[L. & M.
9,000-8-8-96.]

Local & Munl. (1895) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 2185 L.

DATED 18th Novr 1895.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Taluk Board.

Ordering that the appointment of M.R.Ry.M.Sriramulu Garu as a member of the Vinukonda ---- be gazetted.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G O.	No.	Department.	Date.

---

[7]

Miscellaneous.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPT.

G.O., DATED 18-11-95
No. 2185 L

INDEXED.

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Taluk Board

Ordering that the appointment of M.R.Ry. ~~[illegible]~~ Sriramulu Garu as a member of the Vinukonda ——— be gazetted.

M.S.

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189 , No , MISCELLANEOUS.

BACK PAPER, No. of 189

FURTHER PAPER, No. of 189

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—
A — Copy in full.
B — Not to be copied.
P — Copy abstract only.
Q — Copy heading only.

Copied by
Examined by

From
THE PRESIDENT,
District Board,
Kistna.

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 3280 L

To
The Chief Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Department,
MADRAS

Dated 1st November
Despatched
Received
1895.

No.

Enclosure. 1

Subject.

Recommending ~~to~~ the appointment of M.R.Ry. Majeti Sriramulu Garu as a member of the Vinukonda Taluk Board.

CHIEF SECRETARY
7-NOV. 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

R
Misc.

Order No. 2185 Mis. 18-11-95
The proposed appointment will be gazetted.

V.R.
15/11/95

18/11/95

Gazetted 19/11

member expired on 18-7-95. The delay is due to the selection of a suitable candidate by intermediate correspondence.

The written consent of my nominee and the constitution list of the Taluk Board are enclosed.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant

J. U. Atkinson
3/11
President.

DESPATCHED
4 NOV. 95

Before No. 1833/DB of 95

Kistna District Board's Office,

~~Camp~~ Masulipatam.

Dated the 1st Novr. 1895.

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 3280 L

From J. N. Atkinson Esqr.

President, District Board

Kistna.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,

Local and Municipal Department,

MADRAS.

Sir,

I have the honour to recommend, that Government may be pleased to appoint M. R. Ry Majeti Sriramulu Garu, an influential and wealthy merchant, and contractor of Narasaraoopett, as a member of the Vinukonda Taluk Board, vice M. R. Ry M. Narasimha Row Pantulu Garu, whose term as a member

1/3280 L/95

Constitution of the Vinukonda Taluk Board.

Sanctioned Strength. 12.

No.	Names of members.	Date of appointment.	Remarks.
	Officials.		
1	M. R. Ry. K. Muktesware Row Pantulu Garu Tahsildar, Vinukonda & Vice President.	22-8-93	Transferred from this Dt. to Bezwada. M. R. Ry. V. Dakshinamurti Pantulu Garu B. A. Tah. Vinukonda has been ~~is now~~ recommended as member.
2	" T. Raghava Row Pantulu Garu Tahsildar of Palnad.	30-7-95	Transferred from this Dt. to Nuzvid. T. Balaji Row Nayudu Garu ~~Tahr.~~ Narasaraopett has been ~~is now~~ recommended as member.
1 3	" P. Nagaswara Row Pantulu Garu B. A. B. L. Tahr. of Palnad.	13-8-95	✓
2 4	" M. Rangaswamy Iyer Avergal Sub-asstt. Inspector of Schools.	17-9-95	✓
	Non-Official Members.		
3 5	" K. Pitchireddi Garu	30-7-95	✓
4 6	" T. Hanumanta Row Naidu Garu	Do.	✓
5 7	" M. Lakshminarasimham Chetti Garu	Do.	✓
6 8	" P. Seshayya Garu	22-10-95	✓
7 9	Sowdagar Mohaddien Pacha Saheb Garu	21-11-93	✓
8 10	Revd. W. M. Powell.	21-5-95	✓
9 11	M. R. Ry. K. Narasinga Row Pantulu Garu	17-9-95	✓
12	" M. Narasimha Row Pantulu Garu.	19-7-92	Term expired on 18-7-95. Fresh nomination will be submitted.

Sanctd. Strength

Kistna Dt. Bd's. office
Masulipatam.

