

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[7]

Miscellaneous.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPT.	
G.O., DATED	11-3-95
No.	461 Z

INDEXED.

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Petition -

Declining to grant—
S. Viaswami chetti of
Saidapet, Chingleput Distt.
Certain sites on the Street
purchasable either on sale
or on payment of annual
rent—

2/11

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
1895, No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 189

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 189

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B. —

- A — Copy in full.
- B — Not to be copied.
- P — Copy abstract only.
- Q — Copy heading only.

Copied by *W.H.*
Examined by *S.M.V.*

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Local & Municipal Dept.

PR. CURRENT No. 491 Z

Petition

From

S. Viraswami Chetti
Kobiralechandi Chetti
Saidafel.
Chingleput Dist.

CS
9/10

order 11-3-95-180 461 L.

The Petitioner's request cannot be
Complied with.

13/12/95

M 5395

8/3/95

No. —

Dated 13th February 1895.

Received 18th — Dr. —

Enclosures (3)

SUBJECT.

Praying that, under the
Circumstances stated, Govern-
ment may be pleased to grant
certain sites ~~alleged to be~~
~~encroachment~~ on the sheet
fromboke, either on sale
or on payment of annual
rent.

To The Petitioner
P.L.O.
Dated 12/3/95

(With order)

1841

2.8. 1841
The following is a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to the various committees of the Association for the year 1841.

1841
1842
(1)

Praying that, under the
circumstances of the case,
and in view of the fact that
the Association has been
formed on the basis of
the principle of non-resistance
to war.

986
1841

12th Nov. 1841
The following is a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to the various committees of the Association for the year 1841.

The following is a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to the various committees of the Association for the year 1841. The names are given in alphabetical order. The names of the persons who have been appointed to the various committees of the Association for the year 1841 are given in alphabetical order. The names of the persons who have been appointed to the various committees of the Association for the year 1841 are given in alphabetical order.

21st November 1841
(Signed) W. L. Garrison
W. L. Garrison

(A true translation)

1841

8-1080.

Endorsement

With reference to the petition of
Tholasee Chetty Pensioner residing in gento street Sydhpet
praying that the tax imposed for the encroachment
on the street poramboke for the fusli 1296 may be
cancelled and that sanction may be accorded for
an erection similar to the adjoining house,
the petitioner is informed that the Dy. Collector
in his Takeed no 986 dated 24th September 1887
has ordered the cancellation of the Tax of Amast-2
for the Fusli 1296. Should the above tax have already
been paid refund may be obtained. Should it
not have been paid, it need no longer be paid.

21st November 1887.

(Signed) V. Aravamuthengar
Ag. Tahsildar.

/a True translation/

Handwritten text in Tamil script, likely a translation or original document. The text is written in a cursive style and includes several lines of script. At the bottom right, there is a signature and the date 1887.

Endorsement

63/1080

me and Burns

~~288. 1000 210 40 0 11~~

My dear Mr. [unclear]

Handwritten signature: *W. B. D. D.*

... on the ...

12960

26.6.68 (19) 2000

1870

1. *Chrysomelidae* (Colorado potato beetle)
 2. *Chrysomelidae* (Colorado potato beetle)
 3. *Chrysomelidae* (Colorado potato beetle)
 4. *Chrysomelidae* (Colorado potato beetle)
 5. *Chrysomelidae* (Colorado potato beetle)
 6. *Chrysomelidae* (Colorado potato beetle)
 7. *Chrysomelidae* (Colorado potato beetle)
 8. *Chrysomelidae* (Colorado potato beetle)
 9. *Chrysomelidae* (Colorado potato beetle)
 10. *Chrysomelidae* (Colorado potato beetle)

1870



James Cunningham

En Vogue © Arôme

... ..
... ..

May 22 1895

1. all random



Gotwal lehavadi street

- A Petitioner's House
- B Petitioner's Garden
- C } Verandah in question
- D }
- E Open Ground
- F Cunniah Chetty's House
- G Raised platform.

not sufficiently watched by the police.

17. That under these circumstances your humble petitioner most respectfully begs that your Excellency may be graciously pleased to pass favorable orders on the subject. For which act of kindness and charity your humble petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray for your Excellency's long life and prosperity.

Your Excellency's most Obedt Servt.

S. K. S. Chetty

Gotwal lehavadi Street, Sydapet.

caused no inconvenience whatever during the past several years of its existence and as the building of verandah on the Southern Side also, would be no obstruction to the public, but on the other hand would help to maintain the sanitation of the premises, your petitioner most humbly prays that both the sites may be granted to him either on sale or on annual rental.

