L. & M.
9,000-8-8-96.

# Local & Munl. (1895-) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 2293 L.

DATED 27th November 1895.

---

[Despatch Abstract.]

Administration Report.

Recording, with remarks, explanation of points noticed in the ---- of the Cuddapah District Board for 1894-95.

## BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | |



***Miscellaneous.***

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPT.

G.O., DATED 27-11-95.
No. 2293.L

INDEXED.

***When given for copying.***

[Despatch Abstract.]

Administration Report

Recording with remarks, Explanation of points noticed in the —— of the Cuddapah District Board for 1894-95

BACK PAPER, No. of 189

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189 , No , MISCELLANEOUS.

FURTHER PAPER, No. of 189

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—
A — Copy in full.
B — Not to be copied.
P — Copy abstract only.
Q — Copy heading only.

Copied by

Examined by

[ 28 ]

*N.B. The reply to this communication should be addressed to the Chief Secretary.*

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT. MADRAS.

C. No. 20982

# Memorandum.

*Dated the* 26th September 1895.

No. 1368

The following points are noticed in the President's and the District Medical Officer's reports and in the statements submitted with the former:—

(1) In column 4 of statement I-A the total opening balance of 1894-95 is given as Rs. 28,572 instead of Rs. 28,591, ~~which is~~ the closing balance of 1893-94, according to column 2 of statement I-B and column 333 of Appendix V to the last general review.

(2) In column 4 of the said (I.A) statement, the total receipts under land cess should have included the excess collections realized

(3) In column 2 of the same statement ~~the sum of Rs.4 which is~~ the contribution from special funds amounting to Rs.4 has been shown under VII. Contributions from Provincial funds-General purposes, instead of under VIII-Contributions from special funds, notwithstanding the remark in G.O. dated 9th February 1895, No. 258Z.

(4) In column 3 of statement I-B the amount sanctioned during the year on account of tolls and ferries, ~~which~~ as shown in statement III, should have been included in the allotment for New Works-Communications.

(5) In column 4 of the same statement the result stipends paid to the masters of ~~the~~ Salary Result Schools should have been shown separately under "Result" and not included in the fixed ries of masters.

(6)

(6) In column 4 of the same statement the cost of nurses under the Siddhavattam Taluk Board should have been ~~excluded from that of clerks and servants and~~ shown under midwives and not under clerks and servants.

(7) In column 3 of the same statement the estimated closing balance of 1894-95 has not been distributed among the several funds.

(8) In statement III-B the expenditure incurred in the Chemmur Union, the total opening balance of the Unions under the Proddatur Taluk Board, the receipts ~~from~~ of the Proddatur Union and the total expenditure on public works incurred in the Unions under all the four Taluk Boards are given as Rs. 1087, Rs. 3194 and Rs. 4,517 respectively instead of Rs. 1,086, Rs. 487, Rs. 3190 and Rs. 4,516, according to the deta

(9)

(9) In the same statement the lighting charges in Unions have been shown under Sanitation ~~notwithstanding~~ the remark in clause (8) of the Government Memorandum dated 27th September 1894, No. 885.

(10) From the details given at the foot of the same statement, it appears that a sum of Rs.140 out of the total expenditure of Rs. 551 incurred on public works in the Unions under the Siddhavattam Taluk Boards was spent on the construction of Union roads, whereas in Statement III the whole of the expenditure is shown under Repairs.

(11) In column 11 of statement III the expenditure incurred by the several agencies from the commencement of the works is given, instead of that incurred during the year under review, notwithstanding the remark in clause (5) of the Government Memorandum dated 7th September 1893, No. 621.

(12) In the same statement the cost of ~~diversion of the road from Handiabanapet to Vinnamangalam to the 12th and 13th miles under the Proddatur Taluk Board and that of raising the road from Kalivedu to Konampeta at the 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th furlongs of 15th mile and of~~ metalling and gravelling a portion of the road from Nadimapalle to Bakarapet and from Nadimapalle railway station to Chinnatippasamudram, is debited to Repairs instead of to New Works.

(13) In statement IV-A the number of miles of village, Taluk and District roads in charge of the Local Boards at the commencement of the year should have been given, with distinguishing them as metalled or unmetalled. G.O. dated 9th

9th October 1890, No. 25622 quoted in column 2 of the statement does not authorize the ~~omission~~ ~~finance~~ of this information but merely directs the submission of a separate statement of roads, distinguishing them as metalled or unmetalled, for a different purpose.

(14) From columns 9 and 10 of the same statement it appears that expenditure was incurred on the taluk roads in the talukas of Pullampet, Proddatur, Madanapalle, Vayalpad and Rayachoti and on the district roads in the Badvel, Madanapalle, Vayalpad and Kadiri talukas newly constructed in the year, but in columns 6 and 7 the mileage of such roads is ~~not~~ given as nil.

(15) In column 16 of the same statement a sum of Rs. 22 is shown as

as the expenditure on repairs to the district roads in the Pullampet Taluk, but the mileage of such roads is ~~not~~ given as nil in column 13.

(16) In the same statement the average cost of maintaining each mile of village roads ~~repaired during the year~~ in the Proddatur, Pulivendla and Kadiri talukas and of taluk roads in the Pulivendla and Madanapalle talukas is given as Rs. 1789, Rs. 780, Rs. 128, Rs. 55 and Rs. 878 respectively instead of Rs. 1519, Rs. 779, Rs. 126, Rs. 61 and Rs. 81.