J. H. Atkinson
8/11
President.

7/ 13280/f95

L

Constitution of the Vinukonda Taluk Board.

Sanctioned Strength. 12.

No.	Names of members.	Date of appointment.	Remarks.
	Officials.		
1	M. R. Ry. K. Mukteswara Row Pantulu Garu Tahsildar, Vinukonda & Vice President.	22-8-93	Transferred from this Dt. to Bezwada. M. R. Ry. V. Dakshinamurti Pantulu Garu B. A. Tah. Vinukonda has been ~~is now~~ recommended as member.
2	T. Raghava Row Pantulu Garu Tahsildar of Palnad.	30-7-95	Transferred from this Dt. to Nuzvid. T. Balaji Row Nayudu Garu ~~Tahr.~~ Narasaraopett has been ~~is now~~ recommended as member.
1 3	" P. Nageswara Row Pantulu Garu B. A. B. L. Tahr. of Palnad.	13-8-95.	✓
2 4	" M. Rangaswamy Iyer Avergal Sub. asstt. Inspector of Schools.	17-9-95.	✓
	Non-Official Members.		
3 5	" K. Pitchireddi Garu	30-7-95.	✓
4 6	" T. Hanumanta Row Naidu Garu	Do.	✓
5 7	" M. Lakshminarasimham Chetti Garu	Do.	✓
6 8	" P. Seshayya Garu	22-10-95	✓
7 9	Sowdagar Mohaddien Pacha Saheb Garu	21-11-93	✓
8 10	Revd. W. M. Powell.	21-5-95.	
9 11	M. R. Ry. K. Narasinga Row Pantulu Garu	17-9-95.	✓
12	" M. Narasimha Row Pantulu Garu.	19-7-92.	Term expired on 18-7-95 Fresh nomination will be submitted.

Sanctd. Strength

Kistna Dt. Bd's office
Masulipatam

J. H. Atkinson
President.

Current No. 3783 L

of 1895.

GENERAL DEPUTY COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
RECEIVED
OCT. 95
KISTNA.

To the Taluk Board President, Vinukonda

From K. Srirammulu of Narasaraopet.

L.R.C.
Letter to Dt. Pt.
President at once
12/10/95

[illegible]

[illegible] 847 [illegible]

[illegible]

222-12-2 [illegible]

258-7-5 [illegible]

9-12-0 [illegible]

490-15-7

[illegible]

10 [illegible] 1895

[illegible]

I am willing to serve as a member of the Taluk Board. The taxes I pay to Government amount to Rs 490-15-7-

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTIFICATION.

IN exercise of the power conferred by section 16 of the Madras Local Boards Act, 1884, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to appoint the undermentioned gentleman to be a Member of the Taluk Board of Vinukonda, in the Kistna District:—

MRRy. M. Sriramulu Garu

P.T.O.

When given for copying ______
When copied ______
When examined ______
When signed ______ } 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

When given for copying ______
When copied ______
When examined ______
When signed ______ } 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT NO.

ENCLOSURES TO C. NO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

Madras Office.

Watt says (Vol II. page) that in 1882 the Govt. gave Dr. Bidie orders to introduce the cultivation of the Cochineal insect in the Agri-Hort. Society's gardens. ~~One these~~ Please put up the papers showing what was done then. WC 13.8.95

Please see the papers put up. The Revenue Departt says that there are no papers further than G. O. d/- 17th July 1885 Nos 3647 and 3648. RD

Please make a précis of these. There must surely be other papers in the Rev. Dept. The record stops short for no reason WC 5.9.95

Please see note put up.
No other papers seem to be traceable

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRE[illegible]o. 2502 M

Local & Municipal DEPARTMENT.

From

H. G. King
SURGEON LIEUT.-COL. ~~J. A. LAING~~,
SANITARY COMMISSIONER FOR MADRAS,

To

THE Chief Secretary
to Government
Local & Municipal Dept.

No. 1263/S

Dated 27th
Despatched 31st
Received
July 1895.

ENCLOSURES. 1

Subject.

