[L. & M.
9,000-3-3-96.]

Local & Munl. (1895) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 682 M

DATED 22nd April 1895

[Despatch Abstract.]

Petition.

Stating that Government cannot interfere on behalf of M. Ramalingam Aiyar of Periyakulam in regard to the profession-tax levied on him.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[7]

INDEXED.

Miscellaneous.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPT.

G.O., DATED 22-4-95
No. 682 Mi

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Petition

stating that Govt. cannot interfere on behalf of M. Ramalingam Aiyar of Periyakulam in regard to the profession tax levied on him.

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189 , No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

BACK PAPER, No. of 189

FURTHER PAPER, No. of 189

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

Copied by V.S.P. 27/4

Examined by

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Local & Municipal Dept
CURRENT No. 1220 M

From

M. Ramalingam Aiyar.
North Brahmin St.
Periyakulam
Madura.

CF N
used

order G 22-4-95 No 683

The Government cannot interfere on behalf of the petitioner.

V.R.
17/4/95

CS
20.IV.

No.

Dated 3-4-95
Received 5 — "
Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Praying that the Professional tax levied on him for Pawn Brokery may be removed for reasons stated within.

To
The Petitioner

Despd. 26/4/95

|with an order|

~~18/4~~ 19/4 a/18/4.

The arbitrary and tyrannical procedure of the Municipality in respect of the petitioner and to remove the tax which has been levied on the petitioner without any reason whatever and to order the refund of his money which has been ~~and~~

For which act of kindness and justice he shall as in duty bound ever pray.

மஸிலாமணு லிங்கயயன

CHIEF SECRETARY
5. APR. 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Dated 3rd April 1895 }
Periyakulam.
(Madura Dt.)

Enclosures

I Petition No. 633 of 24/8/94
II Endorsement No. 750 of 22/9/94.
III Do No. 1136 of 19/1/95
IV Do. No. 1202 of 29/1/95.
V Do. No. 882 of 18/10/94
VI Receipt of destraint on 28.2.95 ~~28/2/95~~.

3/4/1895

on the pawn of the moveables nor were they pleased to call upon the petitioner to forfeit the whole of capital and interest invested in such pawns if it became evident to them that he was pawn brokery.

6 That it is a fact that he had no money transaction on the pawn of the moveables and he can prove it beyond all doubt

7. That his repeated petitions, both to the Municipal Council and chairman, requesting to be explained how he became subject to this tax, were arbitrarily and tyrannically rejected

8. That two big vessels worth Rs 25 were distrained in the petitioner's house for a tax of 6 Rs; and in auction even the smallest vessel was sold to 10 Rs.

9. Therefore the petitioner most respectfully prays your excellency, to call for the records of the case, to peruse the obstinate and perverse endorsements herewith submitted, to take into consideration

that the petitioner has Pawn Brokery as a profession he forfeits to the Government the whole capital and interest invested in such pawns; and requesting to state the reason how his transactions on the immoveable property come within the definition of Pawn Brokery; and that this petition was again dismissed without any reason. (Petition No 1136 of 19th Jan 1895 annexed herewith). (and Petition No 1202 of 29.1.95) (and Petition No 862 of 18.10.94)

4. That his tax for the year 93-94 was 3 Rs and it is raised to 6 Rs for the year 94-95 while the petitioner was contending against the tax itself on the ground that he has no such profession

5. That neither ~~the Chairman~~ nor the Municipal ~~chairman~~ Council were pleased to explain to the petitioner how he became subject to Pawn Brokery when he had no transaction

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 1220 M

To,

His Excellency
The Right Honourable
The Governor in Council,
Madras.

The respectful appeal petition of Mangalam Ramalingam aier, residing in the North Brahmin Street, Thengarai, Periyakulam Town. against the decision and endorsement of the Periyakulam municipal council. (Madura Dist)

Showeth:— 1. That the petitioner is levied professional-tax for Pawn-Brokery.

2. That he appealed against this tax to the municipal council on the ground that his money transactions are on the mortgages of the immoveable property. and not on the pawn of the moveables which alone comes within the defenition of Pawn Brokery under section 294 of the Law of Torts. That this appeal is dismissed without any reason. (Petition No 633 of 22nd Aug 1894 annexed herewith).

3. That he petitioned the Municipal chairman stating, that if it seems clear to him

---

$\left[\frac{G}{9}\right]$
2,000—2-4-95.

Current No. ~~434~~ L & M.
~~Rev.~~ DEPARTMENT.

