

[L. & M.]
[0,000-5-8-95.]

Local & Munl. (1895) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 24^L.

DATED 10 January 1895.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Mayavaram-Muttupet Line.

Requesting, with remark, the President, District Board, Tanjore, to report in detail the reasons which led the Board to an unanimous decision in favour of the extension of the ----- to Adirampatnam.

26.12.1895

12.11.97 for research about K.M.

W.M.

26.11.97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[G.]
58
2,500-14-8-94.

L.M. Department.



PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following paper:—

Current No 27 16. 1.

Order No 1-95 202 24 Lumb

The Pres. of the Dist. Bd. will be requested to report in detail the reasons which led the Board to an unanimous decision in favour of the retention of the line to Adirampattam, the expediency of which appears from its proceedings dated 28th April 1894 No 25 to have seemed at that time doubtful to a majority of its members.

M. S. 195 (from order.)

LL 9/11

I wonder whether Mr. Thomson was at the meeting. It is very doubtful whether the retention would pay - LL

Note for C.S.

It is submitted that the ref^{ce} to the Railway Branch ordered in the notice of H.M. may best be made after the infⁿ asked for in this order has been obtained. — L.S.
2. This paper was taken by Mr. LL Dept. to H.M. before it had had time to go through the office or the C.S. as it was thought that H.M. might wish to make provision for the retention in the Budget, Ch. was then being drawn up.

M. S. 195

To the Pres. Dist. Bd. Tanjore
Sd/- 20/11

Extension of Maravaram -
Mutafet Railway to Adirampalam.

3716L

CS

(from ords.)

1894

Local Fund Department.

Local Fund Dept.

PR. CURRENT No. 3716L

From

The President District Board

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,

Local and Municipal Department,

MADRAS.

Dated

5th

Despatched

Received

1894

Disposal No. 711 of 94.

ENCLOSURES.

One

SUBJECT.

Forwarding a -
Copy of the District
Board's resolution
No. 2 approving
29.11.94
of the submission
of the line from
Muttupet to -
Adirampalam

I can't express any ^{fixed} opinion
as to whether we should now
proceed to make this extension
until I know what estimate there
is of the bearing a profitable un-
dertaking - I can't find any proper
estimate of the probable traffic in
the hoppers. There is some vague
talk about salt to be sent inland
from the factories near Adirampalam,
but the quantity is insignificant
when compared with the probable
cost of the line, which, with the repairs
at its present value, can hardly be
less than 5 lakhs. There
is also some talk about the export
of grain from Adirampalam to Ceylon,
but the recent report as to what is
called the harbour at that place
is very discouraging & I am sure
no reason why exporters should
carry their grain 9 1/2 miles further
merely in order to use a port which,
so far as I know, is no better than
Muttupet, if so good. I am therefore
averse to making any more proposals
in this scheme, at least until
we have more of its worth. We might
think, but 2 lakhs is nearly what
was in 95-96 for this project. If it were
likely to be a profitable one, I should
think we had better let it alone
than the L.B. to make the extension
by itself, if it chooses to do so. It will have
the money for the purpose in 2 or 3 years
if it chooses to wait.

However, the hoppers R.D. for the
R4 branch passengers it may have
to make.

15/12/94

Are:

I think should be better first
work for the road as we are deter-
mined to do so by 2 or 3 years.

favour of the extension - the
 Revenue. The Apr they
 doubted, estimated the Sal
 profits of at Rs 1125 only, ^{paid} ~~doubt~~
 of ^{not} gain and be carried to
 Adirampatnam. His the
pro was improved, He claimed
 that they had no idea of what
 the passenger traffic would
 be & asked for the Har-
 bour to be examined. All
 that has happened since is
 that the Eng Engineer has
 examined the pro & that his
 account of it is most unfor-
 tunable. I do not think we
 should be justified in paying Pro-
 vincial funds unless the Govt
 RD will undertake the im-
 provement of the Harbour, & the
 passenger-returns are also shown
 that the line is required for
 Local needs

JG
 19/12

I am afraid that this does not look
 like a very hopeful scheme, and as far
 as the papers show I can not see where any
 paying traffic is to come from. I know
 nothing about the country, or what is the
 population of Adirampatnam, but from
 the description of the so called port there, it
 is never likely to attract any volume of trade.
 Anyhow I do not think it would be a good
 investment for Provincial funds. We might
 hear what the Railway Dept. thinks of the scheme,
 and it might be asked its views as to extending
 the line along the coast to Pamban.

to
 side

Extract from the Proceedings of the District Board of Canjore

Dated 29-11-1894.

2. READ G.O. No 2012 & miscellaneous
 dated 11-10-94 recording report
 on the Adirampatnam harbour,
 and District Board's resolution
 No 25 dated 26-4-94 on G.O. No
 159 Financial dated 23-2-94,
 and 17 dated 29-10-94 and
 this officer letter to the Consulting
 Engineer thereon No 1164 dated
 4-11-94 and his reply No 3656
 dated 22-11-94 and maharjir
 from the inhabitants of the
 Pabukkottai Taluq praying for
 the extension of the Railway to
 Adirampatnam.

The Board is unanimous-
 ly in favor of the extension
 from Muttupet to Adirampatnam.

to

to be undertaken as soon as
convenient

(Signed) J. Thomson
President

(For extract)

For President

Local Fund Department.

Tanjore District Board Office.

Camp, Tanjore 5th Dec 1894.

Disposal No. 711 of 1894.
D. B.

From

J. Thomson ESQUIRE

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL BOARD
PRESIDENT DISTRICT BOARD
TANJORE.
CURRENT LO. 37162

To

THE HONORABLE MR. J. F. Price

CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT,

MADRAS.

Sir,

In reply to para 3 of G.O.
No 159 Financial dated 23rd -
February 1894 Communicated
in G.O. No 509 L. Miscellaneous
dated 15th March 1894, and -
with reference to the Corres-
-pondence ending with G.O. No
2012 L. Miscellaneous dated -
11th October 1894 recording -
report on the Adirampattanam
harbour, I have the honor to
submit herewith a copy of the
District Board's resolution -
No

No 2 dated 29-11-94 from which
 it will be seen that the District
 Board is unanimously in
 favor of the extension of the
 Morayavaram - Muttupet line
 to Adirampatnam and that
 the work may be put in hand
 as soon as convenient.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient Servant

For President

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
 189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO O. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL

N.B. -

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- F = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.