

Local & Munl. (1895) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

*For 2900
12/1895
K9000*

G.O., No. 864 *m*

DATED 20th May 1895.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Municipal Limits.

Approving the withdrawal of the proposal to revise the limits of the Cocanada Municipality, stating that the Government views with great displeasure the action of the council in the matter of repairing the Yeleru bridge and ordering that it must be re-built at once.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
				Cu	2122	M	1895
				Cu	2354	M	1895

Extract from the proceedings of the Councillors of the
Cocanada Municipality, passed at their meeting held on
Saturday the 30th March 1895.

Present

- 1 Mr. H. Seshachalapati gar B. A. chairman
- 2 Do. Ch. Venkataratnam naidu gar Councillor.
- 3 Do. P. Chittiah gar. - - - - - Do
- 4 Do. K. Chinniah naidu gar. - - - - - Do
- 5 Do. N. Tirupatirayudu naidu gar. - - - - - Do
- 6 Do. B. Venkataratnam gar. - - - - - Do
- 7 Do. B. Annaswamy gar. - - - - - Do
- 8 Do. D. Venkatchalapati gar. - - - - - Do

Read memo of the chairman proposing to withdraw
the letter to Government for the alteration of municipal
limits.

may be withdrawn, pending decision of District
Board, about our offer regarding bridges, and
the decision of the council, about Torrapeta -

/s/ H. Seshachalapati
chairman

(True extract)

Municipal office 2 /s/ H. Seshachalapati
Cocanada 1.4.95 chairman

True copy 1
[Signature]

[Signature]
By Secy Collector

No 30

Municipal office.

Cocanada 2nd April 1896.

From

M R RY H. Seshachalapati gar B.A.

Chairman, Municipal Council,

Cocanada.

To

The Collector

Cocanada District

Cocanada

Sir,

In support of my letter No 1640 dated 11th March 1895, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of the Council's resolution dated 30th March 1895 regarding the alteration of the Municipal limits.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

/s/ H. Seshachalapati.

Chairman

Local & Municipal Dept.

CURRENT No. 1438 M

Sis no 526 of 1895 19/4.

Submitted to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, Local and Municipal Department, together with copy of the resolution in reference to G.O No 606

1/1438 Mof 95

Ms. mis. dated 4.4.95.

3
/3d/ Va Porodid

ag collector.

By for collector M. W. Anderson

ms
24/2

Local & Municipal Dept.

606 hlm 4-4-95 K
CURRENT No. 1438 M

Nebenne {189 5} Department.

From

The Collector,

Godavari District,

No. 526 of 1895
ENG.

Dated 19th

Despatched 27th April 1895.

Received 30

Inc 1

SUBJECT.

Submitting copy of
Cocanada Municipal
Chairman's letter forward-
ing copy of the Council's
resolution regarding
the alteration of the
Municipal limits.

Read again for 2/4-4-95 No 606 M
* C. No 1438 M

CS

At

rule

order.

Recorded.

2. The Municipal Council should take into
consideration the proposal to alter the
limits of the Municipality.
1/8 2/5/95

to the Chairman and Cocanada
thru the Collr. N. K. N. S.

2/5-2/2/5

Land M. DEPARTMENT.

No. 1438 M

From the Collector of Godavari

Dated 19th April

1895.

FOR ORDERS.

In 1874 the Municipal Council Cocanada
moved for an extension of their limits
so as to include an oil factory on the
Western bank of the Guleru river. The proposal
involved the inclusion of the bridge over the
same, till then maintained by the District
Board. It was negatived at the time
but on their coming up again in 1882 was
sanctioned.

2. In January 1894 the Council were asked
to provide for certain repairs to the bridge
which was much out of order. They proce-
dured in the matter and in February it
collapsed.

3. In November 1894 they came up again for
a revision of their limits the pretext offered
for the same being the inclusion of certain
petty villages but the real reason being
the exclusion of the bridge. The Collector
strongly opposed it on this ground. The H. M.
in passing orders called for further report in
regard to the proposed inclusions and stated
with regard to the bridge that the Council
should be ordered to rebuild it at once, if ne-
cessary raising the funds by loan, and that
after it had been put into a usable and
proper state again it might be excluded
from the Municipal limits (C. No 3213 M).

4. The Collector in reply to the first part of
this order approves one of the inclusions (that
of Ramamurthi) but not the other. The second part
was not communicated. The Council, however,

No.

From

Dated

189

FOR ORDERS.

^{attempt to} for shifting the responsibility of repairing the Jelera bridge. Its withdrawal is approved.

2. The Government cannot but view with grave displeasure the action of the Municipality Council in this matter. They have first by their ^{unfortunate} neglect allowed the collapse of this important bridge which was included in their limits at their own request, and now after more than a year has elapsed the only steps they have taken towards repairing it have been first to ^{seek} ~~attempt~~ to ~~throw~~ the whole responsibility back onto the District Board and secondly to try to ^{obtain} ~~get~~ help ^{from} ~~out of~~ that body.

3. The difficulty is one of their own creating and they must face it themselves. If they have not funds of their own sufficient to pay for the rebuilding of the bridge they must raise a loan for the purpose. In any case the bridge must be rebuilt at once and unless it is reported that satisfactory action is being taken to this end within one month of the receipt of this order the Government will be compelled to intervene under sec 27 of the Act.

4. The C.M. will report at the end of June what has been, and is being, done in this matter

MS

16/5/95

Dated 24/5/95

Seen

21/5/95

To The Ch. M.C. Coarada
The branches of local self Government
C.M. office

124/5795

appear to have got some inkling of it for they have now withdrawn their application for the revision of the limits as suddenly as they made it and an apparently (vide vol of 30th March in C. 20 1438M) attempting to get the assistance of the District Board in rebuilding the bridge. Their pretext for the withdrawal is that they are coming up again for a revision of the limits after they have acquired some land outside the Municipality for the accommodation of the "Poor people".

5. The question of the revision may therefore lie over pending further communication from them.

6. Orders are solicited as regards the bridge. Besides being an aqueduct for the town, it forms the only means of communication for wheeled traffic between Coarada and Rajarundry. Its inclusion in the Municipal limits was due, according to the Collector, to a piece of spite on the part of the Council against the owner of certain oil mills which happened to lie on the other side of it. After its inclusion it was neglected and in 1894 it required Rs 2000 to put it in order. The Council stilly shirked instead of passing the estimate for doing this. Hence and it fell. They then made no attempt to rebuild it but had wasted some Rs 2000 in temporary make shifts by November when they ^{proposed} attempted to shift the responsibility of rebuilding it altogether onto the District Board. Finding that impossible they are trying another tack and attempting to get assistance out of the latter body. It is submitted that they must be ordered to repair it themselves and that quickly. The following order to dispose of the papers is suggested.

Order. D(20.5.95) No 864/MS

The ^{present} proposal to revise the limits of the Municipality appears to have been, as stated by the Collector, ~~a mere pretext~~

Rebuilding of the Yelera bridge
Cocanada Municipality.

ns.
H.M.

8642

(for orders)

submitted to b.s. for
perusal of H.M. addition to
the draft order.

[Handwritten signature]
17/10/17

17/10/17

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 189
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____
189

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.