

53
L & M.
9-8-96.
Local & Munl. (1895) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 457/n

DATED 16th March 1895

[Despatch Abstract.]

Burial-grounds.

Requesting the Sanitary Commissioner to specify the---in
Tinnevely which his Deputy refers to as objectionable in his
inspection notes in G.O., dated 29th January 1895, No. 136 M.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

INDEXED.

Miscellaneous.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPT.
 G.O., DATED 16-3-95
 No. 457 m

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Burial grounds.

Requesting the Sanit
 Commr to specify the
 in himself which his Deputy refers
 to as objectionable in
 his inspection notes in
 Jo. 29.1-95 no 136 m.

27-2-13✓

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189 , No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

BACK PAPER, No.

of 189

FURTHER PAPER, No. *Cuo 1124* of 189
95

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

Copied by *M. H.*
Examined by *M.*

Recd on March 10th 1895. Thimbleby Collector's Office.
Dated 2nd Feb^{ry} 1895.

From C. B. D. - 18

W. B. Higgins & Son
47: Collector of Truroville
CURRENT NO.

The Chief Secretary to Government
Local and Municipal Department
Fort St. George.

Ser.

Referring to para 2 of G. O. no 136. A
dated 29.1.95 regarding the closure of ob-
jectionable burial and burning grounds,
I have the honor to state that the subject of
the Removal of the Mahomedan burial
ground from the banks of the channel
within Municipal limits has been repeatedly
represented to the Council since 1885 by the
Sanitary Commissioner and the absence of
energetic action on the part of the Council
towards its removal has been indicated
in my endorsement dated 2nd February 1895
on C.O. no. 2082 74 Jul.

272hh
22-2-95

2. As regards the closure of objectionable burning grounds, the Sanitary Commissioner pointed out in his inspection notes embodied in G.O. No. 147-M. Financial, dated 17th February 1886 that the burning grounds used by the people of Timewelly, Teroru on the banks of the Jambhavanthi at Karuppanthurai were located so close to Melmarthalam Village that they were a serious nuisance dangerous to the public health.

3. The Melnattam people were, from 1871,

inwards petitioning regarding the close proximity of the Kamppanthurai burning grounds to their village. The place was inspected by several collectors before whom the subject Melnattam people was believed to be well founded, yet, for the reasons detailed in Collector's letter no. 655-23-12-85 to Government, it did not appear to any one practicable to remove the burning grounds to a less objectionable site. On perusal of this letter, the Government in G.O. No. 60-11 (M) dated 22nd January 1886 directed the Collector to inform the Melnattam Villagers that their request to remove the burning grounds to a site then selected by them could not be complied with as the proposal then made involved a transfer of the nuisance complained of, to others and that any other suitable suggestion would at any time be considered.

4. The Melnattam Villagers made one proposal after another subsequently which were each considered by collectors as they came up, but still no solution was arrived at. In 1892, they sent in a memorial to Government which was referred to the Collector. It contained proposals to shift the burning grounds to another site selected by them - The Divisional Officer and the Collector Mr. Forbes inspected the site proposed and other available pambakes, lands in view of selecting a site which will be acceptable to the residents of Trinnevelly town and which will relieve the Melnattam Villagers of the nuisance complained of. Mr. Forbes finally passed orders to the effect that the objections raised by the residents of Trinnevelly to the several sites suggested were more or less

Probably transferred

reasonable and not such as he was prepared to overrule and that the only remedy he could see was by private arrangement on the part of the Melnattam Villagers who would have to subscribe towards the provision of a suitable mantapam at any unobjectionable site that might be purchased. He further observed that both sides of the river had villages closely adjacent and there was no available pambake. No suggestion has been received from the Melnattam Villagers subsequently. The burning ground does not lie within the Trinnevelly Municipal limits.

5. In the circumstances the Municipality has been in a position to do anything in respect of the removal of the burning ground at Kamppanthurai. I am not aware of any other burning grounds the closure of which should be undertaken by the Municipality and submit that the report on the subject now called for by Government from the Council may be dispensed with.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

curd/aggent

Ag. Collector

1-3-95
1-3-95