Forwards for information letter from Dr. G. Watt, Reporter on Economic Products to the Government of India with reference to para 2 of G.O. No. 1944 M dated 14 December 1894 re success of Cochineal experiment for the destruction of prickly pear.

[960—8-12-92.]

(For orders)

H.M.

For orders.

As to whether the suggestion made by the Sanitary Commissioner in para of his letter should be adopted or whether it would be enough to communicate the letter with its enclosure to the Municipal Council, Erode and the Collector of Coimbatore. In G.O. dated 5th October 1889, No. 2287 L reports of certain experiments made in New South Wales for the destruction of prickly pear by means of the "Scrub exterminator" were communicated to all Local Boards and Municipal Councils, but it is not known whether the remedy was tried in this presidency and if so with what result.

V.R.
9/8/95

27/8

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.
Current No. 2502 M of 1895, dated 27th July 1895 from the Sanitary Commissioner.
Current No. of 189 , dated from the

FOR ORDERS.

In G.O. 1944 M / 14-12-1894

In his last inspection notes on the Erode Municipality the Sanitary Commissioner remarked that prickly-pear was found at every corner of the town and that as he had been given to understand that the Cochineal insect thrived well upon the plant and ate it so voraciously as to cause its destruction, the Municipal Council might give a trial to that remedy, especially as the insect was of good commercial value and would repay any outlay incurred thereon. On this the Collector of Coimbatore observed that the insect was not likely to succeed with the Erode prickly-pear as from Dr. Watt's dictionary (of the Economic products of India) it appeared that the insect ate only the red flowered prickly pear, while that at Erode was all of the yellow-flowered

I should ask the Bd. (Agric. dept.) to report in conjunction with the Supt. Museum — transfer to R.D. with this suggestion

19/9/95

flowered variety. The Sanitary Commissioner, whose attention was called to these remarks then made a reference on the subject to Dr. Watt, and from the latter's reply forwarded to Government with the Current read above it appears that the Collector of Coimbatore has entirely misunderstood the account of the Cochineal insect given in Dr. Watt's dictionary and that conflicting opinions have been advanced by different authorities as regards the races of the insect found in India and the varieties of the prickly-pear upon which they feed. Dr. Watt accordingly suggests that the subject should be taken up scientifically and carefully determined - an enquiry in which he will be most happy to cooperate, and the Sanitary Commissioner recommends that the matter be referred for enquiry to the Collectors of Districts and other suitable* authorities, as it is one of both sanitary and economic interest.

2. The cultivation of the Cochineal

insect

* It is submitted that a Collector of a District is in no way a suitable authority to refer the matter to. He is very busy, is frequently in camp, & has no special knowledge of the matter. If any one is to try the experiment it seems better that it shd. be an expert.

insect engaged the attention of the Government of Madras on a former occasion, and proved a failure as will be seen from the following account. Early in 1882 the Government asked Dr. Bidie, then Superintendent of the Government Central Museum, whether he was aware of attempts having been made of recent years to introduce the Cochineal into India, the question having been asked with a view to find a durable red ink of Indian manufacture. Dr. Bidie replied in the negative and stated that, as one of the species of Cactus (prickly pear) on which the insect feeds in Brazil had been introduced with it into India in 1795 and as there were besides other species here on which the insect would feed, it did not appear that there would be any

practical

practical difficulty in rearing the Cochineal in Southern India. He therefore suggested that efforts should be made in the cold season to introduce the insect into Madras from Algiers, the experiment being conducted by the Agri-Horticultural Society in a portion of its garden. Dr. Bidie's recommendation was approved by Government in its order dated 31st March 1882, No. 365 R.D. and the experiment was entrusted to him and the Agri-Horticultural Society. The Consul General in Algiers was then requested to arrange for the despatch of a sufficient number of specimens of the insects - Vide G.O. dated 7 July 1882, No. 2155 B. The Honorary Secretary of the Agri Horticultural Society, however, stated that

he

G.O. $\frac{2155\text{ A-R.D}}{7\text{-}7\text{-}1882}$

he would not be able to give sufficient assistance to the experiment and the Government accordingly entrusted it to Mr. Grimes, then Superintendent of the Central Jail at Coimbatore - Vide G.O. dated 11 June 1883, No. 717 Rev. Two boxes of cochineal arrived from Algiers and were forwarded to Mr. Grimes in July and August 1883 respectively, but the experimental culture of the insects proved a failure, owing possibly to their transit during the hot months and not to any want of attention on the part of Mr. Grimes, and the Govt. considered that a further effort should be made by Mr. Grimes during the cool season of 1884. The Consul General in Algiers was accordingly written to, in letter, dated 7 March 1884, No. 321 Rev.

