[L. & M. / 2,000-8-8-96.]

# Local & Munl. (1895) Department.

## MISCELLANEOUS.

**G.O., No.** 687 M

**DATED** 23rd April 1895

## [Despatch Abstract.]

Bye-laws.

Approving and confirming the----adopted by the Gudiyattam Municipal Council subject to certain remarks and exceptions.

## BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | |

---

No. 515

OFFICE OF MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
Gudiyatam, 23d December 1894.

Legal & Municipal
CURRENT No. 116 M

From M Subrayaloo Chetty garoo
P. ~~KRISTNAMACHARRY, RAO SAHEB~~,
*Chairman, Municipal Council,*
*Gudiyatam.*

To,
The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort Saint George
Through
The Sub Collector
Vellore.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith the Bye. laws framed under section 255 of Act IV of 1884. for this municipality, and passed by the Council at their meeting held on the 29. November 1894 (Copy Enclosed) and request that the Government will be pleased to sanction the same.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
M Subrayalu Chetty
Chairman

~~Cap. [illegible]. X9.23~~ Rev ~~f 34~~

Local and Municipal Dept.

Municipal Department.

PR. CURRENT No. 116 M

From M. Subbarayaloo Chetty Garu
~~P. KRISTNAMACHARRY, RAO SAHEB,~~
Chairman, Municipal Council,
Gudiyatam.

CURRENT No. 61 [illegible]

To

DATED 12-1-95

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVT.,
*Local and Municipal Department,*
*Fort St. George.*

*(Through the Divisional Officer.)*

Dated 23d December
Despatched " 1894
Received

D. COWIE.
24 DEC 94

No. 515

ENCLOSURES. 2

---

SUBJECT.

---

Submitting Bye-laws of this Municipality for approval.

CHIEF SECRETARY
17 JAN 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

17/1 20/1

1509 h 11-10-90

Forwarded
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
24.12.94

Forwarded
[illegible]
10.1.95
[illegible]

DESPATCHED.
13 JAN. 95

---

[ 5 ]
1,000—17-11-93.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Current No. 116 M of 1895, dated 23rd December 1894 from the Chairman, M.C., Gudiyattam

Reference 124 of 2-2-95
~~Order.~~

From the copy of the draft byelaws as published in the District Gazette, submitted by the Chairman, it does not appear that a notice specifying a date at or after which such draft will be taken into consideration & inviting criticisms thereon, was published along with it, as required by section 256(1) of the District Municipalities Act. He will, therefore, be requested to report whether this is so, and, if not, whether any objections or suggestions were received and considered by the municipal council.

VR
30/1/95

[illegible] 30.1.95

To,

The Chairman, M.C., Gudiyatam.

Despd. 2/2

Copy to despatch
30/1

124
95

D. COWIE
24 DEC 94

Extract of minutes of Proceedings of a special meeting of the Municipal Council Gudiyatam, passed at their meeting held on 29 November 1894.

Present.

M.R.Ry M. Subrayaloo Chetty Garoo
" V. Rama Chendra Row Garoo
" C. Hanumantha Row Garoo
Shaik Imam Saib
Moosa Saib
" Ranga Gounder Avergal
" P.A. Ponnusami Mudaliar Avergal
" Rajoo Mudaliar Avergal.

1 Read again Bye-laws framed for this Municipality under section 255.

The necessary proclamation as required by the Act having been made the draft Bye-laws will be submitted to Government for sanction.

× × ×

Signed/
M Subrayaloo Chetty
Chairman

/True Copy/

M Subrayalu Chetty
Chairman

1
116 no of 95

Cir No. 862/Rev of 1895

No. 55

OFFICE OF MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
Gudiyatam. 11 February 1895.

Received 25-5-94

# Gudiyatam Municipality

## Notification

The annexed draft Bye-Laws framed by the Council, under Section 255 of the District Municipalities Act IV of 1884, is published for General information under Section 256 clause 1, of the above act and Notice is hereby given that any objection or suggestion which may be made in respect of these Bye-Laws by any person interested therein, will be considered on or after the 1st July next.

M. Subrayalu Chetty
Chairman

Office of the Municipal
Council Gudiyatam
23rd May 1894

646 M of 95

---

Cur No. 862/Rec of 1895

No. 55

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 646 M

OFFICE OF MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
Gudiyatam, 11 February 1895.

