

T. & M.
1,000-19-11-95

Local & Munl. (1895) Department.

PRINTED.

G.O., No. 24302.

DATED 17th Decr 1895

[Despatch Abstract.]

Union.

Approving the proposal to exclude the villages of Kandramanikkam and Kandavarayampatti from the --- of Tiruppattur, and ordering the publication of the necessary notification in the Fort St. George and Madura District Gazettes.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. 2430 L., 17TH DECEMBER 1895.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From J. TWIGG, Esq., President, District Board, Madura, to the Chief Secretary to Government, No. 143, dated 2nd December 1895.

In forwarding herewith copy of Sivaganga Taluk Board President's letter and of copies of the taluk and district boards' resolutions thereon, I have the honour to request that Government will be pleased to exclude the villages of Kandramanikkam and Kandavarayanpatti from the union of Tiruppattūr. The union was constituted under G.O., No. 1157 L., Mis., dated 3rd December 1885, and comprised the four villages marginally noted. The circumstances under which the two villages, now recommended to be excluded, were included in the union, are not now traceable. They are situated at long distances from Tiruppattūr, being respectively four and five miles from it, and are unconnected by any road with that village and do not therefore derive much benefit from the tax levied from them. Kandramanikkam contains 262 houses and Kandavarayanpatti 254 houses and both contribute on an average Rs. 375 to the income of the union, the return they get being a small establishment of a sweeper and a tank watchman for each. Each of the villages has got its separate headman and their population is 2,585 and 1,052 respectively.

- | | |
|-----------------|-----------------------|
| 1. Tiruppattūr. | 3. Kandramanikkam. |
| 2. Nedumaram. | 4. Kandavarayanpatti. |
2. A draft notification for the exclusion of the villages is also enclosed for approval and publication.

ENCLOSURES.

From P. RAJAGOPALA CHARIYAR, Esq., Head Assistant Collector and President, Taluk Board, Sivaganga, to the Collector and President, District Board, Madura, No. 148, dated Ramnad, 23rd October 1895.

I have the honour to submit herewith, duly listed, the correspondence relating to the proposal to exclude the villages of Kandramanikkam and Kandavarayanpatti from the Tiruppattūr union to which they are now attached.

2. The inhabitants of the two villages above referred to presented petitions to me stating that they derive very little benefit by their villages being included in the Tiruppattūr union, as they are at an inconvenient distance from Tiruppattūr with which they are not connected by any road. I called for a report from the Tiruppattūr Deputy Tahsildar on the petitions in question, and from his report it will be seen that Kandramanikkam is five miles and Kandavarayanpatti 4 miles from Tiruppattūr; that the route from Kandramanikkam to Tiruppattūr is of the worst kind possible, while a portion of the road from Kandavarayanpatti to Tiruppattūr is impracticable during the rainy season; that the exclusion of the two villages in question from the union would reduce the union revenue (according to the latest available figures—*vide* statement enclosed showing the receipts and charges from 1890-91 to 1894-95) from Rs. 1,287 to Rs. 912, *i.e.*, by about 25 per cent.; that the amount of revenue spent on the two villages during 1894-95 was only Rs. 80 and Rs. 60 against a revenue of Rs. 205 and Rs. 110 in the Kandramanikkam and Kandavarayanpatti villages respectively; and that the benefit conferred by the union was only the appointment of a sweeper on Rs. 4 per mensem in each of the two villages and a tank watchman on Rs. 2 in the Kandramanikkam village. The Deputy Tahsildar is of opinion that the two villages should be excluded from the union. I consulted the Sivaganga Taluk Board and it also agrees with me and the Deputy Tahsildar that the two villages should be excluded.

No. 2430 L., 17TH DECEMBER 1895.

I attempted to ascertain how these two villages situated at such a distance as four and five miles from Tiruppattūr came to be included in the union, but I have not been able to obtain any records connected with the subject either from my office or from the Sivaganga Taluk Board's office or from the Tiruppattūr Deputy Tahsildar's office. All that I have been able to trace is that the proposal to exclude Kandramanikkam from the union appears to have once before come up in 1890, when the late Mr. Henry was President, and he seems to have negatived the proposal but assigned no grounds whatever for his decision. His order is among the records now submitted.