for

Mr. Grimes' letter in G.O. $\frac{320}{7.3.84}$ exhibits some of the difficulties in the way of getting the insect to arrive.

for a fresh supply of the insects. No reply having been received from him until May 1885, he was reminded of it in letter, dated 1 May 1885, No 507 Rev. and he replied to say that in the cool season of 1884 it was impossible, for the reasons stated, to forward the supply of insects required by the Madras Government but he promised that as soon as the season permitted viz, about November 1885, he would endeavour to obtain and transmit a supply. Here the matter apparently ended, as no further papers on the subject can be traced.

3. In G.O., dated 5 October 1889, No 22872, reports of certain experiments made in New South Wales for the destruction of prickly pear by means of the "Scrub exterminator." were communicated to all Local Boards and Municipal Councils, but it is not known whether the remedy was tried in this presidency, and if so, with what result.

4 Orders are solicited as to whether under the circumstances stated above, the suggestion made by the Sanitary Commissioner in para. 2 of his letter read above should be adopted or whether it would be enough to communicate the letter with its enclosure, to the Municipal Council, Erode, and the Collector of Coimbatore.

VR
13/9/95

It is submitted that if any enquiries on the lines suggested by Dr. Watt are to be made, they should be conducted by an expert, such as the Supt. of the Govt. Museum.

18/9/95.

Note.
The paper was somewhat delayed in order that the old references might be searched for

2502 M

Cen No 2502 M

Destruction of prickly pear by the introduction of the Cochineal insect.

FOR ORDERS.

2502 M

Cg. No. 1372
30/9/95

H.M.

Transferred to the Rev. Dept.

2. It is suggested that the Board of Revenue (Agric. Dept.) should be requested to report, in consultation with the Supt. Govt. Central Museum, on the proposals made by the San. Commr.

3. Apparently no reply was ever received from the Consul-General, Algiers, to Rev. Dept's letter No. 507 dated 1st May 1885 ~~calling~~ inviting his attention to the Govt's application for a further supply of Cochineal insects.

(from orders)

MC 20.9.95

21/9/95

To The Revenue Departt

on fresh docket

30/9/95

Vh 25/9

No. 230

Indian Museum.
1, Sudder Street,
Calcutta, the 14th March1895

From

George Watt, Esq., M.B., C.M., C.I.E., &c.,
Reporter on Economic Products
to the Government of India,

To

Surgeon Lt. Col. W.G. King, M.B.C.M., D.P.H.
Sanitary Commissioner,
Madras.

Sir

In reply to your letter No. $\frac{75}{5}$ of the 17th January, I have the honor to say that Mr: H. Bradley, - Acting Collector, Coimbatore, in his note dated 15th November 1894, has entirely mis-understood the account of the cochineal insect as given by me in the Dictionary of the Economic Products of India. He has attributed to me opinions which - were advanced by other writers and which were reviewed by me in order to exhibit salient features of the investigations that remain to be conducted.

2. In attempting to place before you the main facts that have been brought to light, I can but give more briefly and pointedly the views already set forth by me and I may say in this connection that since the publica -tion of the Dictionary ~~of~~ no additional information has - been published .