16. That your petitioner most humbly begs to state that he would be put to heavy loss and be subject to great mortification if the verandah on the eastern side of the garden the value of whose site had already been paid, be demolished and the land kept vacant for no good purpose at all, but to be a resort for the public for committing all sorts of nuisances, as was the case before the verandah was erected, and as is the case with all open grounds

not

dated the 9th February 1895 stated that the orders of Government on the subject were final and that he would be bound to execute the same.

13. That your petitioner most humbly begs to point out that Government has very recently sanctioned the sale of similar land to one Mr. V. K. Ramamija Charlu B.A residing in the Chetty Street Sydapet and prays that the same indulgence be granted to your petitioner in respect of the land for which value has long ago been paid for by your petitioner's father, as also for the construction of a verandah on the southern side of the garden in continuation of the verandah in front of your petitioner's house.

14. That your petitioner begs also to point out that the authorities at Sydapet have recently granted similar lands in certain cases for annual rental.

15. That as the verandah on the eastern side of your petitioner's garden

caused

m 80 1344 L
23-7-94

be removed by the authorities and the cost incurred for demolishing would be recovered from your petitioner; or proceedings would be conducted against your petitioner criminally under sections 268 and 283 of the Indian Penal Code. That in another notice dated the 2^d Decr 95 the Taluk Board informed your petitioner that a refund might be obtained of the value paid for the lands in question within 8 days after service of this notice in as much as Government would not sanction the sale as recommended by the Board.

12. That on receipt of above notices your petitioner submitted an appeal to the President, Taluk Board on the 4th Decr 95 praying that the land in question might be inspected in person, in view to the Government being addressed on satisfying himself that the structure thereon which is exactly in a line with the next house causes no obstruction to the public. That the President in his reply dated

could not be considered an encroachment, your petitioner's father appealed to the Taluk Board to reconsider their decision and give the land as recommended by the collector. That as this appeal proved ineffectual and as the value demanded was very much in excess of the value prevailing at Sydapet, another petition was submitted to the Taluk Board to reduce the value at least in proportion to the market value. As this petition also met with the same result as the preceding one, your Petitioner's father could see no other alternative but to pay the value demanded by the Taluk Board.

11. That the Taluk Board in their notice dated the 31st January 1895 issued an order to the effect that if the alleged encroachment be not removed and the fact reported within 15 days after service of the notice or any objection to the removal be not represented within that period, the said encroachment would

Apparently on
2587 L
13-12-94

been effected until then for similar buildings at Sydapet, the Collector and President, District Board was addressed on the subject in detail on the 30th July 1888 praying that the matter might be fully inquired into and such orders as he might deem just be passed.

9. That the Collector and President, District Board Mr J. Leewarner having inspected the spot transferred the above petition to the Taluk Board under his endorsement no: 1424d dated 31st July 1888 stating that "the best plan would be to give that piece of land to the petitioner"; which recommendation however, the Taluk Board did not accept but insisted on the land being bought up at the rate of annas 2 per square foot or the alleged encroachment being removed.

10. That as the verandah was built in a line with the next house and on the same principle as the verandah of that house or of any other house and as such could

been paid a refund of the same might be obtained and if not, it need not be paid at all.

6. That in the absence of any further information in the above endorsement, it was naturally inferred that there was no objection to the verandah being built both on the eastern and southern sides of the garden in continuation of those adjoining them, and on this supposition the building on the eastern side of the garden was completed.

7. That your petitioner's father who however apprised by a notice dated the 25th July 1888, issued by the Tahsildar of Sydapet stating that he should pay Rupees 34-2-0 as the value of the side of the verandah on the eastern side of the garden as resolved by the Taluk Board and that he would be liable for criminal prosecution if the amount was not paid within 15 days from the date of service of the notice.

8. That as no recoveries appeared to have been

its completion for want of some materials.

4. That some time after, the village Munsiff and the Bannam intimated to your petitioner's father, that the new structure was taxed by the Revenue authorities.

5. That your petitioner's father in representing the above circumstances in detail to the General charge Deputy Collector in his petition of the 1st June 1887, requested him to cancel the tax said to have been imposed on the incomplete structure and to grant him permission at the same time to construct a verandah on the southern side of the garden in continuation of that in front of your petitioner's house. That the orders of the Deputy Collector thereon as communicated by the Tahsildar in his Endorsement No. 1080 dated 21st November 1887 was to the effect that the tax of annas 5-2 imposed on the land for the fasli 1296 was cancelled, that if the tax had already

side original with
a translated copy
attached

been

that on the day following the Commencement of the building, the Sheristadar Magistrate of the Sydapet Taluk came over to the spot and informed your petitioner's father that no rooms should be constructed in front of the garden but that there would be no objection to Pials being built instead.

That a few days after this i.e. on the 18th February 1887 your petitioner's father was summoned before the above Magistrate who ordered him to remove the erections forthwith. That your petitioner's father removed the erection in obedience to the above order of the Magistrate and then asked him on the following day whether there would be a similar objection to his building a verandah on the eastern side of the garden in a line with the verandah of the said Banniah Chetty's house on the north.