3. I request that, if you deem it proper, the Government may be addressed to exclude the two villages in question from the Tiruppattūr union.

4. Each of the villages of Tiruppattūr, Kandramanikkam and Kandavarayanpatti has a village munsif of its own.

PROCEEDINGS of the Sivaganga Taluk Board, dated 18th May 1895.

Resolution No. 113.—Read Proceedings, No. 98, dated 20th April 1895, with all papers connected with the proposal to exclude the villages of Kandavarayanpatti and Kandramanikkam from the union of Tiruppattūr.

The Board resolves for the reasons given in the Tiruppattūr Deputy Tahsildar's report that the two villages should be excluded from the union. The papers will be returned to the Head Assistant Collector with a request that Government may be moved in the matter.

PROCEEDINGS of the District Board, Madura, dated 18th November 1895.

Resolution No. 207.—Read letter from the President of the Sivaganga Taluk Board, No. 148, dated 23rd October 1895, requesting that the villages of Kandramanikkam and Kandavarayanpatti may be excluded from the union of Tiruppattūr for reasons stated.

The Board recommends the exclusion of the above villages from the Union of Tiruppattūr.

ORDER—No. 2430 L., dated 17th December 1895.

The proposal of the local boards is approved and the following notification will be published in the Fort St. George and Madura District Gazettes:—

NOTIFICATION.

Under section 6 of the Madras Local Boards Act (V of 1884) and in modification of notification, No. 56, published at page 64, Part I-A, of the Fort St. George Gazette, dated 16th December 1885, so far as it relates to the Tiruppattūr Union, the Governor in Council is pleased to exclude the villages of Kandramanikkam and Kandavarayanpatti from the Tiruppattūr Union.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the President, District Board, Madura.
" Collector of Madura.
" Accountant-General.
" Surgeon-General.
" Sanitary Commissioner.
" Superintendent, Government Press.
Gazette.

Ed. A. Raiff.

No. 2430 L., 17th December 1895.

I attempted to ascertain how these two villages situated at such a distance as four and five miles from Tiruppattūr came to be included in the union, but I have not been able to obtain any records connected with the subject either from my office or from the Sivaganga Taluk Board's office or from the Tiruppattūr Deputy Tahsildar's office. All that I have been able to trace is that the proposal to exclude Kandramanikkam from the union appears to have once before come up in 1890, when the late Mr. Henry was President, and he seems to have negatived the proposal but assigned no grounds whatever for his decision. His order is among the records now submitted.

3. I request that, if you deem it proper, the Government may be addressed to exclude the two villages in question from the Tiruppattūr union.

4. Each of the villages of Tiruppattūr, Kandramanikkam and Kandavarayanpatti has a village munsif of its own.

PROCEEDINGS of the Sivaganga Taluk Board, dated 18th May 1895.

Resolution No. 113.—Read Proceedings, No. 98, dated 20th April 1895, with all papers connected with the proposal to exclude the villages of Kandavarayanpatti and Kandramanikkam from the union of Tiruppattūr.

The Board resolves for the reasons given in the Tiruppattūr Deputy Tahsildar's report that the two villages should be excluded from the union. The papers will be returned to the Head Assistant Collector with a request that Government may be moved in the matter.

PROCEEDINGS of the District Board, Madura, dated 18th November 1895.

Resolution No. 207.—Read letter from the President of the Sivaganga Taluk Board, No. 148, dated 23rd October 1895, requesting that the villages of Kandramanikkam and Kandavarayanpatti may be excluded from the union of Tiruppattūr for reasons stated.

The Board recommends the exclusion of the above villages from the Union of Tiruppattūr.

ORDER—No. 2430 L., dated 17th December 1895.

The proposal of the local boards is approved and the following notification will be published in the Fort St. George and Madura District Gazettes:—

NOTIFICATION.