3. There are said to be two forms of cochineal ~~Insect~~ Insect, known as the Grana fina and Grana sylvestris; whether these are distinct species or the only one the wild state of the other can not be said even now to have been definitely - settled. In 1795 Capt. Neilson introduced the Grana sylvestris or wild insect into India and that insect, partly because in trade it is spoken of as the wild or inferior insect and - partly because of its complete acclimatization, is some times called by Indian writers the "indigenous insect". This is of course a mistake, since not only is it not indigenous but no species of Cactus was known in Asia prior to the discovery of

America, so that the food plant not being indigenous the cochineal insect cannot be admitted as having any claim to being so. Other species of closely allied insect are however purely indigenous to India , such as the Lac insect. It is probable, also that some form of cochineal may have been in India prior to the first recorded importation in 1795, since the opuntia (cactus) or prickly pear had no doubt been introduced long anterior and probably as a fruit tree. It is customary however, to read of the Cochineal insect of one district being good in another bad, and this may possibly be the result of different races having been brought to the country or developed during acclimatization, if it be not the case that we possess both the Grana fina and Grana sylvestris.

4. I have endeavoured to justify the removal of this ignorance by exhibiting side by side the numerous and often conflicting opinions that have been advanced. We must look mainly to Madras for the attainment of this much to be desired result, and until the insects that exist have been scientifically studied no progress can be made. It would be a useless waste of money and time to go through the process of acclimatization of new races of the insect until we possess a full knowledge of the existing state of affairs and the lessons that are to be learned from past failures. For example Dr. Balfour advanced the opinion that the Grana fina would only eat the red-flowered Opuntia. Dr. Bidie tried to refute that opinion but neither of these officers, so far as I can find, studied scientifically the insects to which they referred , so that the controversy as to which form of the plant it would or would not eat was of no avail. Both opinions may be correct. But insects are often remarkably clever botanists and prefer not only certain species but even certain varieties of a species as their food plant. And different races of an insect will often select definite specific forms of the food plant so that it does not follow that Dr. Balfour's red-flowered- feeding Cochineal was Grana fina nor Dr. Bidie's yellow-flowered- feeding form, Granasylvestris.

5. The rapidity with which the Cochineal insect has been dispersed over India and the mysterious way in which it suddenly appears in great abundance and as suddenly disappears

again, may be inferred from a case mentioned by Mr Baden-Powell. In the Jallunder Doab the opuntica had increased with dangerous rapidity until it became a nuisance. Rewards were offered for its extermination, but this action was soon rendered unnecessary since large number of insects of some kind of coccus appeared and soon effected the destruction of the plant. It would be unsafe to say that insect was Grana sylvestris simply because of the Punjab opuntica having yellow flowers and Mr Baden-Powell is justified therefore in speaking of it as "a species of coccus."

6. At the same time it is just possible that a species of coccus that formerly fed on other plants may have changed to the Opuntia from their previous food plants, so that it would not be difficult to accept the report (if such were in the future made) that the coccus on our opuntia is often not a Cochineal at all .We know for example that a dye insect sometimes imported into the Punjab from Bokhara, is reported by most persons to be a form of Cochineal. I have suggested this may prove to be coccus ilices of Greece, the kermes of ancient writers. It is reported to feed on an oak. So again the Cochineal of Herat may be coccus maniparus that feeds on Tamarix, I mention these examples as justifying the suggestion that in addition to the lac insects India may very possibly possess some other forms of coccus than we know any thing about at the present time.

In conclusion, then, I would urge that the subject of the species of coccus found in Madras should be taken up scientifically and carefully determined. For this purpose it would be necessary to preserve some of the insects by drying them in the usual way followed in trade, as well as preserving a corresponding set, killed by being dropped into a bottle of spirits of wine. Corresponding to these collections notes should be recorded of the season of their appearance and the plants on which they are found. Ishall be most happy to co-operate in this enquiry and to have the samples determined both by an entomologist and by a qualified expert.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Sd/. G. Watt.

1/3216L/95

Entd. 30/9/95

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 3216L

Revenue Department.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read the following Endorsement of the Local and Municipal Department d/ 3oth. September 1895 No. 1372, on the following letter from Surgeon Lieutenant-Col. W.G. King, M.B., C.M., D.P.H., Sanitary Commissioner for Madras, d/ 27th. July 1895, No 1263.:-

omit

With reference to clause 2 of G.O. d/14th. December 1894, No. 1944 M, I have the honour to forward for the information of Government the enclosed copy of letter from Dr. G. Watt C.I.E., Reporter on Economic Products to the Government of India. From this it is evident that the quotation from the " Economic Dictionary" made by the Collector of Coimbatore was not correctly interpreted.

