was despatched to the Chairman only on the 8th January 1895; (vide the stamp outside). No explanation has been offered for this extraordinary delay, by which the Head master lost another year in which he might have tried to comply with the condition.

5. The Director now states that he adheres to his previous views on the subject, but it is submitted that the arguments used by him are not very convincing. It is admitted that the School will not benefit financially if the Head master passes the examination unless he undergoes training also in the Teachers' College, nor do the Educational rules require that this condition should be fulfilled. The Director, however, points out that if the L. T. degree examination is of value, the Head master ought to be a better Head master after he has taken the degree. This may be so,—but the question is whether in this case a relaxation may not be made. If the School is thriving under the present Head master, if his passing the L.T. degree examination will not benefit the institution pecuniarily, then there is hardly any necessity perhaps for insisting on a successful teacher who has had fourteen years' service to go up now for the examination.

V.R.
5/4/95

W. 4/4/95 Under the circumstances of this case it appears to be one for favourable consideration. The man did try to go up for the L.T. Exam. and he seems to have done very well as Head Master.

If he is exempted it is submitted that this should be done as a special case and that it should be distinctly stated that it should not be treated as a precedent.

19/4/95

I agree. But perhaps Edn. Dept had better see.

The delay noted in the despatch of the Director's Proc. is very extraordinary — It looks as if there must be ~~some~~ hocus pocus going on somewhere

20/4/95

Ed. C. No. 537

Endorsement 561

Referred with the Note of the Hon: Mr. Bliss, C.I.E., in original to the Educational Deptt. for favor of remarks and return

Ootacamund }
27 April 1895 }

C. Todhunter.
Ag. Asstt. Secretary.

To, The Educational Deptt.

Exemption of the Mayavaram Hig Head master from passing the L.T. Degree Examination. —

FOR ORDERS.

C.S.

Draft order for approval.

H.M.

[G/9]
2,000—3-4-95.

Current No. 534.
Education DEPARTMENT.

From The L & M. Dept.

No. 561

Dated 27 April 1895

Received —o—

Enclosures 2.

SUBJECT.

Referring to the Educational Dept's papers re the exemption of S. Narayanaswami Aiyar Head Master of the M. High School, Mayavaram from passing the L. T. Degree Examination.

Ed DEPARTMENT.

No. 537 of 95

From

Dated 189

FOR ORDERS.

Please see the L & M dept. note in the current.

The question for consideration is whether S. Náráyanaswámi Aiyar should be required to fulfil the condition of passing the L. T. degree examn. imposed on him in 1891.

Náráyanaswámi Aiyar is a certificated teacher and has 14 years' approved service in a recognised Schoo He was appointed in 1888 acting Hea master of the Municipal High School, Máyavaram and, when the post becam permanently vacant in 1891, was recommended for confirmation. Dr Duncan took this opportunity to impo on the teacher the condition of passing the L. T. degree examn. and Govt. approved his recommendation. N yanaswámi Aiyar has not yet fulf the condition and prays that it be withdrawn. His ~~prayer~~ request is stro supported by the chairman of the Municipality who certifies to t good work he has done as a Headma The D.P.I. is against the ~~prayer~~ request bei granted.

It will be observed that neith the Educational rules nor the Gran aid code gives the D.P.I. power to compel a certificated teacher to ap for the L. T. degree examn. In 18

~~Order. Under the circumstances reported the special condition annexed to the origin~~

[9/9]

Nárâyanaswámi Aiyar was already in possession of a teacher's certificate and had in addition about 11 years' approved service in a recognised school; there was therefore little reason for compelling him to appear for the L. T. degree examns.

The condition of the Mayavaram municipal school in 1892 and '93, as detailed in the foregoing papers, demanded the undivided attention of the Headmaster and it may be that he had not sufficient time to prepare for the L. T. degree examns.

The possession of the L. T. degree is not a sine qua non for a Headmaster and the Educational rules recognise the possession of an untrained Teacher's certificate and 2 years' (vide Sec. II. 3) approved service as sufficient qualification.

In view of these facts there seems to be no objection to withdrawing the condition imposed on Nárâyanaswámi Aiyar in 1891. The withdrawal of the condition will not make a precedent as Govt will not thereby be granting exemption from a rule but will only be releasing the teacher from a special condition imposed on him.

The concession will however make a precedent in similar cases where a special condition is imposed & attempts are made [to] evade complying with it; and as Mr Duncan remarks, this man's value [as] a teacher sld. be enhanced by his [pas]sing the L.T.; & the school would benefit [by] this.

D
6. 5. 95.

I have already expressed my views [illegible]
L & M
9/5/95

C 11/5

Head master Municipal High School
Mayavaram.

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 1672 M

H.M.

Endt No. 1074
dt 18-5-95

Notes in original [illegible]

Forwarded to the Local & Municipal Department with reference to endorsement No. 561 dt 29-4-95.

Lionel Davidson
Ag Under Secretary
11-5-95

Under the circumstances the condition may be withdrawn.

11/5

21 MAY. 95

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 1672. M.

C: Nos 647. 789. 1110. 1148 & 1672. M.

P.R.

C.S.
Misc.

From

The Educational Department.

No. 1074.

Dated 18th May 1895.

Received 21st — Do.

Enclosures (C: Nos 647. 789. 1110 & 1148. M. returned,

SUBJECT.

Forwarding Correspondence regarding the exemption of the Head master, Municipal High School, Mayavaram from passing the L.T. gree Examination

Order.

Under the Circumstances stated, the Condition imposed on S. Nárayanaswámi Aiyer, Head master of the Máyávaram Municipal High School, in G.O. dated, 7th December 1891, No. 2165. M, will be withdrawn as a Special case. It should be distinctly understood, however, that this exemption ~~should~~ will not be treated as a precedent.

2. It is observed that the Copy of the Director's proceedings of the 4th August 1894. No. 72672 was not despatched addressed to the Chairman Municipal Council until the 8th January 1895; but no explanation has been offered for this extraordinary delay, — an omission which should now be supplied.

(From order,)

31/5/95

P.R. 27/5/95

29.V.

Desp'd 10/6/95

To The Chairman, M.C. Máyavaram
" Director of Public Instruction.

9/5/95

...hen given for copying
...hen copied
...hen examined
... signed
189 .

...D AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

...URRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.							
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.							
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.