

L. & M.
[000-6-8-96.]

Local & Munl. (1895.) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 350 L.

DATED 26th Feby 1895.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Land.

Ordering the publication in the Fort St. George Gazette of
a notification for the acquisition of ---- required for a Local
Fund school building at Narasapatnam.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[7]

Miscellaneous.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPT.	
G.O., DATED	26-2-75
No.	350 Z

INDEXED.

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Land

Ordering the publication ^{in the Fort St. George Gazette} of a notification for the acquisition of — required for a L. F. school building at Narsapatam.

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 189

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189, No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

FURTHER PAPER, No

OF 189

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DIITC.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DIITC.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DISPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B. -

- A - Copy in full.
- B - Not to be copied.
- P - Copy abstract only.
- Q - Copy heading only.

Copied by

Examined by *Sul*

DEPARTMENT.

FROM

THE COLLECTOR OF VIZAGAPATAM.

Local & Municipal Dept.
PR. CURRENT No. 465.L.

TO

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Local & Municipal DEPARTMENT,
MADRAS.

Dated

Received

8th February 1895.

CHIEF SECRETARY
RE. A. No. 405
DISPATCH
DEPARTMENT OF MADRAS
ENCLOSURES.

Subject.

Forwarding for approval & publication
in the Port Saint George Gazette a draft
notification for the acquisition of lands
required for a Local Fund School building
at Varsapatam.

*N.
Miss.*

Order 26.2.95 No. 350L

The draft notification,
as amended by Government,
will be published in the Port
Saint George Gazette.

*18
22/2/95*

Wrs 2/95

(in a school building)

The Collector of Vizagapatam
Gazette
Copy 28/2/95

(With order) *Am
19/2*

Re. C. No. *405/95*
Dis.

VIZAGAPATAM COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,

Dated *8 February* 1895.

From

F. D. A. O. WOLFE-MURRAY Esq^r,

Ag. Collector,

Vizagapatam District.

To

THE HONORABLE

J. G. Price,

CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Local & Municipal DEPARTMENT,

MADRAS.

SIR,

I have the honor to forward herewith for approval and publication in the Port Saint George Gazette a draft notification under Act I of 1894 for the acquisition of 18 cents of land in the village of Narsapatam required by the Local Funds Department for the construction of a school building. The owner of the land has no objection to its acquisition.

I have the honor to be

Sir
Yours most obedient servant,

for Ag. Collector.

*OK*When gi
When co
When e
When

READ

CURRENT

EN

Enclosure in a letter
from the Collector
Vizagapatam to the
Chief Secretary to Govt
No 405 dated 8 Feb/95

Draft notification for
the acquisition of land
for a school building
Narsapatam

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189 ,

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH RITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. —

A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.

NOTIFICATION.

Under Section 6, Act No. 1870, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council hereby declares that the land mentioned in the following Schedule and measuring acres . 1800 , be the same a little more or less, is needed for a public purpose, to wit, for the Public School building ; and under Sections 3 and 7 of the same Act the Madras District Collector is appointed to perform the functions of a Collector under the Act and directed to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

This being a case of urgency, the said Order is directed to take effect from the date of the said Act.

SCHEDULE.

District.	Taluk.	Village.	Description of land, Wet or Dry, Inam or Potamboke, with Survey or Paimash Number.	BOUNDARIES OF THE LAND REQUIRED TO BE TAKEN UP.				Extent to be taken up.	Estimated cost of the land to be taken up, the work for which lands are required.		
				North.	East.	South.	West.		Rs.	A.	P.
Madras District	Gopuram	Madras District	Dry Potamboke Survey No. 158	Land in Madras District, Potamboke, Survey No. 158, containing a small portion of the land required for the building of the school.				1800	4	1	8
President's Order, Madras District, 1870.											
for the Collector.											

FOR COPIES ONLY



Proceedings of the Collector of Chingleput District.
Dated 25th September 1894.
Read G. O. No 1662 L. Mis. dated 1st September
1894 with its enclosures.

Order

Audit Clerk G. Appadorai Mudaliyar is sus-
pended for one month as a punishment for
impertinence and fined 5 days' pay for idleness.

/sd/ P. Rammakara Menon
for Sub-Collector in
charge.

/True Copy/

hmf
Treasury Dy Collector.

Application No. 288 for } on 13-12-94
copy received

Stamp Papers called for 3/9-12-94

Stamp Papers produced 18-12-94

Copy ready 18-12-94

Copy delivered ~~sent~~ 18-12-94
to post to the applicant.



cpd by. V. Subramaniam
exd by. C. Chinnappaiah
No. of words 67.

67.
received were the 23rd to 26th Nov. The 27th Dec. that



228-12-94
 13-12-94
 No. 1111464



Total & ...
 11

ENDORSEMENT NO.