2. The subject being one of both sanitary and economic interest, I would respectfully suggest that the matter be referred for inquiry to the Collectors of Districts and other suitable authorities, on the lines suggested by Dr. Watt's statements.

3. From enquiries made by me ,it seems possible that, irrespective of the action of the Cochineal insect - the prickly pear is liable to one or more parasitic diseases which ensure its destruction. Indeed, I have deferred making this referencewith the hope of arriving at some conclusion on this point;but finding I have no time to proceed to any special locality for this sole object, I have abandoned any attempt at personal investigation. From the Ponneri taluq, I have had brought to me prickly pear covered with a vegetable parasite which was in process of completing its destruction. Whether or not the plant thus affected had first of all been subjected to unfavourable conditions, and the parasite merely represented one of the agents for the final disintegrating processes of matter already nearly deprived of vitality, or was its actual primary cause ,I cannot say. Hence, the only value of the observation is that it may direct the attention of others to the searching for a parasite beyond the Cochineal insect, which might serve the required purpose of destruction by direct transfer.

Endorsement.

Endorsement.:-

Transferred to the Revenue Department.

2. It is suggested that the Board of Revenue --- (Agricultural Department) should be requested to report, in consultation with the Superintendent, Govt. Central Museum, - on the proposals made by the Sanitary Commissioner.

3. Apparently no reply was ever received from the Consul General, Algiers, to Revenue Department's letter No. 507 d/ 1st. May 1885, inviting his attention to this Govt's application for a further supply of Cochineal insects.

Order thereon, No. 4225, d/25th. October 1895.

Communicated to the Board of Revenue and to the Superintendent, Govt. Central Museum, with the request that as suggested in para 2 of the Endorsement read above the necessary enquiries may be made and a joint report submitted on ~~th~~ the practicability of destroying prickly pear by means of -- Cochineal insects or other parasites.

2. A reply to the letter No. 507 Rev. d/ 1st. May 1885, promising to send a fresh supply of the insects about November of the same year was received in July 1885, but no further correspondence on the subject can be traced. The Inspector General of Prisons will be requested to state whether the supply in question was received by the Superintendent of the Central Jail, Coimbatore.

Note
The Revenue Departt
was not able to produce
this, when asked by the
L.& M. Deptt.

(True Extract).

Ag. Asst. Secy. to Govt.

To,
The Board, Settlement.
" Inspr. Genl. of Prisons,
thro: Judicial Dept.
" Supdt., G.C.M.
Copy " " L.& M. Dept.

F/21 —5,000—4·3-1893.

5

Govt. of {1893} Madras.

REVENUE.

Local & Municipal Dept.

No. CURRENT No. 3216 L

To

The Local and Municipal Department.

Dated
Recd. }

Encl. 1

Mis./R. G.O., 25th Oct 1893 5 No. 4225.

CHIEF SECRETARY
-1. NOV. 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

SUBJECT.

Comng. to the Board of Revenue and to the Supt, Govt. Central Museum, for enquiry and report certain correspondence regarding the practicability of destroying prickly pear by means of cochineal insects or other insect pests and requesting the Inspector General of Prisons to state whether the Supt, Central Jail, Coimbatore, received any consignment of these insects from Algiers — after July 1885.

(With order)

R/Mis Order No. 2100 Lms 18-11-95

Recorded

V. R.
15/11/95

W.W.D.
18/11/95

Copy to the Sanitary Commissioner ✓

L.D.S.
Desp. 20/11/95

A 14/11

Read again Order of Government, dated 189 , No , Miscellaneous.

Enclosures.

Current No.

Statement with ditto.

Order of Government

Statement with ditto.

Papers not filed up, being meant for despatch in original (not paged).

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

Back Paper, No. of 189

Further Paper, No. of 189

Copied by

Examined by

[7]

Miscellaneous.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPT.

G.O., DATED 18-11-95
No. 2188. L

INDEXED.

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Destruction of Prickly Pear

Recording G.O. R.D. on the subject of the —— by means of Cochineal insects or other parasites.