Under section 6 of the Madras Local Boards Act (V of 1884) and in modification of notification, No. 56, published at page 64, Part I-A, of the Fort St. George Gazette, dated 16th December 1885, so far as it relates to the Tiruppattūr Union, the Governor in Council is pleased to exclude the villages of Kandramanikkam and Kandavarayanpatti from the Tiruppattūr Union.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the President, District Board, Madura.
" Collector of Madura.
" Accountant-General.
" Surgeon-General.
" Sanitary Commissioner.
" Superintendent, Government Press.
Gazette.

Ed. A. Raiff.

Gobt. of {1895} Madras.
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., No. 2430 L., 17th Dec. 1895.

DECEMBER.

Union.

Approving the proposal to exclude the villages of Kandramanikkam and Kandavarayanpatti from the — of Tirupattūr, and ordering the publication of the necessary notification in the Fort St. George and Madura District Gazettes.

No. 143.

MADURA DISTRICT BOARD OFFICE,

2nd December 1895.

From

J. Luigg Esquire,
President, District Board,

Madura.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,

Local and Municipal Department,

Madras.

Sir,

Tirupattūr
Kandramanikkam
Kandavarayanpatti.

In forwarding herewith copy of Sivaganga Taluk Board President's letter and of copies of the Taluk and District Boards' resolutions thereon, I have the honor to request that Government will be pleased to exclude the villages of Kandramanikkam and Kandavarayanpatti from the Union of Tirupattūr. The Union was constituted under G.O. No. 11572-1895 and comprised the 4 villages marginally noted. The circumstances under which the 2 villages now recommended to be excluded, were included in the Union are not now tenable. They are situated at long distances from Tirupattūr, being respectively 4 & 5 miles from it and are unconnected by any road with that village and do not therefore derive much benefit from the tax levied from them. Kandramanikkam contains 262 houses and Kandavarayanpatti 254 houses and both contribute on an average Rs 375 to the income of the Union, the return they get being a small establishment of a sweeper and a tank watchman for each. Each of the villages has got its separate headman and their population is 2585 and 1052 respectively. A draft notification for the exclusion of the villages is also enclosed for approval and publication.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

J. Luigg

For President.

Local & Municipal Dept.
From SECRET No. 3554 L
The President,

District Board,
Madura.

To
The Chief Secy. to Govt.,
Local and Municipal
Department,
Madras.

Dated 2nd December 1895.
Received

CHIEF SECRETARY
No. 07431895
Enclosures (4)
SUBJECT.

Recommending the
exclusion of the villages
of Kandramanikkam and
Kandavarayanpatti from
the Union of Tirupattur.

To, The President, District Board, Madura.
" Collector of Madura.
" Accountant-General.
" Surgeon General.
" Sanitary Commissioner.
" Superintendent Government
Gazettes.
(With Order).
Record
14-1-96
10/1/96

G.O. 3/12/95 No. 1157 L. Viro

C.S.
P.S.

Order No. 2430 L. 17-12-95
The proposal of the Local
Boards is approved and the
following notification will be
published in the Fort Saint
George and Madura District
Gazettes.

(Here enter draft notification
as amended by Government).

V.D.
12/12/95
13.XII.95

16.12.95

No. 2430 L., 17TH DECEMBER 1895.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

From J. TWIGG, Esq., President, District Board, Madura, to the Chief Secretary to Government, (dated 2nd December 1895, No. 143).

In forwarding herewith copy of Sivaganga Taluk Board President's letter and of copies of the taluk and district boards' resolutions thereon, I have the honour to request that Government will be pleased to exclude the villages of Kandramanikkam and Kandavarayanpatti from the union of Tirupattur. The union was constituted under G.O., (Mis. No. 1157 L., dated 3rd December 1885, and comprised the four villages marginally noted. The circumstances under which the two villages, now recommended to be excluded, were included in the union, are not now traceable.