From M. Subrayaloo Chetty Gooroo.
~~P. KRISTNAMACHARRY, RAO SAHEB~~,
*Chairman, Municipal Council,*
*Gudiyatam.*

To,
The Chief Secretary to Government.
Fort Saint George.

Through.
The Sub Collector
Vellore.

Sir,

With reference to official memo No 124 dated 2d February 1895, I have the honor to inform that the enclosed copy of notice was printed both in English and vernacular and affixed in the conspicuous places of the Town and proclamation, by beat of Tom Tom, was also made throughout the town and that no objections or suggestions were received till the 29th November 1894, when the Council passed the draft Bye laws and submitted them for the sanction of Government.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
M Subrayaloochetty
Chairman

150 9 M 11-10-90

Municipal Department. Encl

CURRENT No. 314

22-2-95

From M. Subrayaloo Chetty Garu

~~P. KRISTNAMACHARRY, RAO SAHIB,~~

*Chairman, Municipal Council,*

*Gudiyatam.*

CURRENT No. 641 M

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVT.,

*Local and Municipal Department,*

*Fort St. George.*

*(Through the Divisional Officer.)*

Dated 9th February 1895.

Despatched 13

Received

SUB COLLECTOR 14 FEB 95 NORTH ARCOT

No. 55

ENCLOSURES. 1

SUBJECT.

Reporting that due proclamation was made in the Town as required by the act.

Mem 124/95

1509 M 11-10-90

Forwarded.

ag. Sub Collector

Forwarded

ag Collector

Wandiwash

21. 2. 95

UNDER SECRETARY 24 FEB 95 PUBLIC & R. DEPT.

DESPATCHED.

22 FEB. 95

2/3.

---

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Current Nos 116 & 646 M of 1895.

From

The Chairman, Municipal Council, Gudiyattam.

I have been through this paper. I haven't the time to look into the bye-laws which are not noticed in this note.

3/4/95

FOR ORDERS.

The Chairman, Municipal Council, Gudiyattam, Submits for the approval and Confirmation of Government under Section 255 (3) of the District Municipalities act a set of byelaws adopted by the Municipal Council and published under Section 256 (2) in the North Arcot District Gazette of October 1894. Numbers 1, 2, 16, 35, 38, 39, 40, 47 (3), (5) & (7) 48, 50 & 58 of the byelaws circulated with G.O. dated 11th October 1890 No. 1509 M have been omitted and the rest have been adopted with slight alterations in some of them. Three new byelaws have been proposed, which are numbered 25, 26 & 28 (a) in the Council's list. Several mistak

Many of the omitted byelaws are very useful, and it is not at all clear why they have been omitted.

mistakes in spelling and printing and a few verbal omissions and alterations are also noticed, but as these are too numerous to be pointed out in detail, the necessary corrections have been made in red ink in the copy of the bylaws submitted by the chairman in order that the same may be returned to him for the corrections being carried out when finally publishing them. The alterations proposed by the Council in the bylaws adopted by it call for the following remarks:-

(1) Bylaw 4. The expression "Or unless the certificate of registration granted under Section 86 shall be produc" which has now been omitted, should be added at the end of this bylaw, as otherwise it would cause inconvenien to the public.

(2) Bylaw 6. The proposal to reduce the limit fixed by this bylaw from Rs 20 to Rs 6, is inexpedient. The delegation by the chairman of his powers under Section 89 of the Act, to lowpaid muni-cipal servants, as proposed, is undesirable, as the powers are likely to be abused.

(3) Bylaw 7. The substitution of the words "whose pay is not less than Rs 10" for "duly authorized in that behalf" does not appear to be desirable. The object of the bylaw see to be to restrict the exercise of the pow conferred by it to some responsible individual, but the proposed altera-tion would extend this power to a num of Municipal servants and thus cause annoyance to the Contractor.

The wording here is not clumsy but the ~~wording~~ proviso is needless. The power to grant implies that to withdraw.

(4) Bylaws 8 + 9. The additions pro-posed in these cases are unobjection and may be allowed to stand.

(5). Bylaws 18, 27 + 29(a). The additions proposed in these cases being ~~un-~~ harmless may be permitted to stand. ~~-necessary should be struck out~~.

