R

[L. & M. / 2,000-8-2-96.]

Local & Munl. (1895) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 295 M

DATED 26th February 1895

[Despatch Abstract.]

Municipal Council.

Ordering, with remarks, that the election of M.R.Ry. T. Sivakamiah Pantulu Garu as a member of the Bezwada---be notified.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

NOTIFICATION.

Under Section 10 of the Madras District Municipalities Act, 1884, the undermentioned gentlemen have been duly elected Municipal Councillors of the Municipality of Bezwada :—

MRRy T. Sivakamiah Pantulu Garu.

To.

The collector, Kistna.

The humble representation of K.V. Seshacharyulu, Inamdar, a candidate in the recent election for the Local municipal council, for ward No. 4 Bezwada

Respectfully showeth,

That in the polling of votes for ward No. 4 at Bezwada on the 30th Ultimo, the officer who was entrusted with the business left as invalid the vote given by the petitioner for himself.

2. The petitioner however is confident that the rules that were in force till the 30th Nov. could not have stood in the way of his voting for himself. In fact the recent publication of a G.O draft of election rules states that the objections & suggestions will be considered on or after the 1st Dec. & hence the G.O draft cannot affect his vote which was given on the 30th Nov.

put up

× G.O 1122 M
21-8-94

3. The petitioner begs further to state that the vote given by V. Seetaramabrahmam Garu on behalf of

Gazette Part IA

C. No 1650

eighty

To.

The collector, Kistna.

The humble representation of K.V. Seshacharyulu, Inamdar, a candidate in the recent election for the Local municipal council, for ward No. 4.

Respectfully showeth,

That in the polling of votes for ward No. 4 at Bezwada on the 30th Ultimo, the officer who was entrusted with the business left as invalid the vote given by the petitioner for himself.

2. The petitioner however is confident that the rules that were in force till the 30th Nov. could not have stood in the way of his voting for himself. In fact the recent publication of a G.O× draft of election rules, states that the objections & suggestions will be considered on or after the 1st Dec. & hence the G.O draft cannot affect his vote which was given on the 30th Nov.

put up

× G.O 1122 M
21-8-94

3. The petitioner begs further to state that the vote given by V. Seetaramabrahmam Garu on behalf of

N. Ref. on No. 1610/English '94

DESPATCHED
15 DEC. 94

R. ref.

forwarded to the ~~Head~~ Chairman Bezwada Municipality ~~Asst Collector~~ for report with reference to his No 4257/30.11.94

(To be returned)

J. M. Atkinson
11/12
Collector

R 869
14/12/94

Pa
8/12

For orders

Election rules are put up.

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
RECEIVED
5 DEC. 94
MASULIPATAM

BS 7/12

M.V.
please find out if G.O. 1122 M/21-8-94 registered in our branch
BS 6/12

Why no d.s.p. marked. Find out

Mr. M.V.
see if cir.n is noted in the regr.
BS 8/12

yes sir. mentioned in the cir.n

Sir It was sent to cir.n
BS 8/12

Keep inside papers by
BS 13/12

No. 1368

From,

The Chairman,
Bezvada Municipality.

To,

The Collector of
Kistna

Dated 17 } December 1894.
Despatched }
Received }

Sir,
In returning herewith your endorsement on Ref. No. 1610/English of 15.12.94 I have the honor to report that it was true that the candidate's vote for himself was cancelled under G.O. No. 1122 M/21.8.94. The Chairman delegate was wrong in cancelling the vote under the said G.O. as it is only a draft rule. The objection seems to be valid. I regret however that the candidate in question should so early have shown so complete a misapprehension of his rights and duties

made in para 3 of the petition it is found that V. Seetharamabrahmam has been practising as a pleader in the District Munsiff's Court at Gudiwada for more than 2 years and he has not been residing in Bezwada. It appears from the tax registers, he is only paying an aggregate tax of Rs 3-0-5 on houses and lands. His name was included in the list because the voters' lists were prepared about 2 years ago when Mr. Seetharamabrahmam was practising as a pleader in this District Munsiff's Court paying income tax and other taxes. As the entry of his name was not objected to by any of the voters under Rule 9 embodied in G.O. No. 1357 M / 7.10.93, the name was not removed from the list. I submit that the objections should have been made in time or not at all. The vote seems to me valid. Rule 36 gives the grounds in which objection is good after the pole. The disqualification of a voter is not one of these. The vote must stand.