(17) In column 30 of the same statement the total outlay on roads in the Madanapalle Taluk is entered as Rs. 10,312 instead of Rs. 10,532, according to the details ~~furnished~~.

(18) In column 33 of the same statement the percentages of column 28 to column 31 in the case

excess

case of the Siddhavattam and Pullampet Taluks and in columns 34 the percentages of columns 29 to column 32 in the case of the Siddhavattam and Rayachóti Taluks are given as 70.14, 10.25, 11.87 and 57.41 respectively instead of 80.14, 102.50, 110.87 and 57.51.

(19) In column 8 of statement V the total outlay on Contingencies on account of toll gates is given as Rs. 238, ~~instead of~~ whereas it amounts to Rs. 230 according to the details.

(20) Columns 11 to 20 of statement VI have not been filled in ~~in the case of~~ for hospitals and dispensaries.

(21) In the same statement the fees collected from bazaars during local festivals should not have been shown.

(22) The rate of land cess should have been given in column 2 of statement VII, as required by the heading.

(23) In the last column of statement VII, para. 15 of the President's report it is stated that village cess amounting to Rs. 2855 was erroneously adjusted in the Kadiri Taluk, whereas in column 7 of the same statement the amount so adjusted is given as Rs 147.

(24) At the ~~bottom~~ end of column 7 of the same statement and in para. 15 of the President's report the amount of excess collection under land cess is given as Rs 12, whereas according to the figures given in the same column against the Kadiri Taluk the amount is Rs. 1433.

(25) In columns 11 and 12 of statement VIII the outstandings under house tax are ~~given~~ shown as Rs. 903 instead of Rs. 901, according to the details given in the preceding columns.

(26)

(26) In the same statement the rent value of land and the total income from gardens are given as Rs 10 and Rs 2864 respectively, whereas according to statement I-A they were Rs 9 and Rs. 2867 respectively.

(27) The schools which were not aided from local funds should not have been shown in statement X.

(28) In the same statement the salary grants paid to the mission school at Vayalpad should have been shown under a separate heading "salary grants" and not under "result grants".

(29) In column 15 of the same statement it is stated that provincial grants amounting to Rs. 1516 were adjusted during the year, but the nature of this adjustment has not been explained.

(30) The choultry at Kadiri should not have been shown in statement XI, as the building appears from para. 700 of the Resident's report to have been rented to the American Mission for holding a school, and the rents realized therefrom should be shown in statement I-A under VI - Public Works and not under V - Miscellaneous (b) choultry rents.

(31) In statement XII the average numbers of labour cases ~~per mensem~~ attended each month ~~by the midwife attached to the Madanapalle hospital, and~~ by the midwife and nurses attached to the IIInd Class medical institutions is given as ~~Rs~~ 41 ~~respectively~~, instead of ~~Rs~~ 43; and the average cost of each labour case attended by the midwife and nurses of the hospital at Madanapalle and the

the dispensaries at Pileru and Kadiri is given as Rs 1-15-2, Rs 2-3-0 and Rs 1-2-1 respectively instead of Rs 2-3-0, Rs 2-9-6 and Rs. 1-4-9.

(32) The cost of medicines and apparatus, the miscellaneous charges and the cost of midwives as given in statement XII do not agree in any case with the figures entered in statement I-B.

(33) In the note at the foot of the same statement it is stated that according to the District Medical Officer's report the numbers of labour cases attended in 1893-94 by the midwife and nurses of the Madanapalle hospital and the dispensaries at Boddaturu and Chittoor were given as 59, 67, 33 and 58 respectively instead of 69, 69, 36 and 59 according to the details in para. V(b) of his report.

(34) In statement XIV and in para

para. 67 of the President's report the number of other villages conserved should have excluded the village of Pata Cuddapah as it is included in the Union villages.

(35) In column 18 of the abstract at the foot of the same statement the number of carts used for scavenging purposes under the Cuddapah Taluk Board is given as 3, whereas there is no corresponding entry in the statement itself.

(36) The bungalow at Kodur which is shown in statement XI has not been included in statement XV

(37) In statement XVI only the names of such of the members as were on the boards on the 31st March 1895 should have been numbered in column 1, and the date of retirement etc of those who lost their seats during the year

year ~~should~~ have been given in the ~~remarks~~ last column, as required by para. 4(4) of G.O. dated 28th May 1890, No. 1273L.

(38) In column 13 of statement ~~XVI-A and para. 3 of the President's report~~ XVI-A the number of Europeans and Eurasians on the 31st March 1895 ~~in the District Board and in column 13 of the statement, the number of such men~~ on the Proddatur Taluk Board ~~are~~ is given as ~~nil respectively~~ nil, whereas according to statement XVI it was 1. ~~and 1 respectively.~~

(39) In statement XVI-A the total average attendance of the non-official members of the Taluk Boards and of the official and non-official members of the Union Panchayats are given as 6.64, 1.87 and 3.41 respectively instead of 6.68, 2.06 and 3.44.

(40) In the same statement the totals of the population of the Unions under the Cuddapah and Proddatur Taluk Boards are given as 2132 and 815-41 respectively instead of 21132 and 41,541.

(41) In statement XVI-B the total number of houses in the ~~unions district~~ according to the Census of 1891, ~~that total number of those~~ of the houses assessed in the Unions under the Siddhavattam Taluk Board and ~~that total number~~ of the assessed houses in the 1st, 2nd and 6th classes in all the unions ~~assessed~~ are given as 27,218, 1789, 1068 and 1,163, respectively, instead of 27,318, 1989, 1128 and 14,163.