V

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[L. & M.
9,000-8-8-96.]

Loca

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

---

[7]

Miscellaneous.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPT.

G.O., DATED 18-11-95

No. 2189 2.

INDEXED.

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Land

Ordering the issue of a notification for the acquisition of — required for a burial ground at Batlagundu in the Madura Dist:

Read again Order of Government, dated
189 , No , Miscellaneous.

Back Paper, No. of 189

Further Paper, No. of 189

Enclosures.

Current No

Statement with ditto.

Order of Government

Statement with ditto.

Papers not filed up, being meant for despatch in original (not paged).

N.B.—
A = Copy in full
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

Copied by Ldo

Examined by

From

The Collector of Madura.

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 3255 L

To ~~me~~

The Chief Secretary to Govt.
L. & M. Dept.
Madras

Cur: Nos. 2062 & 3256 L

Dated 31st } october 1895.
Received }

Ro/ No. 107/~~of 95~~ f.

Enclosures. one

SUBJECT.

DESPATCHED
2- NOV. 95

Chief Secretary
4 NOV. 1895
Government of Madras

Stating that the dist. medical officer has approved of the site selected for the burial ground at Ballapuradu & requesting that the draft declaration & schedule may be ordered to be published in the Fort St George Gazette.

N
Misc:

Order No. 2189 Mis. 18-11-95

The draft notification, as amended by Government, will be published in the Fort Saint George Gazette.

LWD
18/11/95

V.R.
13/11/95

(For burial ground)

To
The Collector of Madura.

Gazetted. 19-11-95
18-11-95

Desp. 20/11/95

A 13/11

(With order)

Roc. No. 107/Genl of 1895

MADURA COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,

31st October 1895.

From

J. Twigg Esquire,
Acting Collector of
Madura.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Local and Municipal Department
Madras.

Reference:—

Sir,

With reference to Government Order dated 25th September 1895 No: 1364, I have the honor to state that the District Medical and Sanitary officer has approved of the site selected for the burial ground at Batlagundu (vide copy of his letter No: 885 dated 4th October 1895 enclosed), and to request therefore that the draft declaration and schedule submitted with this office letter No: 107/23-7-95 may be ordered to be published in the Fort Saint George Gazette at an early date.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Acting Collector.

Copied by
Examined by

Enclosure No. 1

In a letter

From

The Collector
of Madura

Roc. No. 107/G. of 95

Dated 31st October 1895

1 Copy of letter No. 885 dated 4th October 1895 from the District Medical & Sanitary officer Madura approving of the site for the burial ground at Batlagundu.

1/3255 M/95

Copy of Communication No: 885 dated 4th October 1895 from Surgeon Major F. C. Reeves District Medical and Sanitary officer Madura, to the Sub Collector, Dindigal.

With reference to your letter R. C. No: 428 dated 28th August last, I have the honor to inform you that I approve of the site (so far as I can understand the plan) selected for burial ground in Batlagundu. The plan is herewith returned.

For Acting Collector

From

The Collector of

Madura.

Local & Municipal Dept
CURRENT No. 2062 L.

Dated 23
Received
July 1895.

Reference on C No. 107/97 295

Enclosure. one

SUBJECT.

Requesting to cause the enclosed notification regarding the acquisition of land for a burial ground at Batlagundu to be published in the Fort Saint George Gazette.

(With Ref.)

N

~~To lie over pending receipt of the report promised in para 5 of the Collector's letter.~~

V.R
6/8/95

Ref. 1364/26/9/95

The Collector is requested to expedite the submission of the report promised in para. 5 of his letter.

V.R
17/9/95

Office to verify before despatch.

25/9

The Collector of Madura

Desp^d 25/9/95

31/7

26/7

12/9

REFERENCE ON CURRENT No. 107/E.L. of 95

MADURA COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,
23rd July 1895

From

J. Twigg Esquire,
Acting Collector of
Madura.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Local & Municipal Department,
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith a draft declaration and schedule for the acquisition of ·85 acres of land for a burial ground at Batlagundu

and to request that the Government be moved to publish the notification in the Fort Saint George Gazette.