3. Regarding the objection noted in para 4, I have the honor to inform that the Chairman delegate corrected the office clock with the Telegraph time before the polling began. The voter was late by two minutes & the Clock was shown to the candidate. The vote therefore could not be accepted.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt Servant

S Lingayya

made in para 3 of the petition it is found that V. Peethanmahramchan has been practising as a pleader in the District Munsiff's Court at Gudivada for more than 2 years and he has not been residing in Bezwada. It appears from the tax registers, he is only paying an aggregate tax of Rs 3-0-5 on houses and lands. His name was included in the list because the voters' lists were prepared about 2 years ago when Mr. Peethanramabranchan was practising as a pleader in this District Munsiff's Court paying income tax and other taxes. As the entry of his name was not objected to by any of the voters under Rule 9 embodied in G.O. No. $\frac{1357 M}{7.10.93}$, the name was not removed from the list. I submit that the objections should have been made in time or not at all. The vote seems to me valid, Rule 36 gives the grounds in which objection is good after the pole. The disqualification of a voter is not one of these. The vote must stand.

3. Regarding the objection noted in para 4, I have the honor to inform that the Chairman delegate corrected the office clock with the Telegraph time before the polling began. The voter was late by two minutes & the Clock was shown to the candidate. The vote therefore could not be accepted.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obed^t Servant,

S. Luparella

of Mr. Rangachari Garu is not valid as the voter is not a resident of the place for the last six months, nor is he paying the required aggregate tax of Rs 3-12-0 annually.

4. The petitioner also begs to bring to your honour's notice that the polling officer did not allow a voter of the petitioner to vote, simply because the voter came just 2 minutes late according to polling officer's time, ~~but he was~~ not late according to the voter's or petitioner's time.

5 The petitioner therefore humbly begs your honour to arrange to have the matter reconsidered & declare the results accordingly.

Your most obedient servt.
K. V. Seshacharyalu.

4th Dec 94
Bezwada

N. Ref. on No $\frac{1610}{\text{English}}$ 784

DESPATCHED
15 DEC. 94

Ref.

forwarded to the ~~Head~~ Chairman Bezwada Municipality ~~Asst Collector~~ for report with reference to his

R 869
17/12/94

No 4251
30.11.94
(To be returned)

J. H. Atkinson
11/12
Collector

Pa
8/12

~~For orders~~

Election rules are put up.

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
RECEIVED
5 DEC. 94
MASULIPATAM.

BP
7/12

M.V.
please find out if
G.O. $\frac{1122\ M}{21-8-94}$ registered
in our branch
BP 6/12

Sir, Where sent to circ.?
BP 8/12

Mr. M.V.
See if circ. is
noted in the
regr. BP 8/12

Keep ... papers by
BP 13/12

No. 1368

From,

The Chairman,

Bezvada Municipality.

To,

The Collector of
Kistna

Dated 17
Despatched
Received
December 1894.

Sir,

In returning herewith your endorsement on Ref. No $\frac{1610}{\text{English}}$ of 15.12.94 I have the honor to report that it was true that the candidate's vote for himself was cancelled under G.O. No $\frac{1122\ M}{21.8.94}$. The Chairman delegate was wrong in cancelling the vote under the said G.O. as it is only a draft rule. The objection seems to be valid. I regret however that the candidate in question should so early have shown so complete a misapprehension of his rights and duties

As to the objection

made in para 3 of the petition it is found that V. Peethanmabramham has been practising as a pleader in the District Munsiff's Court at Gudiwada for more than 2 years and he has not been residing in Bezwada. It appears from the tax registers, he is only paying an aggregate tax of Rs 3-0-5 on houses and lands. His name was included in the list because the voters' lists were prepared about 2 years ago when Mr Peethramabramham was practising as a pleader in this District Munsiff's Court paying income tax and other taxes. As the entry of his name was not objected to by any of the voters under Rule 9 embodied in G.O. No 1357 M / 7.10.93, the name was not removed from the list. I submit that the objections should have been made in time or not at all. The vote seems to me valid, Rule 36 gives the grounds in which objection is good after the pole. The disqualification of a voter is not one of these. The vote must stand.