(42) In column 8 of the same statement the amount of house tax actually collected in the Chennur Union is given as Rs. 615, ~~whereas~~ according to column 5 the demand ~~under that Union~~ itself amounts ~~only~~ to Rs 614.

(43) In the same statement the average assessment per house

house taxed in 1894-95 in all the
Unions under the Siddhavattam
Taluk Board, taken together and in those of
Jammalamadugu, Pulivendla
and Chennur are given as
as. 15-4, as. 5-7, as. 5-5 and as. 5-4
respectively instead of as. 3-5,
as. 6-1, as. 6-9 and as. 6-4.

(44) In the same statement
the percentage of the number of
houses assessed in 1894-95 in
the Kamalápuram Union to
the total number is given as 94
instead of 91.

(45) In statement XVII the
number of pupils on the 31st
March 1895 in the Badvel lower
secondary school is given as 47
instead of 27, according to State
ment IX and para. 48 of the Presi
dent's report.

(46) In column 2 of the State
ment showing the proportion
of the general local fund receipts
to the expenditure on the several
services

services, the receipts under the
District and Taluk Boards are
given as Rs. 104349 and Rs. 134843
respectively, whereas according to
figures given in statement
I-A they amounted to
Rs. 65176 and Rs. 153626 respectively.

(47) In the same statement
the percentages of expenditure on
education and vaccination
under the District Board, and on
education, medical relief
and sanitation under the
Siddhavattam, Proddatur
and Madanapalle Taluk
Boards respectively and of
the total expenditure on roads;
and vaccination are given
as 4·38, 1·07, 2·03, 16·31, 1·04, 82·04
and 1·07 respectively, instead
of 4·28, 10·7, 20·36, 16·53, 10·43, 32·04 and
4·30.

(48) In the same statement
the lighting charges should not
have been given included under
sanitation, and the contributions
made

made by the District Board for the medical College and reserve staff, and for sanitary inspection should have been ~~shown~~ included under medical relief and sanitation respectively.

(49) In the same statement the expenditure on vaccination is given as Rs. 11,155, whereas in Statement I-B it is ~~shown~~ as Rs. 11,157 and in statement XIII as Rs 11,156; and that ~~expenditure~~ on sanitation under the Proddatur Taluk Boards is given as Rs. 2168 and Rs 6419 respectively, whereas in statement I-B they are ~~shown~~ as Rs. 2169 and Rs. 6414 respectively, ~~in Statement I-B~~, including the lighting charges.

(50) In the educational statement D the number of pupils presented for the standard examinations ~~in 1893-94~~ from the result schools under the Proddatur Taluk Board in 1893-94 is given as 1158 instead of 1858.



(51) In the statement showing the vacancies that have occurred and the appointments made in the Union Panchayats during 1894-95 the numbers of ex-officio, official and non official members at the end of 1893-94 on the Jammalamadugu, Pulivendla, Pileru, Kadiri, Siddhavattam, Parumanilla ~~and~~ Rajampeta and Cheemakurthy Panchayats are given as 4, 1, 7; 3, nil, 7; 4, 1, 4; 2, 1, 4; 3, nil, 9; 3, 2, 3; 3, 1, 6 and 5, nil, 5 respectively, whereas according to statement XVI-A submitted with the report for 1893-94 they were 5, 1, 6; 6, nil, 5; 1, 2, 6; 3, nil, 4; 4, nil, 8; 3, nil, 5; 3, nil, 7; and 4, 1, 5 respectively.

(52) In the same statement the vacancies caused on the Proddatur and Jammalamadugu Panchayats by the retirement of Fakir-ud-din Sahib and V. Gurumurti Chetti and the appointment of Yaman Khan Sahib

on the Jammalamadugu Pancha-
-yat should not have been
shown, as they relate to the year 1893-94-
Vide the return of Union Panchayats
for the half year ending 31st
March 1894, recorded in G.O.
dated 19th June 1894, No. 1132 L.

(53) In the same statement columns 11 & 13 of the actual numbers of ex-officio, official and non-official members at the end of 1894-95 on the Pancha-
-yats of Pulivendla, Vayalpad,
Pilleru, Kadiri, Badvel, Penuma-
-milla, Rajampeta and Chennur-
-milla, Rajampeta and Chennur-
are given as 4, 1, 7; 4, 1, 6; 1, 1, 7;
2, 1, 4; 5, nil, 7; 3, nil, 5; 3, nil, 7;
and 4, nil, 6 respectively, whereas
according to the ~~information~~ ~~given~~
~~in bed in the~~ preceding columns
they should be 3, 1, 8; 4, nil, 7;
4, 1, 4; 1, 2, 4; 5, 1, 6; 3, 2, 3, 3, 1, 6;
and 5, nil, 5 respectively.

(54) In the last column of the same statement the reason for the vacancy has not been given in any case.

(55) In the same statement the

and nurses attached to the
in 1893-94

the numbers of ex-officio and official other members at the end of 1894-95 on the Rayachoti Panchayat are given as 3, 1 and 6 respectively, whereas according to statement XVII-A they were 4, nil and 6 respectively.

(56) In the same statement the Unions in which no vacancies occurred or the appointments were made should not have been shown.

(57) The appointments made in the new Union of Lakkidi Cuddapah should not have been shown in the same statement.