1. No. and date of application. *No. 288. 4-10-94.*
2. Name and residence of the applicant. *y. Appadorai Hindalhar of Saidapet.*
3. Subject matter of the application. *1. Collector's order 2. J.D.C.'s remarks.*
4. Order *1. The petitioner is informed that the records, copy of which is applied for are not forthcoming on the records this office.*

2. Refused as *only* Copy of No. 1 will be granted on his presenting one anna court fee label to be affixed to the application and one two anna copy stamp for writing on. *for Collector.*

Saidapet, 6 October 1894.

DESPATCHED
 10 OCT. 94

Cur
 Record-keeper.

10/10

35
 288/10/94

...was necessary
 ...the 23^d to 26th No. The ...



LOCAL & CURRENT TO 3862

The Honourable J. F. Price,
Chief Secretary to Government
(Through the Collector, Chingleput District)

The humble appeal petition
of Y. Appatharaj Mudelliar, Auditor,
Collectors office, Saidapet, against
the order, dated 25th September 1894
of Mr. N. S. Brodie, the Sub-Collector,
in charge, suspending the petitioner
for one month and fining him
5 days pay, on the receipt of the
order of Government No. 1662 L. P.
dated 1st September 1894.

Most Respectfully Sheweth,
1. That the Petitioner begs to
submit that, under the written
orders of the Treasury Deputy Collector,
he went to Ponnéri to audit the
accounts of that Union for 6 years.
The petitioner completed the audit
in 10 days, though the time allowed
for the work according to office
orders is 22 days. The Treasury
Deputy collector, however, considered
that the petitioner stayed in
Ponnéri, longer than was necessary.

The proceeding this order from the D.C.
was peculiar. Under an office order, the
Petitioner was acquainted that the D.C.
as a new arrival was not, the audit
clerk was bound to stop at 4.30 p.m.
the 22nd to the end of every month to
check the L.F. Engineer's accounts. He
took advantage of the D.C.'s ignorance of
the order to obtain the permission re-
fused to. He remained away from
the 23rd April 94 till 30 May.
S.H.

The P.D. learned that Petitioner was engaged on
the audit on the 23rd, 27th, 28th & 29th Apr & on
3rd May. The days of the important Ponnéri
festivals were the 23rd to 26th Apr. The P.D. held that

and recommended to the Collector that his pay and travelling allowance for 5 days should be stopped. The Collector had, after duly investigating the matter and looking into the petitioner's audit report of Ponneri Union, directed that he should get no travelling allowance, remarking that "what is proved is disregard of office order as to the time the Auditor should be at Head. quarters". Against this order the petitioner respectfully appealed to Government, which took objection to the tone of his appeal petition and observed that he was leniently dealt with. On receipt of the G.O. the petitioner duly apologised to the Treasury Deputy Collector for the tone of his petition and he being satisfied that the petitioner never meant to be impertinent, accepted the apology and recommended to the Acting Sub. Collector in charge that the papers might be recorded. But to the petitioner's misfortune Mr N. S. Brodie had, however, suspended him for one month and fined him

(2) pete continued to go to Ponneri as duty as to avoid travelling at his own expense dependent on the Festival &c.

Mr Thomas added "The auditor evidently combined business with pleasure in going to & being at Ponneri &c."

G.O. 1st Sept 94 No 1662/Mis. L.
The words of the G.O. were:—

"The Gmt. is of opinion that the collector's order errs on the side of leniency, for it permits the audit clerk to draw pay for days on which he did no work, and passes over his impertinence to the Dy. Colr.
2. The Colr will be requested to reconsider the case in the light of these remarks."

S.H.

Pay ~~stop~~ was stopped for the ^{five} days on which no work was done; & t. a. for the whole trip because he really went for his own purposes in order to be present at the festival.
The suspension was ~~proper~~ a separate ^{matter for the} impertinent misconduct.

5 days pay, which together with the stoppage of the travelling allowance and batta for the whole trip, constitutes a triple punishment for one and the same fault.

2. It is said in the order of the Sub. Collector in charge that the petitioner had been suspended for one month for impertinence and fined 5 days pay for idleness. A copy of his order is herein enclosed.

3. The petitioner begs to submit that the alleged display of impertinence refers probably to para 4 of his petition to Government dated 30th June 1899.

In this, the petitioner only meant that the inspection was not arranged for as required by Government and not the orders of Government were wilfully set aside by Treasury Deputy Collector. The petitioner explained this and apologised to that officer who was satisfied with the explanation and apology as stated already. The petitioner

leaves

(4)
leaves to the benign consideration of Government whether he deserved really any punishment on this account, after the officer to whom he is presumed to have been impertinent, has accepted his explanation and apology.

4. As regards the alleged charge of idleness for which the petitioner has been fined 5 days pay, he begs to submit that this is founded on a report of Tahsildar of Ponnur, who was not in a position to judge of the work done by the petitioner. The permanent Collector Mr. J. Thompson on full investigation was satisfied that the report was incorrect and unreliable and allowed the pay for the 5 days, but stopped only the allowance for the whole trip consisting of 12 days, remarking that the petitioner, combined business with pleasure. The petitioner begs most respectfully to submit to the kind consideration of Government whether the Collector's remark does not show that he was satisfied that the

The apology was for the ~~personal~~ impertinence as far as the T.D.L. was personally concerned. But the Subf. M^r had to consider the pet's conduct as a breach of public discipline: he found that the pet had been impertinent to two other Dy. Collectors

S.H.