- | | |
|----------------|-----------------------|
| 1. Tirupattur. | 3. Kandramanikkam. |
| 2. Nedumaram. | 4. Kandavarayanpatti. |

They are situated at long distances from Tirupattur, being respectively four and five miles from it, and are unconnected by any road with that village and do not therefore derive much benefit from the tax levied from them. Kandramanikkam contains 262 houses and Kandavarayanpatti 254 houses and both contribute on an average Rs. 375 to the income of the union, the return they get being a small establishment of a sweeper and a tank watchman for each. Each of the villages has got its separate headman and their population is 2,585 and 1,052 respectively.

2. A draft notification for the exclusion of the villages is also enclosed for approval and publication.

ENCLOSURES.

From P. RAJAGOPALA CHARIAR, Esq., Head Assistant Collector and President, Taluk Board, Sivaganga, to the Collector and President, District Board, Madura, dated Ramnad, 23rd October 1895, No. 148.

I have the honour to submit herewith, duly listed, the correspondence relating to the proposal to exclude the villages of Kandramanikkam and Kandavarayanpatti from the Tirupattur union to which they are now attached.

2. The inhabitants of the two villages above referred to presented petitions to me stating that they derive very little benefit by their villages being included in the Tirupattur union, as they are at an inconvenient distance from Tirupattur with which they are not connected by any road. I called for a report from the Tirupattur Deputy Tahsildar on the petitions in question, and from his report (enclosed) it will be seen that Kandramanikkam is five miles and Kandavarayanpatti 4 miles from Tirupattur; that the route from Kandramanikkam to Tirupattur is of the worst kind possible, while a portion of the road from Kandavarayanpatti to Tirupattur is impracticable during the rainy season; that the exclusion of the two villages in question from the union would reduce the union revenue (according to the latest available figures—vide statement enclosed showing the receipts and charges from 1890-91 to 1894-95) from Rs. 1,287 to Rs. 912, i.e., by about 25 per cent.; that the amount of revenue spent on the two villages during 1894-95 was only Rs. 80 and Rs. 60 against a revenue of Rs. 205 and Rs. 110 in the Kandramanikkam and Kandavarayanpatti villages respectively; and that the benefit conferred by the union was only the appointment of a sweeper on Rs. 4 per mensem in each of the two villages and a tank watchman on Rs. 2 in the Kandramanikkam village. The Deputy Tahsildar is of opinion that the two villages should be excluded from the union. I consulted the Sivaganga Taluk Board and it also agrees with me and the Deputy Tahsildar that the two villages should be excluded.

I attempted to ascertain how these two villages situated at such a distance as four and five miles from Tiruppattūr came to be included in the union, but I have not been able to obtain any records connected with the subject either from my office or from the Sivaganga Taluk Board's that the proposal to exclude Kandramanikkam from the union appears to have once before come up in 1890, when the late Mr. Henry was President, and he seems to have negatived the proposal but assigned no grounds whatever for his decision. His order is among the records now submitted.

3. I request that, if you deem it proper, the Government may be addressed to exclude the two villages in question from the Tiruppattūr union.

4. Each of the villages of Tiruppattūr, Kandramanikkam and Kandavarayanpatti has a village munsif of its own.

PROCEEDINGS of the Sivaganga Taluk Board, dated 18th May 1895.

Resolution No. 113.—Read Proceedings, No. 98, dated 20th April 1895, with all papers connected with the proposal to exclude the villages of Kandavarayanpatti and Kandramanikkam from the union of Tiruppattūr.

The Board resolves for the reasons given in the Tiruppattūr Deputy Tahsildar's report that the two villages should be excluded from the union. The papers will be returned to the Head Assistant Collector with a request that Government may be moved in the matter.

PROCEEDINGS of the District Board, Madura, dated 18th November 1895.

Resolution No. 207.—Read letter from the President of the Sivaganga Taluk Board, No. 148, dated 23rd October 1895, requesting that the villages of Kandramanikkam and Kandavarayanpatti may be excluded from the union of Tiruppattūr for reasons stated.

The Board recommends the exclusion of the above villages from the Union of Tiruppattūr.

ORDER—No. 2430 L., dated 17th December 1895.