(58) In para. 7 of the Presidents report the number of meetings held by the Union Panchayats in 1893-94 is given as 231 instead of 230, ~~with reference to the remark~~ according to clause (25) of the Government Memorandum dated 27th September 1894, No. 885.

(59) In para. 10 the incidence of taxation per head of the population excluding tolls is given as Rs. 2-

instead of As. 2-4.

(59) In para. 12 it is erroneously stated that Rs. 28,792, Rs. 15,070 and Rs. 7492 are the balances adopted by Government in columns 331-333 of Appendix V of the General Review for last year, whereas only the first figure can be obtained from these columns.

(60) In para. 24 the total amount of receipts under markets, including the miscellaneous and slaughter houses receipts is given as Rs. 6902 instead of Rs. 6906, according to the details in Statement VI.

(61) In para. 41 the percentages of the cost of the Local Fund Engineering establishment, including and excluding the expenditure incurred on the purchase of tents and of the cost of tools and plant to the total outlay on works are given as 21.58, 20.83 and .96 respectively instead of 22.7, 21.9 and 1.0.

and nurses attached to the

(62) In para. 44 the actual total expenditure on education in 1893-94 is given as Rs. 35361 whereas according to Statement I-B and Appendix V to the last General Review it was Rs. 35077.

(63) In the statement annexed to para. 46 it is stated that no information has been furnished regarding the numbers of villages visited and of schools prepared for examination by the Inspecting School masters, in 1893-94, though these particulars were reported to Government in the President's letter recorded in GOs. dated 15th December 1894, No. 26222 and 15th January 1895, No. 512.

(64) In para 49 The total

instead of As. 2-4.

number of pupils in the primary schools on the 31st March 1895 given in paragraph 49 should have excluded ~~those~~ that in the primary departments of the secondary schools, as ~~they are~~ it is included in the number of pupils ~~of~~ of the secondary schools given in para. 48.

(65) In ~~the same~~ para 50 (b) the actual cost of the primary schools in 1893-94 and the amount of result grants paid to aided schools ~~respectively~~ are given as Rs. 19,148 and Rs. 9376 respectively instead of Rs. 19,147 and Rs. 9377 according to statement I-B.

(66) In para. 52 the total increase in the number of patients treated in the medical institutions and the percentage of the number of patients treated to the population in 1893-94 and 1894-95 are given as 4113, 5.91 and 6.25 respectively instead of 4139, 6.0 and 6.3.

(67) In para. 55 the average cost of each labour case

and nurses attached to the medical institutions in 1893-94 is given as As. 15-9 instead of As. 15-3.

(68) In para. 58 it is stated that the vaccine staff was strengthened by the addition during the year of two second class vaccinators and in statement XIII accordingly their number is given as 22, but in the District Medical Officer's report on the vaccination Department it is given as 20, and there appears to be no authority for sanctioning the entertainment of the two additional vaccinators.

(69) In the same para. the percentage of successful ~~cases~~ vaccinations, the estimated cost of vaccination and the cost of each successful case are given as 95, Rs 12,120 and As. 4-7 respectively instead of 96, Rs 12,020 and As 4-8.

(70) ~~In the statement appended to para 66~~ The estimated

estimated expenditure in 1894-95
under the several heads of
~~shown in the statement appended to para. 64~~
sanitation does not ~~tally~~ agree with
the figures given in statement
I-B, except ~~under the head of the~~
other sanitary outlay.

(71) In para. 72 the lighting
charges incurred by the Taluk
Boards ~~are~~ given as ~~annually~~
~~Rs~~ Rs 16 instead of Rs. 1679.

(73) In the same para
lights are reported to have
been kept in nine localities
instead of ten, according
to the details given in the
statement appended to the
para.

(72) In para. 73 the total
estimated expenditure under
Grant IV is given as Rs. 19988
instead of Rs. 19985, according
to statement I-B.

(73) In statement (d) ~~annexed to~~
para. V of the District Medical
officer's report the numbers of
in-patients treated in the
~~dispensary in 1891-92~~ in the Siddhavattam
Conumanilla and ~~Badvel~~
dispensary

dispensary ~~in~~ in ~~1891-92 and~~
1892-93, ~~respectively~~ and of
out-patients treated in the
Badvel dispensary in 1891-92,
in the Rayachóti and Siddhavattam dispensaries
in 1892-93 and in the Madana
-palle hospital and the Siddha
-vattam dispensary in 1893-94
are given as 1, 1, 5222, 3068,
4238, 9309 and 5228 respectively
-ly, whereas according to the
~~information furnished in~~
his report for 1893-94 they
were nil, 2, 5224, 3052, 4862,
9369 and 4750 respectively

(74) In the same statement (d)
the number of out-patients treated
in the Rayachóti dispensary
in 1894-95 is given as 4852,
whereas according to state
-ment XII it was 4830.

(75) In statement (f) annexed
to the same paragraph the number
of major operations performed
in

in the Madanapalle hospital
is given as 12 whereas according
to the details ~~given~~ it ~~was~~ 11.

(76) In statement (h) ~~of~~ amended to
the same ~~paragraph~~ the numbers
of labour cases attended by the
midwife and nurses in the
Siddhavattam dispensary
in 1892-93 and 1893-94 and in
~~dispensary~~ in 1892-93
the Rajampeta and in the Chitvélu
dispensary ~~in 1892-93 and~~
1893-94 ~~respectively~~ are given
as 47, 56, 44 and 59 respectively,
whereas according to ~~the~~
~~information furnished in~~
his report for 1893-94 they
were 49, 59, 43 and 60 respectively.