3. Regarding the objection noted in para 4, I have the honor to inform that the Chairman delegate corrected the office clock with the Telegraph time before the polling began. The voter was late by two minutes & the clock was shown to the candidate. The vote therefore could not be accepted.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt Servant

S Lingayya

From, Re No 1610/English of 1894

The Chairman,

Bezvada Municipality.

To,

The Collector Kistna

Dated 17
Despatched 18 December 1894
Received

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE RECEIVED 22 DEC. 94 MASULIPATAM

Enclosures. 1

Subject.

No. 1368

Returning endorsement on Ref. ce No 1610/Eng of 15.12.94 and reporting with reference to this office No 1251/30.11.94

C. 1586

2/363 No of 95

No. 1251

From,

The Chairman,

Bezvada Municipality.

To,

The Collector of Kistna

Dated 30
Despatched
Received } November 1894.

Sir, I have the honor to inform you that the election of the undermentioned wards is held in the Municipal office Bezwada on the 30th November 1894 in accordance with the orders contained in G.O. No 1130 M. Mis/22.8.94 and in the presence of the following Councillors.

1. M. R. Ry. D. Harisingh garu
2. " Rao Bahr. S. Lingayya Pantulu garu

2. The election was com-

d at 12. am. and continued

up to 3. 30 P.m. and the following is the result of the Election

3. No. 4 ward. There are 3 candidates for this ward namely M. R. Ry. Kilambey Venkata Seshacharlu garu, M. R. Ry. Vidwan Madabhushy Rangacharlu garu and M. R. Ry. Valivala Adwita Prabramhasastry garu and the votes obtained by each are 6, 7 and 2 respectively. M.R.Ry. D. Ramesingh garu was in charge of the ballot box and sat as polling Officer. The box was opened in the presence of Municipal Councillors M. R. Ry. T. V. Narasiah Pantulu garu, B. Kristnarow Pantulu garu and V. Lakshminarayana garu.

!!

4. No. 5. ward. M. R. Ry. Rao Bahadoor S. Lingayya Pantulu garu was in charge of the ballot box and sat as polling Officer. The box was opened in the presence of the following Councillors M.R.Ry.

T. V. Narasiyya Pantulu garu, B. Kristnarow Pantulu garu and V. Lakshminarayana garu.

The votes obtained in each case are given below:—

	No. of votes.
M. R. Ry. T. Sivakamiah Pantulu garu	24
M. R. Ry. Tirlapaty Purushottamarow Pantulu garu	23

5. The ballot papers connected to the election with their result are herewith enclosed.

30.1.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servant

S. Lingarow
for Chairman
M.C.

C. No. 15/86
English of the

From,

The Chairman,
Bezvada Municipality.

To,

The Collector
Kistna

Dated 30 }
~~Despatched~~ }
Received } November 1894

-1 DEC. 94
MASULIPATAM.

Enclosures. 64

SUBJECT.

No. 1251

Informing that the election of ward nos 4 & 5 is held in the Municipal office Bez: on the 30th November 1894 between 12 am & 3:30 P.m. & the result of the same

Copy forwarded to the Chief Secy to Govt (L & M Dept) for publication under Rule 34 of the Rules printed in G.O. 1557 M / 1st Dec 93 of the names of successful candidates in the Ft. S. G.

2. The Para. mentioning in para of the Chairman's letter objected to the election but his petition was dismissed under Rule 34 above quoted being out of time.