The proposal of the local boards is approved and the following notification will be published in the Fort St. George and Madura District Gazettes:—

NOTIFICATION.

Under section 6 of the Madras Local Boards Act (V of 1884) and in modification of notification, No. 56, published at page 64, Part I-A, of the Fort St. George Gazette, dated 16th December 1885, so far as it relates to the Tiruppattūr Union, the Governor in Council is pleased to exclude the villages of Kandramanikkam and Kandavarayanpatti from the Tiruppattūr Union.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the President, District Board, Madura.
 „ Collector of Madura.
 „ Accountant-General.
 „ Surgeon-General.
 „ Sanitary Commissioner.
 „ Superintendent, Government Press.
 Gazette.

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

For A.S.

Proof for approval

*lowd
7.11.95*

Issued

Recd.

*Mean make the
alterations pointed out
and this Encl. 1885*

G.O., No. 2430 L., 17th Dec. 1895.

DECEMBER.

Union.

Approving the proposal to exclude the villages of Kandramanikkam and Kandavarayanpatti from the — of Tiruppattūr, and ordering the publication of the necessary notification in the Fort St. George and Madura District Gazettes.

No. 2430 L., 17TH DECEMBER 1895.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

From J. TWIGG, Esq., President, District Board, Madura, to the Chief Secretary to Government, No. 143, dated 2nd December 1895.

In forwarding herewith copy of Sivaganga Taluk Board President's letter and of copies of the taluk and district boards' resolutions thereon, I have the honour to request that Government will be pleased to exclude the villages of Kandramanikkam and Kandavarayanpatti from the union of Tiruppattūr. The union constituted under G.O., No. 1157 L., Mis., dated 3rd December 1885, and enclosed the four villages marginally noted. The circumstances under which the two villages, now recommended to be excluded, were included in the union, are not now trace-

- | | |
|-----------------|-----------------------|
| 1. Tiruppattūr. | 3. Kandramanikkam. |
| 2. Nedumaram. | 4. Kandavarayanpatti. |

able. They are situated at long distances from Tiruppattūr, being respectively four and five miles from it, and are unconnected by any road with that village and do not therefore derive much benefit from the tax levied from them. Kandramanikkam contains 262 houses and Kandavarayanpatti 254 houses and both contribute on an average Rs. 375 to the income of the union, the return they get being a small establishment of a sweeper and a tank watchman for each. Each of the villages has got its separate headman and their population is 2,585 and 1,052 respectively.

2. A draft notification for the exclusion of the villages is also enclosed for approval and publication.

ENCLOSURES.

From P. RAJAGOPALA CHARIYAR, Esq., Head Assistant Collector and President, Taluk Board, Sivaganga, to the Collector and President, District Board, Madura, No. 148, dated Ramnad, 23rd October 1895.

I have the honour to submit herewith, duly listed, the correspondence relating to the proposal to exclude the villages of Kandramanikkam and Kandavarayanpatti from the Tiruppattūr union to which they are now attached.

2. The inhabitants of the two villages above referred to presented petitions to me stating that they derive very little benefit by their villages being included in the Tiruppattūr union, as they are at an inconvenient distance from Tiruppattūr with which they are not connected by any road. I called for a report from the Tiruppattūr Deputy Tahsildar on the petitions in question, and from his report it will be seen that Kandramanikkam is five miles and Kandavarayanpatti 4 miles from Tiruppattūr; that the route from Kandramanikkam to Tiruppattūr is of the worst kind possible, while a portion of the road from Kandavarayanpatti to Tiruppattūr is impracticable during the rainy season; that the exclusion of the two villages in question from the union would reduce the union revenue (according to the latest available figures—*vide* statement enclosed showing the receipts and charges from 1890-91 to 1894-95) from Rs. 1,287 to Rs. 912, *i.e.*, by about 25 per cent.; that the amount of revenue spent on the two villages during 1894-95 was only Rs. 80 and Rs. 60 against a revenue of Rs. 205 and Rs. 110 in the Kandramanikkam and Kandavarayanpatti villages respectively; and that the benefit conferred by the union was only the appointment of a sweeper on Rs. 4 per mensem in each of the two villages and a tank watchman on Rs. 2 in the Kandramanikkam village. The Deputy Tahsildar is of opinion that the two villages should be excluded from the union. I consulted the Sivaganga Taluk Board and it also agrees with me and the Deputy Tahsildar that the two villages should be excluded.