(6). Bylaws 22(a) + 24. The proposed addition~~s~~ to 24 being undesirable should be struck out, but the addition in 22(a) may stand. Pom Poms are a great nuisance at night.

(7). Bylaws 25 + 26. These bylaws being Ultra× Vires should be omitted.

(8). Bylaws 28 + 28(a). The addition proposed in bylaw 28 and the new bylaw 28(a) are unnecessary.^ The bylaw as it now stands is quite sufficient and the Council can take action, in special cases ~~such as the one con-templated~~, under Section 278 of the Indian Penal Code.

(9). Bylaw 41. The proposed alteration in this bylaw is undesirabl

as

× Overloading of jutkas has to be dealt with under the Hackney Carriage Act, & the driving of sick animals under the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act, and neither of these are in force in Gudiyattam.

^ The latter is also most oppressive, & wd. probably stop a good many weavers from weaving at all. (No 28) as it would defeat the object of the byelaw which is to enforce the removal of the remains of dead animals to appointed places so that they may not by being disposed of otherwise, affect the Sanitation of the municipality. The alteration made by the Municipal Council would permit of the deposit of the carcass of an animal just a few feet outside the municipal limits and in such a manner as to be a nuisance to the neighbourhood.

(10). Byelaw 44. The words "or unless claimed & removed within three hours" which have now been omitted should be added at the end of this byelaw, as otherwise it would interfere with the rights of private individuals.

V.R.
/3/95

W 20.3.95

Byelaws – Gudiyattam Munl

116 & 646 M.

**FOR ORDERS.**

A.M.

---

[ 5 ]
1,000—17-11-93.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings :—

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Current No. 116 M of 1895, dated 23rd December 1894 from the Chairman M. C. Gudiyattam
" 646 M of 1895 dated 9th February 1895 " "

*Order.* dt 23-4-95 No 687 Munl

Subject to the following remarks and exceptions, the byelaws adopted by the municipal council are approved and confirmed under Sec 255 (3) of the District Municipalities Act IV of 1884.

(1) Byelaw 4. The expression "or unless the certificate of registration granted under sec 86 shall be produced" which has been omitted^ should be added at the end of this byelaw, as otherwise it would cause unnecessary inconvenience to the public.

^ occurs in the model bye-laws in GO. dated 11th Oct. 90. No. 1509 M.

(2) Byelaws 6 & 7. The reduction of the limit fixed in byelaw 6 from Rs 20 to Rs 6 and the substitution of the words "whose pay is not less than Rs 10

for "duly authorized in that behalf" in byelaw 7 are undesirable and cannot be approved.

(3) Byelaws 24 & 41. The insertion of the word "passenger" between "any" and "vehicle" in the former, and the alterations proposed in the latter, do not commend themselves to Govt.

(4) Byelaws 25 & 26. being ultra vires should be omitted.

(5) Byelaws 28 & 28(a). The insertion of the expression "or coloured or other threads &c" in byelaw 28 and the new byelaw 28(a) are unnecessary. The enforcement of the latter is also very undesirable as it would press hardly ~~on~~ upon the weavers ~~and might stop many of them from weaving~~. Byelaw No 28 as it now stands is quite sufficient and the uncil can take action in special cases — der sec 248 of the Indian Penal Code.

(6) Byelaw 44. The words "or unless claimed and removed within three hours" which have ~~now~~ been omitted should be added at the end of this byelaw, as otherwise it would interfere with the rights of private individuals.

2. The byelaws as modified in reference to the preceding remarks and in the alterations made in red ink in the Copy submitted by the Chairman will now b republished in the North Arcot Distric Gazette in English and Tamil and shall come into force after the expir of three months from the date of such publication.

3. A Copy of the byelaws as finally published should be submitted Government for information.

4. It is not clear why the Municipal Council have not adopted ~~many of~~ the rest of the byelaws circulated wit ~~the~~ G.O. dated 11th October 1890, No 150 ~~which~~ many of these are very useful.

(From orders).

8/4/95

8.4.95

20/4/95

To

The Chairman M. C. Gudiyattam
(with copy of the byelaws)
Through the Collector North Arcot.

Desp. 29/4/95

Byelaws — Gudiyattam Municipality

646 M

CL
mol

(From orders)

687 M

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed
189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED 189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

*N.B.*—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.