3. A telegram was also recd on 2nd Dec from one Seshacharlu (presumably M. R. Ry. K. Venkata Seshacharlu Garu mentioned in para 3 of the Chairman's letter) in the following terms: "Object

Bezvada Mpl election wards form particulars forwd. No petition or further particulars of any kind were however recd & no action was therefore taken on the telegram

---

Statement showing the election of Councillors in Wards nos 4 and 5 of Bezwada Municipality.

Name of municipality	Name of Ward if any	Date of election	Number of registered voters	Number of voters who exercised the privilege	Names of candidates	Number of votes obtained by each	Names of candidates duly elected	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Bezwada	No 4 Kottapett ward	30-11-94	25	15	M. R. Ry. Kilambi Venkataseshacharlu garu	6		
					M. R. Ry. Vidwan Madabhushe Rangacharlu Garu	7	M. R. Ry. Vidwan Madabhushe Rangacharlu Garu	Objected. Vide this office no 1368 / 18-12 copy enclosed.
					" Varidala Advaita Parabhramha Sastri garu	2		
"	No 5 Buckinghampett Ward	"	63	47	M. R. Ry. T. Sivakamiah Pantulu Garu	24	M. R. Ry. T. Sivakamiah Pantulu garu	
					" Turlapati Purushottamarow Pantulu garu	23		

(Signed) S. Lingayya.
Chairman M.C.

(True copy)

For Collector

30.1.

4/383 M 894

No.

OFFICE
OF THE
COLLECTOR & MAGISTRATE,
MASULIPATAM,
KISTNA DISTRICT.

Loc[illegible] 24 January 1894.
CURRENT No. 383 M

FROM,
J. N. Atkinson Esquire
Acting Collector, Kistna District.

TO,
The Chief Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Department,
Fort St. George.

SIR,

With reference to para 2 of G.O. 1130. M. Mis, dated 22nd August 1894, I have the honor to report that on 30th November 1894 the Chairman of the Bezwada Municipality held elections of Wards Nos 4 and 5 with the following results.

Ward Number	Names of candidates.	Number of votes obtained.
	M. R. Ry.	
No 4	V. M. Rungacharlu garu	7
	" H. V. Seshacharlu "	6
	" V. A. Parabrahma Sastri "	2
No 5	" T. Siva Ramiah garu	24
	" T. Puroshottam Pantulu garu	23

The Municipal Chairman's Letter No 1251, dated 30th November 1894, submitting a detailed report is enclosed in original for perusal and return.

2. No 4 Ward. — On the 2nd December 1894 I received a telegram from M. R. Ry. H. V. Seshacharlu garu, objecting to the election. On 5th December 1894, I received his petition detailing his grounds of objection which are

(1) that his vote for himself was wrongly invalidated.

(2) that the vote of one V. Sita Rama B rahmam was

counted though he was not a resident of the Municipality for the preceding 6 months and though he did not pay an annual tax of Rs 3-12-0 or upwards.

(3) that the vote of a certain person in favour of the petitioner was not received though that person came in time.

3. With reference to the first objection, Petitioner says: — "The rules that were in force till the 30th November could not have stood in the way of his voting for himself". He contends that the draft election rule contained in G. O. 1122 M., dated 21st August 1894, cannot have retrospective effect. I regret that a candidate for such an honorable appointment as a Municipal Councillorship should show so much inattention to rules of common sense. The objection is manifestly absurd and should, I consider, be disallowed.

4. Second objection. V. Sitha Rama Brahmam left Bezwada 2 years ago to practise in the Munsiff's Court at Gudiwada. He pays taxes aggregating Rs 3-0-5. His name is nevertheless on the voter's list because it was prepared about 2 years ago when he was practising in the Bezwada Munsiff's Court and paying income and other taxes. The Chairman considers that this objection should be rejected because it was not filed under Rule 9 for the elimination of Rama Brahmam's name from the list nor is it one of those objections on which an election can be questioned — Rule 36. I agree with the Chairman.