I attempted to ascertain how these two villages situated at such a distance as four and five miles from Tiruppattūr came to be included in the union, but I have not been able to obtain any records connected with the subject either from my office or from the Sivaganga Taluk Board's office or from the Tiruppattūr Deputy Tahsildar's office. All that I have been able to trace is that the proposal to exclude Kandramanikkam from the union appears to have once before come up in 1890, when the late Mr. Henry was President, and he seems to have negatived the proposal but assigned no grounds whatever for his decision. His order is among the records now submitted.

3. I request that, if you deem it proper, the Government may be addressed to exclude the two villages in question from the Tiruppattūr union.

4. Each of the villages of Tiruppattūr, Kandramanikkam and Kandavarayanpatti has a village munsif of its own.

PROCEEDINGS of the Sivaganga Taluk Board, dated 18th May 1895.

Resolution No. 113.—Read Proceedings, No. 98, dated 20th April 1895, with all papers connected with the proposal to exclude the villages of Kandavarayanpatti and Kandramanikkam from the union of Tiruppattūr.

The Board resolves for the reasons given in the Tiruppattūr Deputy Tahsildar's report that the two villages should be excluded from the union. The papers will be returned to the Head Assistant Collector with a request that Government may be moved in the matter.

PROCEEDINGS of the District Board, Madura, dated 18th November 1895.

Resolution No. 207.—Read letter from the President of the Sivaganga Taluk Board, No. 148, dated 23rd October 1895, requesting that the villages of Kandramanikkam and Kandavarayanpatti may be excluded from the union of Tiruppattūr for reasons stated.

The Board recommends the exclusion of the above villages from the Union of Tiruppattūr.

ORDER—No. 2430 L., dated 17th December 1895.

The proposal of the local boards is approved and the following notification will be published in the Fort St. George and Madura District Gazettes:—

NOTIFICATION.

Under section 6 of the Madras Local Boards Act (V of 1884) and in modification of notification, No. 56, published at page 64, Part I-A, of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 16th December 1885, so far as it relates to the Tiruppattūr Union, the Governor in Council is pleased to exclude the villages of Kandramanikkam and Kandavarayanpatti from the Tiruppattūr Union.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the President, District Board, Madura.
" Collector of Madura.
" Accountant-General.
" Surgeon-General.
" Sanitary Commissioner.
" Superintendent, Government Press.
Gazette.

Gobt. of {1895} Madras.
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., No. 2430 L., 17th Dec. 1895.

DECEMBER.

Union.

Approving the proposal to exclude the villages of Kandramanikkam and Kandavarayanpatti from the — of Tiruppattūr, and ordering the publication of the necessary notification in the Fort St. George and Madura District Gazettes.

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18. No.

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 18

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 18

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER, &C., OF GOVERNMENT—A.

PAPERS KEPT OUT OF FILE FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL
(not paged).

NUMBER OF COPIES
REQUIRED.

• Order complete. 110
• Letter only.
• Order complete with Letter.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.
R = Print, but not for monthly volume.

Please show proofs
16/12

PRESS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

G.O., DATED 17-12-95

No. 2430 L.

First copy sent to Press. 17/12/95

Returned for signature. 8/1/96

Despatched in print. 19/1/96

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Union

Approving the proposal
to include the villages of
Kandramanikkam + Kandava-
rayampatti from the
of Tiruppur + order
the publication of the necessary
notification in the Fort St.
George + Madras Dist. Gazette

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP BEING MEANT FOR DISPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Print in full
B = Not to be printed
P = Print abstract only
Q = Print heading only

NUMBER OF COPIES
REQUIRED

Order complete

Letter only

Order complete with Letter