

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[5]
1,000-17-11-98.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Current No. 2452 of 1895, dated 26th January from the President, District Board
Trichinopoly.

Order. 12-3-95 NO 666

The President's request is inadmissible

18/4/95 W. M. S. S. 26.2.95

To

The President, District Board,
Trichinopoly.
Dated 13/3/95. Note

The break in No 7 in para 2 of the letter can apparently be got over by grant of leave without pay. The break between Nos 5 & 6 can be got over, apparently, by arrears contributions being paid, if the L. B. will accept them. As to the break between Nos 4 & 5 I don't see the difficulty. It was a promotion from one class (Infant Schoolmaster) mentioned in Rule 80 to another class mentioned in the Rule (masters of L. 7. schools of the middle & high from

Narasimha Rao's transfer from Government to Local Fund service as Inspecting Schoolmaster on 23rd April 1899 has not been sanctioned by Government, nor does it appear to have been made in the interests of the public service. The payment of pensionary contribution by the District Board with retrospective effect from that

that date, which is the only course by which his past service could now be admitted to pension, cannot, therefore, be sanctioned, even if the Board were willing to pay such contribution with penal interest. His pensionable service under Local Funds from 23rd April 1879 should also be regarded as having been forfeited on 9th July 1886 when he took up the appointment of a teacher in the Board's Training School, as this post is not one of those mentioned in Local Fund Rule 80 - vide the concluding portion of para. 1 of G.O. dated 7th August 1891 No. 1874 L. The President's request that Narasinga Rao's pensionable service may commence from

23rd

Classes). A training school is a "higher class" school, isn't it?

MS
28/2/95

Please see para 1 of the note for orders stitched with G.O. of 9th August 1889 No 1759 L and G.O. of 25th May 1882 No 383 F D referred to therein, from which it will be observed that it has been held that teachers in L. F. Normal (training) Schools are not entitled to pension under No 80 of the L. F. Rules.

MS
8/3/95

When I am paid nothing can be done

MS
8/3/95

23rd April 1879, and that the break of 26 days in 1892 may be condoned is therefore inadmissible.

If a hand case but there is nothing to be done
MS
26/2/95

Re the break in the service of
Karasunga Rao, Inspr Sch.
Master, Trichinopoly Distt

245 L

4662

A.M.
mise

Note for A.M. with ref^{ce} to
the note written

W. A. S. G.

Office

Some the draft g'o.
note. A.M.'s ^{last} note impliedly
passes it.

W. A. S. G.

Trichinopoly District Board

Office No 6 dated 26 January

Local & Municipal Dept

1895

CURRENT No. 245 L.

From

J. Andrew Esquire

President, District Board

Trichinopoly.

To

The Chief Secretary
to Government Madras.

Sir, I have the honor to submit
the following facts about the
past services of Karasunga Rao,
one of the Inspecting School
Masters in this District and
to request that under the
circumstances herein mentioned
Government will be pleased
to

to consider his case favorably.

2. The subjoined statement shows the several appointments held by Karasinga Rao and the duration of each.

Serial no	Name of post	Date of	
		commencement	termination
1	Second master Govt. Taluk School Pattukottai	17.4.72	31.7.73
2	do Tirurangam	1.8.73	30.9.76
3	Fourth master Govt. Anglo-Vernacular School Tirurangam	1.10.76	22.4.79
4	Inspecting Schoolmaster Trichinopoly District	23.4.79	8.7.86
5	Teacher District Board's Training School Trichinopoly	9.7.86	31.12.91
6	do Govt. Training School Trichinopoly	1.1.92	26.7.92
7	without any employment	27.7.92	21.8.92
8	Inspecting Schoolmaster Trichinopoly District	22.8.92	upto date

In March last he sent a petition requesting me to move Government to condone the break

break of 26 days in his service, vide no. 7 in the above statement.

On a reference to his past services it appeared to me that condonation would not improve his position and I informed him accordingly. My reasons were the following:—

(a) The service put in by him while holding the first three appointments which were under Government became useless for purposes of pension when he took up the fourth —
Appointments

appointment which was under
Local Fund. It does not
appear that he was lent to
Local Fund, and he did

Rather, the D^l M^l

not pay any pensionary
contribution to Government.

(b). Though he had forfeited
the service under Government,
yet his service in the Local
Fund Department was qualifying

for pension under rule 80 of the

Vide Revised
27 rules in
80 1874 L
7-8-91

Local Fund rules. This service
was also forfeited when he
accepted the fifth appointment,

Vide

Vide clause (3) of paragraph (1)
of G.O. dated 7th August 1891,
no. 1874 L. G.O. dated 27th
November 1891 no. 2988 L, which
modified the above clause
is not applicable to the present
case because the appointment
in question is not one of the
appointments referred to in rule
80 of the Local Fund Rules.

(c) Further, the sixth appointment
he took up was under Government.
This fact makes him forfeit
his pensionable service under
Local Fund even supposing
that

that the disqualification referred
to in clause (b) supra did not
exist. Rule 85 (b) does not protect
this case.

3. Karanaga Rao now requests
me to move Government with
a view to his past service
being reckoned as service eligible
for pension. The Inspector of
Schools, Western Circle, strongly
recommends the request. I do
not see how the Service put
in by him under Government
while holding the first three
appointments can be returned.

Whe
Whe
Whe
Whe

READ

Note

As regards the effect
of such transfers,
please see the con-
cluding portion of
para 1780 1874 &
7-8-91

CUR

EN

OR

LI

SI

P

But this entails for
future of previous
service unless pension
rights are secured
by payment of a
contribution as re-
quired in No 84 (b) of
the L. Rules.

The Inspector of Schools says
that Karanaga Rao was trans-
ferred from the fourth appoint-
ment to the fifth in the
interest of education and not
at his own request. Again
when the Training School was
transferred from the District
Board to Government Karanaga
Rao had no option in the matter.
In view of these facts it would
be hard to make him forfeit
his service since the date
of his fourth appointment.
If Government accepts this
view

I request that it will be
pleased to order that his pensionable
service may commence from
23rd April 1879 and to condone
the break of the twenty-six
days referred to above. The
break occurred owing to the
reorganization of the establishments
in Training schools generally
when Narasinga Rao was thrown
out. He was however provided
at the earliest opportunity
and it was inevitable
that he had to remain
without

without employment for 26 days.
This might be treated as leave
without pay for 26 days.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt.
Servt.

A. Krishnamoorthy
for President.

Tichinopoly District
Board's Office

Local & Municipal Dept.
PR CURRENT No. 245. L.

From J. Andrews Esquire
President, District
Board, Tichinopoly

To The Chief Secretary
to Government
Madras.

No. 6

Dated 26 July 1895

Subject

Requesting Govt.
to condone the
break in the
service of
Karasunga Rao
Inspecting Schoolmaster
Tichinopoly District

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 189
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. -

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.