That the Sheristadar after consulting the village Bannam assured your petitioner's father that there was no objection.

3. That your petitioner's father then commenced the building on the eastern side and raised walls 3 or 4 feet high but postponed it

Sydapet.

13th February 1895

Local Dispensary Dept.

NT No.

To

His Excellency The Right-Honorable

Lord Wenlock G.C.I.E.

Governor in Council

Fort Saint George.

May it please Your Excellency—

The humble petition of S. Veerasawmy
Chetty residing in Botwalechavadi
Street, Sydapet most humbly sheweth,

1. That your petitioner owns a small
garden adjoining his house bounded on the north
by the house belonging to one Lunniah Chetty, on
the east by the Local Dispensary Road, on the
south by the Botwalechavadi Street and on the west
by his own house - Vide Diagram attached.

2. That in February 1887 your petitioner's
father, who died in April last, began the construction
of a verandah on the southern side of the said
garden on an existing foundation mainly with
the object of securing more safety to his house and
maintaining good sanitation in its precincts.

That

Local Dispensary Dept.

PR. CURENT No. 664 L

From

S. Veera Sarami Chetty

residing in Kattulchavadi
Street.

Saidapet

Dated 28 Feb 1895

Received 1 March 1895

Praying that pending the orders
of Court on his petition of 13.2.95
he may be spared from being
prosecuted by the Saidapet
Tg Bd for encroaching on a
certain street adjoining
his garden.

Sydapet.

13th February 1895.

NT No.

His Excellency The Right-Honorable

Lord Wenlock G.C.S.E.

Governor in Council

Fort Saint George.

May it please your Excellency—

The humble petition of S. Veerasawmy
Chetty residing in Botwalehavadi
Street, Sydapet most humbly sheweth,

1. That your petitioner owns a small
garden adjoining his house bounded on the north
by the house belonging to one Lunniah Chetty, on
the east by the Local Fund Dispensary Road, on the
south by the Botwalehavadi Street and on the west
by his own house - Vide Diagram attached.

2. That in February 1887 your petitioner's
father, who died in April last, began the construction
of a verandah on the southern side of the said
garden on an existing foundation mainly with
the object of securing more safety to his house and
maintaining good sanitation in its precincts.

That

Sydapet

28th February 1895.

To

His Excellency,

The Right Honorable Lord Wenlock, G.C.S.E.,

Governor and President in Council,

Fort Saint George.

May it please your Excellency.

The humble petition of S. Veerasawmy
Chetty residing in the Botwalehavadi Street, Sydapet
most humbly sheweth,

That your petitioner submitted an appeal
to your Excellency on the 13th February 1895 praying that
the sale of the land on the eastern side of his garden in
the local fund dispensary road at Sydapet, the value
of which had already been recovered by the Taluk Board

4 th September 1873	Rs 34-2-0	as shewn in the margin might
28 th July 1894	14-13-0	be sanctioned, or that the land
	38-15-0	

might be given to him for an annual rental, as such
concessions have very recently been granted to some
at Sydapet.

2.

That the President Taluk Board
having been informed of the above fact on the 15th
February 1895, with reference to his notices dated

3rd.

3rd January and 2nd and 9th February 1895 referred to in paras 11 and 12 of your petitioner's appeal, the President, in his order dated the 24th February 1895, intimated that in accordance with the order already issued the structure on the Street Corombeke should be removed, that in default your petitioner would not only be prosecuted for disobedience of orders but that the building would be removed, and the cost incurred thereby recovered from your Petitioner.

3. That as the land in question which was sold to your petitioner by the Taluk Board at the rate of Rs 300/- per ground while the market value is only Rs 100/-, is not required for any public purpose at present, and as nothing can be gained by removing a structure which without in the least degree encroaching upon the public thoroughfare, adds beauty to the street and maintains good sanitation, your petitioner most humbly prays that his petition dated 13th February 1895 may receive due consideration, and that he may be spared from being prosecuted by the Taluk Board, pending your Excellency's final decision on the subject.

4. For this act of kindness and charity your petitioner begs to remain,

Your Excellency's Most Obedient Servant,

S. K. S. Chetty

Cotwalchavady Street, Sydapet.

Local: *Ammanur* Dept.
PR. CURRENT No. 664 L

From

S. Veera Sarami Lehetli

residing in Kallūl Chauady

Street,

Saidapet

Dated 28th Feb 1895

Received 4th March 1895

Praying that pending the orders
of Court: on his petition of 13.2.95
he may be spared from being
prosecuted by the Saidapet
Tg Bd for encroaching on a
certain street adjoining
his garden.

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189.

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189,

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. -

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.