5. Third objection. — The polling Officers were guided by the Telegraph office time. The voter was late by two minutes and the clock was shown to the candidate. This objection therefore also fails.

6. Ward no 5. — T. Puroshottam filed an objection petition which was received by me on 16th December 1894. [illegible] is dismissed as out of time under [illegible] Rules G. O. 1353 M. dated 7th October 1893.

7. I request that M. R. Ry V. M. Rungacharlu Garu and M. R. Ry T. Siva Kamiah Garu may be gazetted as having been duly elected for Wards nos 4 and 5 respectively.

8. The Chairman has not reported the results of these elections in the form prescribed in G. O. 690 M, dated 22nd June 1888. It has been called for.×

× since received and sent herewith.

9. The following papers are enclosed.

(1) K. V. Seshacharlu's objection petition.

(2) The Chairman's report thereon no 1368, dated 18th December 1894.

(3) The Chairman's letter no 1251, dated 30th November 1894.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

For Acting Collector

[illegible] 30.1

up to 3.30 P.m. and the following is the result of the Election

3. No. 4 ward. There are 3 candidates for this ward namely M. R. Ry. Kilambey Venkata Seshacharlu garu, M. R. Ry. Vidwan Madabhushy Rangacharlu garu and M. R. Ry. Valivala Advita Brahmasastry garu and the votes obtained by each are 6, 7 and 2 respectively. M.R.Ry. D. Ramasingh garu was in charge of the ballot box and sat as polling Officer. The box was opened in the presence of Municipal Councillors M. R. Ry. T. V. Narasiah Pantulu garu, B. Kristnarow Pantulu garu and V. Lakshminarayana garu.

4. No. 5. ward. M. R. Ry. Rao Bahadoor S. Lingayya Pantulu garu was in charge of the ballot box and sat as polling Officer. The box was opened in the presence of the following Councillors M.R.Ry. T. V. Narasiyya Pantulu garu, B. Kristnarow Pantulu garu and V. Lakshminarayana garu.

The votes obtained in each case are given below:—

	No. of votes.
M. R. Ry. T. Sivakamiah Pantulu garu	24
M. R. Ry. Sulapaty Purushottamarao Pantulu garu	23

5. The ballot papers connected to the election with their result are herewith enclosed.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servant

S. Lingaiya
for Chairman
M.C.

301.

4/373 M. 95

To Telegram

3/373 M. 95

following forms.

No.

OFFICE OF THE COLLECTOR & MAGISTRATE, MASULIPATAM, KISTNA DISTRICT.

Local & Municipal 1894.

CURRENT No. 373 M

FROM,

J. N. Atkinson Esquire

Acting Collector, Kistna District.

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government,

Local and Municipal Department,

Fort St. George.

SIR,

With reference to para 2 of G.O. 1130 M. Mis, dated 22nd August 1894, I have the honor to report that on 30th November 1894 the Chairman of the Bezwada Municipality held elections of Wards nos 4 and 5 with the following results.

Ward Number	Names of candidates.	Number of votes obtained.
	M. R. Ry.	
No 4	V. M. Rungacharlu garu	7
	" H. V. Seshacharlu "	6
	" V. A. Parabrahma Sastri "	2
No 5	" T. Sita Ramiah garu	24
	" T. Purushottam Pantulu garu	23

The Municipal Chairman's Letter no 1251, dated 30th November 1894, submitting a detailed report is enclosed in original for perusal and return.

2. No 4 Ward. — On the 2nd ~~2nd~~ December 1894 I received a telegram from M. R. Ry. H. V. Seshacharlu garu, objecting to the election. On 5th December 1894, I received his petition detailing his grounds of objection which are

(1) that his vote for himself was wrongly invalidated.

(2) that the vote of one V. Sita Rama B was

counted though he was not a resident of the Municipality for the preceding 6 months and though he did not pay an annual tax of Rs 3-12-0 or upwards.
(3) that the vote of a certain person in favour of the petitioner was not received though that person came in time.