Mr Thomson expressed no such opinion. He considered the stoppage of t.a. & halting allowance for the whole period to be a sufficient punishment

S.H.

L. & M.
9,000-8-8-96.

(5)

the petitioner had done work during the 5 days referred to and that the stoppage of allowance for 12 days is not a sufficient punishment for the presumption of his having combined business with pleasure.

5. If the petitioner had been allowed an opportunity to explain all these facts to the acting Sub. Collector in charge he would probably not have passed such a severe order. The petitioner now begs to throw himself on the mercy of the gracious Government and prays that in consideration of his long and conscientious service of 33 years as will be evident from the copy of his Testimonials, submitted with his appeal petition dated 30th June 1894, and in consideration of his advanced age of 53 years and his conduct in having given complete satisfaction to great many European officers of

The Subf. M^r had all the papers ~~sent~~ before him

S.H.

leaves to the benign consideration

(4)

(6)
direct supervision he served,
he may be excused and
the punishment awarded by
the acting Sub. Collector in
Charge remitted. The petitioner
feels this punishment very
keenly after a spotless career
of 33 years. He presumes
that it could not be the
intention of Government in
passing the order of 1st Sep-
tember 1894, that he should
be dealt with such a
punishment even after
he apologised to the
very officer who had re-
ported him and after
that officer accepted his
apology and recommended
that no further action
need be taken.

Y. Appa Rao Mudra

Auditor

22-12-94.

Saidapet
22nd December
1894

Encls: 1

Chingleput-8L
Reg do 3086 Petition
27-12-94

This was a mere ^{request} for
mercy.

8/2

To

The Chief Secretary to
Government, Madras

with records and

L. & M.
P. 000-6-8-96.

To

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Current No. 3864 of 1895, dated 5th February from the Collector of Chingleput.

Order. 26-2-95 No. 349 Linn.

The Government sees no reason to interfere on behalf of the petitioner.

AN
24/2/95

1895
21/2/95

To
The Collector of Chingleput
with 33 Enclosures returned
+ Per Packet Post Dupl. 28/2/95

Note

Please see the notes and orders in G.O. dated 1st September 1894 No. 1662. With reference to that order, the Sub Collector, who was in charge of the Collector's office, suspended the petitioner for one month as a punishment for impertinence, and fined him five days pay for idleness. ^{which was not, it is submitted, severe.} The petitioner was

FROM

THE COLLECTOR OF Chingleput
LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT.
PR. CURRENT No. 3862

TO

THE Chief Secretary to
Government L. & M. Dept.
Madras

Dated 5 February 1895.

Reference No. 3086
P. No. 1204

ENCLOSURE
7 FEB. 1895
SECRET
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Submitting the appeal
petition of Appadurai
Mudaliyar, Local Fund
Auditor, with remarks,
appealing against the
order of the Collector
suspending him for one
month as a punishment for impertinence
to the Treasury by Collector, and fining him
five days pay for idleness.

(24)
appeals against the punishment on the ground that, as he had on the receipt of the go. above referred to, submitted an apology to the Treasury Deputy Collector, which was duly accepted by him, no further action was necessary on the part of the Collector. As regards this, the present Collector, Mr. Forbes, remarks that the apology was for the impertinence so far as the Treasury Deputy Collector was personally concerned, and that the Sub Collector had to consider the petitioner's conduct as a breach of public discipline, and that he found that the petitioner had been impertinent to two other Deputy Collectors also -

I write with regard to this man in G.O. No. 1062 dated 1/3/84

He was always inclined, though a good work man to be impertinent and indisobedient. He was punished by Mr. Thomson mainly for sending in a claim for travelling & halting allowance to a position in which he was manifestly not entitled. His impertinence to the then Mr. Cole & some of his predecessors was passed over without notice and thus was not laid on the point that having done nothing on Sunday days upon which he should have worked he should not receive pay for these. Mr. Brodie punished him for the two latter offences and the latter seems now to have none to swear measure. If I had been his superior he would have fared far worse than he has. There can be no doubt that he made the audit of the Dornier house act a pretext for going to the festivals there at the public expense and that in order to do this he wilfully withheld from the D. Coll. information regarding a certain order which he knew would prevent his going to Dornier when he did. He further did no work for several days & when he knew that trouble would come of the matter he took in what was no doubt a false certificate. His months leave Thomson would have been none to swear a punishment for such conduct.

J.D.
21/2/85

Appeal of Y. Appadurai
Hindoo at Bangalore, Chingle
against his suspension

386L

349L

Handwritten notes in margin

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 189

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189, No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 189

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DISPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not pagged).

N.B.-

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.

Copied by *6/2/11*
Examined by *Sun*