(77) In the same statement (h)
the numbers of labour cases attended
by the midwife and nurses
in the Madanapalle hospital
and Rayachóti dispensary
in 1894-95
are given as 52 and 84 respectively,
whereas according to the
details

details ~~given~~ in statement
XII they ~~were~~ were 59 and 77 respectively.
~~In the President's report as well as in statement IX~~
(78) the statistics relating
to each of the three sessional schools should
have been given separately and their
working should be ~~reported~~ obtained from
~~the Assistant Inspector of schools and furnished~~

(79) There are several minor
errors of calculation and posting
in the statements, as well as in
the report.

(80) The slight differences
between the main and subsidiary statements referred
to in para. 14 of the President's
report should have been adjusted
in the District Board's office.

2. The necessary corrections
have been made with reference
to clauses (1), (3)–(6), (8), (9), (11)–(13), (14),
(21), (22), (25), (27), (28), (30), (31), (33), (34),
(37), (39)–(41), (43)–(45), (47), (48), (50), (52),
(56) and (79) of the preceding
paragraph. The discrepancies
noticed in clauses (10), (14), (15), (17), (23),
(24), (26), (32), (35), (38), (42), (46), (49), (51),
(53)

(53), (55), (62), (68), (70) and (73)–(77) and the omissions pointed out in clauses ~~(27) and~~ (36) should be explained and the omissions referred to in clauses (20), (29) and (78) should be supplied as soon as possible.

3. The President's report and the accompanying statements have again been prepared most carelessly, notwithstanding the remarks in para. 3 of the Government Memorandum dated 27th September 1894, No. 885 and in para. 5 of G. Os. dated 18th September 1893, No. 2070 L and 6th October 1894, No. 1979 L. This is extremely unsatisfactory and the ~~Government expects that~~ President is requested to report what ~~greater care will be exercised~~ steps he proposes to take for effecting ~~in their preparation so as~~ an improvement in future. ~~to avoid the necessity for similar remarks in future~~

V.R
7/11/95

To

The President, District Board,
Cuddapah

Dated 26/9/95

* In G.O. dt 15-11-94 No 2353 L

Despatched
Please return this
form after despatch
DB
17/11/95

1368
Dn 26-9-95

No. Current No. 1566 of 1895 L. F.
DATED 16. 10. 1895.

CUDDAPAH.
DISTRICT BOARD'S OFFICE,
Dated 12 . 10 . 1895.

*In replying, the above should be quoted.*
Enclosures

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 30282

FROM
The PRESIDENT,
DISTRICT BOARD,
CUDDAPAH.

To
The CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVT.,
LOCAL FUND DEPARTMENT,
FORT SAINT GEORGE.

SIR,

In reference to Memorandum of Government No: 1368, 26-9-95 I have the honor to report as follows:-

(2) Clause 4.

As there is a distinct head "Tolls and Ferries" in statement I B, the allotment sanctioned was shown against it in that statement, and as there is no similar heading in statement

No: III it is included under New works Communications in the latter statement.

Clause 5.

(3) In the supplemental Treasury Account for March 195, the amounts expended under "Results Stipends" and "salaries of Masters" were shown together under the latter head. The same figures have, therefore, been repeated in the Taluq and District Board reports. The Treasury Deputy Collector will be requested to shew them in the accounts in future under separate headings.



(4) Clause 6.

In the supplemental Treasury Account for March 195, the cost of Nurses was included under Clerks and Servants instead of under the proper head "Midwives". This was noticed in para 65 of the report and a note was also added at the foot of the statement No: XII.

(5) Clause 8.

The Treasury Deputy Collector will be requested to shew the separately in future. The total expenditure in the Chennur union is Rs 1086-13-6 as shown in the Supplemental Treasury Account for March 195, leaving a balance of Rs 173-0-0.

To retain the balance shown in the Treasury account, the sum of Rs Rs: 1086-13-6 is shown as Rs: 1087.

The total opening balance of Proddatur Taluq Board and the receipts of Proddatur union are ~~Rs:~~ 491 + 3194 as shown below:-

(a)

Opening balance

Proddatur union — Rs 242 (shown in II-B as Rs 241)
Jammalamadugu union + " 482
Pulivendla union .. + 268
Vaimpalli union .. — 17

Total + Rs 491

(b) (shown under II-b) The total receipts of Proddatur union are — 242 + 1423 + 268 + 1526 + 219 = 3194

(c) and the total expenditure on public works is Rs: 4516.

(b) Clause 9

With reference to this clause, I beg to state that in clause 6 of Govt Memo No: 885 27-9-94 (Lr.No 23537 15-11-94) it was laid down that "in statement II B lighting charges should have been exhibited separately and not included under Sanitation." Consequently in column 8 of the statement II B lighting charges were shown separately in the same form as was adopted in the General Presidency Review being marked by an asterisk ⊛ (vide column 29 of Appendix VII printed in page 60 of G.O. No: 6th December 94 Nos: 2541 + 2542.) Probably the explanation for the asterisk x "Miscellaneous" has not been entered

owing to clerical error.

(7) Clause 10

The President Taluq Board Siddhavattam will be requested to furnish this information and will be submitted as soon as it is received.

(8) Clause (11)

The attention of the Local Fund Engineer will be called to this matter.

(9) Clause 12

The Local Fund Engineer is requested to explain the circumstances under which he treated the new works and avenue expenditure under repairs.