3. With reference to the first objection, petitioner says: "The rules that were in force till the 30th November could not have stood in the way of his voting for himself". He contends that the draft election rule contained in G.O. 1122 M., dated 21st August 1894, cannot have retrospective effect. I regret that a candidate for such an honorable appointment as a Municipal Councillership should show so much inattention to rules of common sense. The objection is manifestly absurd and should, I consider, be disallowed.

4. Second objection. V. Sitha Rama Brahmam left Bezwada 2 years ago to practise in the Munsiff's Court at Gudivada. He pays taxes aggregating Rs 3-0-5. His name is nevertheless on the voter's list because it was prepared about 2 years ago when he was practising in the Bezwada Munsiff's Court and paying income and other taxes. The Chairman considers that this objection should be rejected because it was not filed under Rule 9 for the elimination of Rama Brahmam's name from the list nor is it one of those objections on which an election can be questioned – Rule 36. I agree with the Chairman.

5. Third objection. — The polling Officers were guided by the Telegraph office time. The voter was late by two minutes and the clock was shown to the candidate. This objection therefore also fails.

6. Ward no 5. — T. Puroshottam filed an objection petition which was received by me on 16th December 1894. [illegible] is dismissed as out of time under [illegible] dated 7th October 1893.

7. I request that M. R. Ry V. M. Rungacharlu Garu and M. R. Ry T. Siva Kamiah Garu may be gazetted as having been duly elected for Wards nos 4 and 5 respectively.

8. The Chairman has not reported the results of these elections in the form prescribed in G.O. 690 M., dated 22nd June 1888. It has been called for.×

× Since received and sent herewith.

9. The following papers are enclosed.

(1) K. V. Seshacharlu's objection petition.

(2) The Chairman's report thereon no. 1368, dated 18th December 1894.

(3) The Chairman's letter no. 1251 dated 30th November 1894.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

For Acting Collector

30.1

Local & Municipal Dept.
PR. CURRENT No. 363 M

189

1819 ~~M~~ 28-11-94
1966 ~~M~~ 17-12-94
1408 ~~M~~ 9-10-94
1130 ~~M~~ 28-8-94
1122 ~~M~~ 21-8-94
929 ~~M~~ 20-7-94
632 ~~M~~ 19-5-94
358 ~~M~~ 20-3-94
1357 ~~M~~ 7-10-93
13 ~~M~~ 10-1-93
690 ~~M~~ 22-6-88

112 M 22-1-95

FROM,
Acting
THE COLLECTOR,
KISTNA DISTRICT.

To,
THE Chief SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Local and Municipal DEPARTMENT.
FORT St. GEORGE.

Dated 27th January 1895
Despd: 30th
Recd:

Reference C No. 65 English of 1895

Enclosures. 4

UNDER SECRETARY
5 FEB 95
PUBLIC ETC. SEC.

Reporting on the municipal elections held at Bezwada Town for wards nos. 4 and 5 of the municipality

(with order)

…pression of the opinion of Govt. on this particular point and cannot be taken as over-ruling the Rules at present in force. The election of a candidate would not be invalidated as the rules stood when this particular election took place by the fact that he got in owing to his voting for himself. I submit therefore that the Chairman's ruling was wrong and that the candidates who got an equal number of votes should in accordance with the rules cast lots.

[illegible]

[illegible]
20/2/95

Please, however, see the latter portion of para. 1. of the G.O. …uch declares that "Govt. is clearly of …ion that a candidate at such elections … not vote for himself, and that his doing … wd. be an act showing him to be unfit for … office". The Collector's views on … other two objections seem to be correct.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 363 M.

From
The Collector of Kistna.

FOR ORDERS.

As to whether the Collector's decision in regard to the election in Ward No. 4 should be accepted. It is admitted that the polling office… declared the vote given by the Petitioner (K. V. Seshacharlu) for himself to be invalid under G.O. dated 21st August 1894 No. 1122 M. The draf… rule in this G.O. had not been confirmed at the time of the election, and moreover, it refer… only to the election of Chairman and not to tha… of Councillors. The Petitioner's action in voting for himself might have been improper, but was not in any way illegal. If this view is adopted, then the votes secured by V. M. Runga Charlu + K. V. Seshacharlu would be equal in number – viz. 7, and under rule 32 of the Election rules, they should cast lots as to who should fill the vacancy.

