

Local & Munl. (1895) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 653m.

DATED 10th April 1895

[Despatch Abstract.]

Gratuity.

Stating that, under G.O., dated 16th February 1893, No. 343 L.,
the proposal of the Calicut Municipal Council to grant a
year's pay as----to a certain peon cannot be sanctioned.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[7]

INDEXED.
Miscellaneous.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPT.	
G.O., DATED	10-6-95
No.	653m

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Gratuity

*Stating that under
G.O. No. 16.2.93 No 3434, the
proposal of the Calicut
Mun. Council to grant
a years pay as gratuity
to a certain person can-
not be sanctioned.*

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189, No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 189

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 189

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B. -

- A - Copy in full.
- B - Not to be copied.
- P - Copy abstract only.
- Q - Copy heading only.

Copied by *mm*


Examined by *MT*

Local & Municipal Dept.

PR CURRENT No. 1158 *m*

2

The Chairman
Municipal Council



2

The Chief Secretary
to Government
Local & Municipal Dept.
MADRAS

Dated 26th March 1895
Sent 2 P. 3-90
Recd

En 2

Requesting Government
to sanction a year's
pay as gratuity to
Panola Govindan Nair
Birth & Death Pass
attached to the Calicut
Municipality - with order

*order of 10.4.95 no 653 *m**

Under G.O. of 16th Feb. 1893

343 L. The proposal of the Munici-
pal Council cannot be sanctioned.

A 7/95 Mous

To, The Chairman M.C. Calicut.
1890
Dated 10/4/95

25/4

to the Butts and Dear Peons
under instructions obtained
in G.O. dated 30th Dec 1894
no 995. m. mis. the two Peons were
found shirking work and ^{rather} neglectful
in the performance of their duties.
In my Proceedings dated 9th March 1895 no 217 (Copy submitted), ^{the proposal of the Peons} they
were dismissed on the 9 March 1895.

The Peons appealed to the Council
against my order with the result that
in the case of Govindan the Council
altered the order of dismissal to
an order dispensing with his services
and directed me to address Government
to sanction a year's pay as gratuity
in consideration of his past services.
Comment is unnecessary for me.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant

J. Naradarao
Chairman

Municipal Office

Calicut 26th March 1895

From,

J. Varada Rao Esquire. S. C. &

Chairman Municipal Council

Local & Municipal Dept.

CURRENT No.

1158 M.

2.

The Honorable J. J. Rice. C. S. & C. S.

Chief Secretary to Government

Local and Municipal Department
Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith copy of a resolution of the Council dated 22nd March 1895 requesting Government to sanction a year's pay as gratuity to Pandey Govindan Nair Birth and Death Person attached to this municipality. This man has put in 24 years service in this municipality.

For the information of Government I have the honor to state the following facts - the work of serving notices of Vaccination was delegated to

Peons were directed to serve the notices. Almost from the start they raised difficulties and protested that they could not do so. Their present and past neglect can only be construed to be an attempt to set at naught by persistent disobedience my orders and the intention of Government. This can by no means be permitted. Pandey Nair and Govindan Nair are hereby dismissed from their posts. By Ahmed is warned. He must serve a much larger number of notices than he can. I trust that with the new Peons the work of serving notices will be carried on more satisfactorily -

(Sd) R. Ranaachandra Rao

For Chairman

(True copy)

J. Varadachari
Chairman.

a week and that Govindan Nair
the worst defaulter did not consider
it necessary to appear or tender an
explanation.

Mr Appa Nadunjadi unfortunately
did not address me as soon as he
found the Peons shirking work. Thus January
and February have been allowed to
pass without taking steps to
meet out proper punishment where
it is due. It was not until I got
Mr Appa Nadunjadi to meet me
at the office and advised him to
write to me. Officially that the Commissioner
of 2nd March 1890 was addressed to me.

I called for explanation from
Sankaran Nair and Govindan Nair and
directed both of them to appear in person
before me on 8th March 90. Mr Govindan
Nair appeared.

Government having refused
sanction to entertain 2 Peons
serving notices in connection with
vaccination, the Birth and Death
Peo

of the work of this Department.

In 6 weeks from 1st January 90
to 15th February 1890, Govindan Nair
served only 36 notices, Sankaran Nair
89 and Syed Ahmed 303 notices. It
is perfectly clear that nos 1 and 2
have to tally repeated their work.

Mr Appa Nadunjadi's attention
was drawn to the Vaccinator's short work
on the 19th February 1890. He wrote to
say "a few weeks ago I called upon
them (the Peons) myself for short work
and I was told that it was very
difficult to find out children
who were unprotected". This he
thinks could not be the cause,
the true reason being the notices
serving peons not doing their duty.

Writing on 2nd March 1890 he again
says that one Peon Sankaran Nair
housed up before him with an
explanation that he could
not serve more than 15 notices

No 217
1895

Proceedings of the Chairman
municipal council Calicut

The work of the Vaccinators from the month
of January 1895, having shown a large
decrease, they were called upon for
explanation.

In the explanation submitted
by the Head Vaccinator Dorim Khan, he
points out that while as many as 442
cases were performed by the Vaccinators
in January 1895, the number of notices
served were only 154 - the Vaccinators
are required to attend the booths
and they would attend them in vain
if the Deos who are entrusted with
the service of notices fail to perform
their duties. The work of serving notices
was delegated to the Birth and Death
Deos Govindan Nair and Santharaj Nair
while for the mofla population
a member of the Casseroaney Staff
was placed at the disposal of Mr.
M. Appa Nadungadi to whom the
Council handed the supervision

late Birth and Death Deos
attached to the Calicut
municipality appealing against
the orders of the Chairman dismissing
him from his post.

On appeal the Council
resolved to alter the order of
dismissal to an order dispensing
with his services and in
consideration of his past service
Government be addressed to
sanction a years pay as gratuity.

(Sd) J. Varadachari
Chairman

(True Extract)

J. Varadachari
Chairman

ORD

LET

STATE

PAPER

2.2.1

Proceedings of the Municipal Council
 Calicut at a meeting held on
 the 22nd March 1895

Present

- J. Varada Rao Esq. M.C. S. C. S. Chaima
- M. R. S. Swaminatha Esq. Arungal Rao Bahadur
- G. Zachariah Esq. M.C.
- M. R. S. N. S. Subramania Esq. Arungal
- " J. Ganpat Rao
- " P. A. Krishna Menon
- " E. Subramanian Pillai
- A. W. Brown Esq. M.C.
- B. de. Rozario Esq. M.C.
- M. R. S. Govindjee Ardeeshu Esq.
- " C. Perumalpan
- " K. Krishnan Nair
- J. J. Prinsivasa Pillai Esq. M.C.
- M. R. S. P. P. Karunakara Menon
- " M. Chappu Menon
- " Morrison Esq. M.C.

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____
 189 ,

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.