From Mangalam Ramalinga Aiyar
Residing in Tenkarai North Agraharam
Periyakulam town,
Madura Dt.

No.

Dated

Received 5-4-95

Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Enclosures to a petition which has not yet been received(?)

It is submitted that the MS enclosed is no petition addressed to Govt. It is addressed to the Chairman of the Periyakulam Municipality and bears his endt. on the back. All the MSS are perhaps meant as Enclosures to a petition which has not yet been received.

C Venkatasubbayya
6.4.95

V.
6/4

When given for compil

CHIEF SECRETARY
15 APR. 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

No 882
d 18.10.94

No 1134

Chairman
18/10/94

1220 M

Petition of 17-10-94

addressed to the Chairman of the Periyakulam Municipal Council

for Endorsement

P. T. O.

[illegible]

[illegible] 750 [illegible] 12.9.94 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

17. 10. 94

விண்ணப்பம் நிர். 633

1894௵ [illegible] ௴ 15 உ [illegible]

தெருவிலிருக்கும் [illegible]

வேணுமாய்க் கொடுத்துக்கொண்ட விண்ணப்பம் வாசிக்கலாயிற்று.

இண்டார்சு நிர்.

நாளது 20 [illegible]

முனிசிபாலாபீசு,
பெரியகுளம்
1894௵
[illegible] ௴
22 உ

அக்கிராசனாதிபதி.

[illegible]

Put

1

1184

விண்ணப்பம் நிர். 758

1894 ௵ [illegible] ௴ 12 ௳ [illegible]

[illegible] தெருவிலிருக்கும் [illegible]

வேணுமாய்க் கொடுத்துக்கொண்ட விண்ணப்பம் வாசிக்கலாயிற்று.

இண்டார்சு நிர்.

[illegible]

முனிசிபாலாபீசு,
பெரியகுளம்
1894 ௵
[illegible] ௴
22 ௳

அக்கிராசனாதிபதி.

1134

விண்ணப்பம் நிர். 1136

1894௵ டிசம்பர் ௴ 29 உ வடக்கு அக்கிரகாரம்

தெருவிலிருக்கும் [illegible] [illegible], [illegible]

[illegible] செய்ய

வேணுமாய்க் கொடுத்துக்கொடட விண்ணப்பம் வாசிக்கலாயிற்று.

இடார்சு நிர்,

[illegible] 17 [illegible]

[illegible] செய்யப்பட [illegible]

முனிசிபாலாபீசு,
பெரியகுளம்
1895௵
1 ௴
19 உ

அக்கிராசனாதிபதி.

3/1220 ms

Put

Enclos. N. 3

G. N. 1184

விண்ணப்பம் நிர். 1202

1894 ஞு டிசம்பர் ௴ 7 ௳ [illegible]

[illegible] தெருவிலிருக்கும் [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 882 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] வேணுமாய்க் கொடுத்துக்கொட விண்ணப்பம் வாசிக்கலாயிற்று.

இனார்சு நிர்,

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

முனிசிபாலாபீசு,
பெரியகுளம்
1895 ஞு
ஜனவரி ௴
29 ௳

அக்கிராசனாதிபதி.

1884ஹ் 4-வது ஆக்ட்டு] ஷிடியூல் (பிரிவு 105).

நோட்டீசு.

[illegible] தெருவிலிருக்கும்

[illegible] க்கு

சாமான்களின் விபரம் ... [illegible]

1894-95ஹ் முதல் 2 வாயிதாவுக்குச் வேண்டிய [illegible]

வரி பாக்கி ரூபாய் [illegible] க்கு மேலேகண்ட சாமான்களை நான் இன்றையத்தினம் வாரன் எடுத்தபடியால், ஷை பாக்கியையும், சாமானெடுத்த செலவையும், இந்த நோட்டீசு முதல் 7 நாளைக்குள்ளாக பெரியகுளமுனிசிபல் ஆபீசில் நீர் செலுத்தாவிட்டால், ஷை சாமான்களை முனிசிபல் ஆபீசிலாவது அக்கிருசனாதிபதி உத்திரவு செய்கிற வேறே இடத்திலாவது 1895ஹ் மார்ச்சு ௴ 7 உ யில் விற்றுப்போடப்படுமென்றும்.

189 5ஹ் [illegible] ௴ 28உ

உத்தியோகஸ்தர்.

28/2/95

5

Pub

5

1134

When given for copying ____
When copied ____
When examined ____
When signed ____ 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. ____ DATED ____ 189 .

CURRENT NO.

ENCLOSURES TO C. NO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.