2. The reason…, the election in Ward No. 5 ma…

may be accepted.

12/2/95

M 12.2.95

18/2/95

Election of Councillors – Bezwada Municipality.

363 M

FOR ORDERS.

Misc

Order. 26-2-95 No. 275 Misc

H.M.

The election of M.R.Ry. P. Sivakamiah Pantula Garu for the 3rd ward will be gazetted.

2. The Govt. agrees with the Collector in considering that the second & third of the objections made by M.R.Ry. K.V. Seshacharlu Garu to the election of M.R.Ry. V.M.B. Rangacharlu Garu for the 4th ward have failed, but ~~he~~ is of opinion that the Chairman is right in holding that inasmuch as the draft rule proposed in G.O. dated 21st Aug. 94 No. 1122 M ~~was~~ had not at the time of the election been confirmed it cannot affect the procedure at that election.

3. Whatever ~~opinion~~ view may therefore be ~~formed~~ taken of the conduct of M.R.Ry. K.V. ~~that~~ Seshacharlu Garu in voting for himself it appears that his vote must be counted. The two candidates — M.R.Ry. K.V. Seshacharlu Garu & M.R.Ry. V.M.B. Rangacharlu Garu — must thus be considered to have obtained an equal number of votes, & under No. 32 of the Election Rules they must now cast lots to decide who shall fill the vacancy.

C.M.C. Bezwada (through the Collector of Kistna) W.D. 22.2.95 (from orders)

[illegible] 72/95

BACK PAPER, NO. OF 189

FURTHER PAPER, NO 884 Lk 15-5-95 OF 189

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED , NO. , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT NO.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

Copied by W.S.

Examined by Saml

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT

Papers to be read in Proceedings :—

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Current No. 552 M of 1895, dated 12th February from the Collector of Anantapur.

Order. 26.2.95 No 293 Mun.

The draft notification, as amended by Government, will be published in the Fort St. George Gazette.

~~2. The statements of objections made by the owners or occupiers of the lands, should have also been forwarded to Government, as required in para. 8 of G.O., dated 6th May 1891, No. 408 Revenue, communicated with G.O., dated 18th June 1891, No. 1468 L.~~

(It is said that they have no objectns!)

VR
11/2/95

To.
The Collector of Anantapur, P.O.
Gazetted 26/2
25/2

Despd 28/2/95

Revenue Department.

PR From 552 M

The Collector of
Anantapur

To

The Secretary to Govt.
Local & Municipal
Department
~~Fort St. George~~
~~Madras.~~

SECRETARIAT GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
13 FEB 1895

Ref. for C No. 3763 of 94
12-2-95

Dated ~~8~~ 12 }
Despatched 12 } February 1895
Received }

Subject

Submitting notification for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette under Sec. 6 of Act I of 1894 for the acquisition of the land required for the Feeder Road leading to the Anantapur Railway station in the village of Anantapur

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed
189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

Row & Narasinga Row alias Yerra Gunta Narasinga	No. 127	No. 127	Field No. 128	leading to Bellary	0.8250	28 14 .
					1.4625	57 3 .

Anantapur Municipal Council Resolution dated 20-12-94.

Ref. on C No. 3766 dated 12th February 1895

1. Submitted to the Secretary to Government Local and Municipal Department for favor of publication in the Fort St. George Gazette.

2. The ~~[illegible]~~ owners have no objection to the acquisition of the lands. Three of the proprietors of Inam No. 128 urge that the portions of their land cut asunder on either side by the proposed road and not actually required for it should also be taken up as they will not be fit for cultivation being little pieces of land. This fact was brought to the notice of the Municipal Council who resolved that the additional land is not required.

9/2.

For Collector