(10) Clause 13.

The directions given will be attended to in future.

(11) Clauses 14 & 15.

In the statement submitted by the Local Fund Engineer, the mileage has not been given. He has been requested to submit the same.

(12) Clauses. 16, 17, & 18.

The statement furnished by the Local Fund Engineer contains the descripancies pointed out and his attention will be called to this matter.

(13) Clause 19.

The total of column 8 of statement $\frac{V}{VI}$ is Rs: 238, as shown therein as per particulars given in

the margin.

| | Names of Tollgates | Amount. |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Tkondus | Rs 8-0-0 |
| 2. | Proddatur | 8-0-0 |
| 3. | Porumamilla | 8-0-0 |
| 4. | Cleli | 8-0-0 |
| 5. | Tkodus | 8-0-0 |
| 6. | Jammalamadugu | 8-0-0 |
| 7. | Surabhi | 8-0-0 |
| 8. | Vaimpalli | 8-0-0 |
| 9. | Mallala | 8-0-0 |
| 10. | Pulivendla | 8-0-0 |
| 11. | Gurvalachervuv | 8-0-0 |
| 12. | Rayachoty | 8-0-0 |
| 13. | Sanipaya | 8-0-0 |
| 14. | Cheekatimanipalli | 8-0-0 |
| 15 | Pulicuntu | 8-0-0 |
| 16. | Thutagulla | 8-0-0 |
| 17. | Guthialeheruvu | 8-0-0 |
| 18. | Guttamkonda + angallu | 16-0-0 |
| 19. | Peelair | 8-0-0 |
| 20. | Thalarada | 8-0-0 |
| 21. | Badvel | 8-0-0 |
| 22. | Mydukuv | 9-0-0 |
| 23. | Voyalpad | 8-0-0 |
| 24. | Muddanapalli | 17-0-0 |
| 25. | Ghattu | 7-0-0 |
| 26 | Nagasamipalli | 7-0-0 |
| 27. | Pottamadinum | 14-0-0 |



(14) Clause 20

Particulars required in columns 11 to 20 of statement No: VII are given below:

Fixed charges Rs 2759
Contingent charges 1228
Total Rs 3987

and all the other columns are blanks, except Columns 5, 7, 9 & 10 which should contain 718-46, 3223 and 3987 respectively.

(15) Clause 21.

This point was already noticed in the Administration Report. The Treasury Deputy Collector will be requested to remove those villages in which fees for fairs and festivals are collected, from the list of markets attached to the Supplemental Treasury account for March 1905. This will be excluded from the Administration report in future

and shewn separately.

(16) Clause 22.

Land cess was levied at the rate of one anna in the Rupee.

(17) Clause 23.

Rs: 147-0-0 shown in Column 7 of the statement No: VII against Thadiri Taluq is the land cess collection in Shrotriems and not the erroneous adjustment as per particulars given in the margin.

Royalty Warams ... Rs 3893
Shrotriem ... 147
Excess Collections — 6
Erroneous Collections 1427.

(18) Clause 24.

Rs: 12-0-0 are the excess collections and the amount of Rs 2855 is the erroneous adjustment. Half of this viz. Rs 6 + Rs 1427 is shown under Madanapalli Taluq Board against Thadiri and the other half against District

(19) Clause 25.

The figure Rs 903 shown in statement No: VIII is a mistake for Rs 901.

Clause 26.

The actual amount realized from avenues is Rs 2866-10-5 or Rs 2867 as shown in the supplemental account for March 1905, and Rs 9-8-0 the rent value of land. In statement I A Rs 2867-0-0 + Rs 9-0-0 respectively were shown ~~entered figures~~ and in statement VIII submitted by the Treasury Deputy Collector it is said that Rs 2864+10 have been arrived at on the revised information furnished by the Tahsildars. The figures Rs 2867+9 shown in statement I A may, therefore, stand as they are as the actual receipts.

(20) Clause 27.

This statement was prepared in accordance with the

statement submitted during the last year which was admitted by Government. The instructions now issued by Government will be attended to in future.

(21) Clause 29.

The attention of the Treasury Deputy Collector is called to this matter.

(22) Clause 30.

The Treasury Deputy Collector will be requested to give effect to this order in the Local Fund Treasury accounts for adaption in the Administration Report statements.

(23) Clause 31.

The average number of labour cases attended by Midwives in statement No: XII is shown as 41. This figure was arrived at by dividing 189, 80, 251 by 12 months separately Board.



to find out the average of each taluq Board in whole numbers + and the total of these quotients is 41 as shown in the statement.

(24) Clause 32.

The particulars are shown as below:

Statement IB — Statement XII.

Medicines + Surgical apparatus ... } Rs 4128. — Medicines + Surgical apparatus Rs 4296

Supplies (Cost of Country medicines) .... Rs 169

Total Rs 4297

I B — Statement XII

Contingencies + miscellaneous } 1741 + Travelling allowance 163 } (a) — Miscellaneous 1794-00, Clerks + Servants 4318-0-0

Midwives ..... 655 + 313 = 968 — Cost of Midwives + Nurses ... } 1152-00

Midwifery pupils .. 75

Clerks + Servants 4631 − 313 = 4318 (b)

7265

Total Rs 7264

(a) The amount of rewards given to midwives and Nurses was shown in the supplemental Treasury Account by mistake under contingencies and instead of under

1152 / 1043 + 109 — 968 + 75

1741 / 109 / 163

under Midwives the proper head.

(b) The pay of midwives of Siddhavattam Taluq Board was by mistake shown in the supplemental treasury account under Clerks & Servants instead of the proper head under midwives.

Note:—

Statement IB was made to tally with the supplemental Treasury account for March/95 and statement No: XII has been made to furnish the details under appropriate columns as directed by Government in official memo No: 886 27.9.94 clause 17.

(25) Clause 34

The village Pathaluddapalv was constituted into union and it began to work as such only from 1st January/95. Before that date it was treated as other than the union village. It was therefore shown in both columns as representing unions and other villages.



(26) Clause 35.

This is a clerical error in the fair copy & it must be 15 men & 3 carts.

(27) Clause 36

In statement No: XV submitted by the Local Fund Engineer, the Bungalow at Kasapuram was omitted by mistake. His attention is called to this matter.

(28) Clause 38.

The Revd: Mr: D. Leighton referred to, in statement No: XVII, is a Christian convert and not a European or Eurasian. Column 13 of statement XVII-A & B A is correct if it has nil.

(29) Clause 39

5.15
7.62
7.58
6.23
26.58 and divide this by 4 then the result is 6.64.

The total average attendance of non official members of the Taluq Boards given in statement XVII A is correct as per particulars in the margin

The average attendance of official and Non-official members of the union Panchayats is 3.44 + 2.08.

(30) Clauses 40 + 41

The figures pointed out by Government are correct. These were mistakes in fair copying.

(31) Clause 42.

The demand under Chennur union is Rs 615 and not Rs: 614.

(32) Clause 45.

It is rightly shown in the office copy of the statement No: XVII and the figure 47 is a mistake for 427 in the fair copy.

(33) Clause 46

The figures 1,04,349 + 1,54,843 have been arrived at by showing the provincial contribution and allotments



to Taluq Funds both under District and Taluq Boards. The figures 65,176 + 1,53,626 will, therefore, be adopted.

(34) Clause 49.

The totalling of several minor items of each taluq Board and the totalling of such total of the several Taluq Boards together, will bring on the differences of a few rupees since a fraction of a rupee is either removed or added as a whole rupee according to the circumstances.

(35) Clause 50

The office copy represents the correct figure 1858.

(36) Clauses 51 + 53.

The information furnished by the Presidents of Taluq Boards has been

adopted in the statement submitted.

The Presidents of Taluq Boards will be requested to explain the descripancies as soon as possible and the necessary explanation will be submitted on receipt of the information.

(37) Clause 52.

The attention of the President Taluq Board Madanapalli will be called to this matter.

(38) Clause 53.

The Presidents of Taluq Boards will be requested to explain the matter therein referred to.

(39) Clause 55.

The President Taluq Board Madanapalli will be requested to explain the descripancy.

(40) Clause 57.

The appointments made in the Pathaluddapah union were not shown in the statement submitted by the Cuddapah Taluq Board President. He will be asked to furnish the information.

* 1,74,140×16=2786240 & divide this amount by 1,25,4693 then the result is Rs 0-2-2.

(41) Clause 59.

The incidence of Taxation is ~~Rs~~ 0-2-2 and not 0-2-4 as per working in the margin.

(42) Clause 61

The particulars given in the report have been arrived at as worked out in the margin.

* 4203+614+4114+78859+1992+2555 +280+898=93515.

There (a) 93515 : 20186 :: 100 : 21·58.
(b) 93515 : 19486 :: 100 : 20·83.
(c) 92617 : 898 :: 100 : ·96.

(43) Clause 62.

The amount of Rs: 35361 shown as the actual total expenditure on Education in 1893-94 is a mistake for Rs 35077 which is due to an addition

twice over of a sum of Rs: 2848.0-0. the salaries of clerks, servants and miscellaneous of the salary result schools.

(44) Clause 63.

The statement in para 46 is an oversight.

(45) Clause 64:—

The attention of the Presidents of Taluq Boards and the Educational officers will be called to this matter.

(46) Clause 67.

The amount of Rs 0-15-9 being the average cost of each labour case attended by the Midwives and Nurses attached to the Medical institutions in 1893-94 is adapted from the column 19 of appendix XXIII to the last general review.

(47) Clause 68.

The figure 22 shown as the No: of 2nd class Vaccinators in statement



No: XIII enclosed, is a mistake for 20. For in Cuddapah Range 9 is shown in the statement for 7 by oversight.

(48) Clause 70.

In statement IB the Estimated expenditure under all heads of Sanitation is shown as given in Supplemental treasury account for March 1895, whereas in the report the actual figures as revised subsequently are given as per statements of the Taluq Board Presidents.

(49) Clause 71.

The lighting charges referred to, have been shown in the office copy as 1679 which is the correct figure.

(50) Clause 72.:-

The total estimated expenditure are under grant IV is Rs: 19,988 as given in the supplemental treasury account for March 1895.

(14)

(22.)

(51) Clauses 73, 74, 75, 76 & 77.

The discrepancies in the District Medical & Sanitary officer's report so far as they affected the statistics of 1893-94 & 1894-95 referred to in clauses 73, 74 & 76, have been already noticed in a note at the foot of statement No: XII. The District Medical and Sanitary officer and the Presidents of Taluq Boards Madanapalli & Siddhavattam will be requested to explain the discrepancies and on receipts of the same it will be submitted to Government.

(52) Clause 78.

The assistant Inspector of Schools will be requested to furnish the statistics of the Sessional schools at an early date and the same will be submitted on their being received in this office, and all the other remarks of the Government will



will be noted duly & attended to in future. With reference to the last para of the G. O. I beg to note in the margin* the dates on which the report is due in and out of the office and the dates on which the reports from the Taluq Boards Presidents have actually been received in this office.

(53) The Local Fund rule No: 89 lays down that the Taluq Board report should reach the District Board's office on 1st June / and that of the District Boards should reach the Government on 15th July that is to say full a month and a half is allowed for the preparation

| No | Name of officer's from whom received | When due | When received in this office | When acknowledged from this office | The date on which the report was forwarded to the Government |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Municipal Taluq Board Chairman | 1-6-95 | 6-7-95 | 15th July 95 | 19th July 95 |
| 2 | Do Siddhavattam | do | 26-6-95 | | |
| 3 | Do Proddatur | do | 2-7-95 | | |
| 4 | Do Madanapalli | do | 27-6-95 | | |
| 5 | Local Fund Engineer | do | 7-6-95 | | |
| 6 | Asst. Superintending Officer | 1-6-95 | 16-6-95 | | |
| 7 | Assistant Inspector of Schools | 1-6-95 | 29-6-95 | | |
| 8 | Tahsildar Collector | " | 31-5-95 | | |

of the consolidated reports. A kind perusal of the marginally appended statements will show that none of the report have been received on or about the due dates and in addition, the meeting, to pass the report, was unavoidably fixed on the 19th July 195. Thus there was hardly sufficient time to check the statements submitted by the Taluq Boards and to receive correct information from them.

(54) I have therefore, issued necessary instructions to the Taluq Board Presidents + other officers to take special care in the preparation of their next years report and statements and to submit them on the due dates precisely.

Instructions will be sent to all Taluq Board Presidents + other officers with a view to uniformity in the submission of their accounts which, I trust, will tend to greater accuracy in future reports.

I have the honor to be

Sir.

Your most obedient

Vice Chairman

for President.

Copied Abe 15/10/95 Compared by Abe 15/10/95

C/ Tstn.

(14)

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 3028 L
1895.

**Local Fund Department.**

From

THE PRESIDENT,
Dist: Board.
Cuddapah.

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVT.,
LOCAL FUND DEPARTMENT,
*Fort Saint George.*

Dated 12th
Despatched 16th October 1895.
Received
No. 1560
ENCLOSURES.

UNDER SECRETARY
18 OCT 95
PUBLIC & JUDL. DEPTS.

SUBJECT.

Submitting ~~replies~~ explanation ~~for the remarks passed by Govt. in~~ of points noticed in the Administration Report for 1894-95

[ 5 ]
500—27-5-95.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Current No. 3028 L of 1895, dated 12th October 1895 from the President, District Board, Cuddapah
Current No. of 189 , dated from the

Order. No. 2293 Local 97-11-95

Recorded with the following remarks:—

(1) Under No 19 of the Local Fund Rules, charges relating to works connected with tolls and ferries should be shown under "Communications". The head "Tolls and ferries" appearing in Statement I-B is under "Establishment" and all charges relating to establishments maintained on account of tolls and ferries should be shown under that head.

(2) The details of the expenditure in the Chennur union shown in statement II-B. should have been altered so as to arrive at the total given.

(3) In the same statement, the opening balance of the Proddatur Union is shown as Rs 246 instead of Rs 242, as now reported.

(4) In

(4) In Column 28 of Appendix VI to G.O. No 2541 L dated 6th December 1894, the lighting charges are shown under "Miscellaneous" and not under "Sanitation".

(5) In column 8 of Statement V submitted with the President's report, the toll gate at Angallu and the contingent charges amounting to Rs 8, incurred on that account have not been shown.

(6) In column 9 of Statement VI the amount of contribution from general revenues on account of hospitals and dispensaries is shown as Rs 3,209, instead of Rs 3,223, as now reported.

(7) In column 7 of statement VII the escess collection of Rs 6, and the erroneous adjustment of Rs 1,428. under land cess have not been shown against the District Board.

(8) In statement XIV and in para. 67 of the President's report the village of Patha Cuddapah should have been treated as a union and not both as a union and a non-union village, the expenditure incurred on its conservancy as a non-union village being, however, shown separately as at present.

(9) The total average attendance of the members of the Taluk Boards and Union Panchayats should be calculated by dividing the total attendance of members by the total number of meetings.

(10) Care should be taken to see that the figures given in the subsidiary statements agree with those in Statement I. B, all being rounded in the same manner.

(11) In calculating the incidence of taxation, excluding tolls, per head of population, the collections under house tax should have been included.

(12) In calculating the per centage of the cost of the Local Fund Engineering establishment and tools and plant to the total outlay on works, the cost of establishment

establishments connected with tolls and ferries and tools and plant; should not have been included in the latter amount.

(13) The information promised with reference to clauses (10), (12), (14), (15), (29), (51), (53), (55) and (73)–(78) of the Government memorandum under reply will be awaited.

24/11/95 WWD 26/11/95

To,

The President, District Board,
Cuddapah.

Copy 29/11/95

Explanation of points noted in the Administration Report of the Cuddapah District Board for

30282

2293Z

R/Misc

When given for copying ____
When copied ____
When examined ____
When signed ____
} 189.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189 , DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | |
| LETTER OF GOVERNMENT. | | | | | | | | |
| STATEMENT WITH DITTO. | | | | | | | | |
| PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL. | | | | | | | | |

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.