2. The owner is — willing to part with his land.

3. The estimated cost of the land to be taken up may be omitted when publishing the notification in the Fort Saint George Gazette.

4. The Tahsildar of Dindigul may be authorized to perform the functions of a Collector under the Act and to take order for the acquisition of the land.

5. The Sub-Collector has been asked to report whether the site selected has been approved by the District Medical and Sanitary Officer, Madura. The reply will be submitted on receipt.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Acting Collector.

B.

Encl: 1. In a letter

From
the Collector of
Madura

R. on C. no. 107/E.L. of 95

Dated 23 July 95

Gazette Part I-A

Draft declaration
& Schedule.

2062 L/95

When given for copying ____

When copied ____

When examined ____

When signed ____ 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED 189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

copy sent to Press.

rned for signature.

patched in print.

Number of copies required.
- Order complete.
- Letter only.
- Order complete with Letter.

DATED.

AGAIN G.O., No.

189 ,

URRENT No.

Enclosures to C. No.

Order of Government

Letter of Government.

Statement with ditto.

Papers not filled up being meant for despatch in original.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., No. 2190 L., 19th Nov. 1895.

NOVEMBER.

Contributions.

Communicating to all District Boards and Municipal Councils, with remark, letter from the Accountant-General showing the percentages of — to be recovered from local bodies on account of the controlling establishments at the Presidency for the year 1896–97.

No. 2190 L., 19TH NOVEMBER 1895.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From F. C. HARRISON, Esq., Officiating Accountant-General, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 13th November 1895, No. 3154.

With reference to G.Os., Nos. 988 L. and 3059 L., dated the 23rd April and 7th December 1891, I have the honour to forward herewith a memorandum of percentages of contributions to be recovered from local bodies on account of controlling establishments at the Presidency for the year 1896–97.

2. The cost of the several establishments entered in the memorandum represents the sanctioned cost of the staff, with reference to letter from the Government of India recorded in G.O., No. 1052, Financial, dated 25th October 1893, *plus* the actual amount of travelling allowances and contingent charges for the year 1894–95.

ENCLOSURE.

MEMORANDUM showing the calculation of percentages of contributions to be recovered from local bodies as per G.O., No. 988 L., dated 23rd April 1891, on account of controlling establishments at the Presidency for the year 1896–97.

	RS.	RS.
Receipts for 1894–95—		
Special funds		42,43,799
Local funds		77,81,594
District Municipal funds		26,98,776
Total		1,47,24,169
Cost of Account and Audit establishment in the Accountant-General's office for 1894–95		50,491
Deduct—		
Madras Municipal contribution	2,500	
Cost of the District Municipal audit staff	2,034	
Cost of Account staff in the Accountant-General's office and contingencies for 1894–95	8,633	13,167
Balance		37,324
Percentage rate for all funds		·25
Cost of the Local and Municipal Department, Chief Secretariat, for 1894–95		27,221
Additional percentage for Local and District Municipal funds		·26
Cost of the Accountant-General's Account staff and contingencies for 1894–95 chargeable to Local funds		8,633
Additional percentage for Local funds		·11
Cost of the District Municipal audit staff for 1894–95		2,034
Additional percentage for Municipal funds		·07
Cost of the Special fund establishment for 1894–95		1,750
Additional percentage for Special funds		·04
Total percentage payable by Special funds		·29
” ” by Local funds		·62
” ” by District Municipal funds		·58

Percentages of Contributions to be recovered from local bodies on account of the Controlling Establishments at the Presidency for 1896-97

C.S.
P.S.

[5]
1,000—17-11-93.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings :—

48

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Current No. 3352 L of 1895, dated 13th Novr from the Accountant General

Order. No 2190 LN 19-11-95

Communicated to all District Boards and Municipal Councils, with the request that the rates now proposed by the Accountant General for the year 1896-97 may be adopted, and the Contributions, calculated on the actuals of 1894-95 at these rates, entered in the budget and revised estimates for that year

V.R.
15/11/95

LWD
18/11/95

18/11/95

To
the Accountant-General √11
all Presidents of District Boards. √
" Chairmen of Municipal Councils √
the President, Munl Commn. Madras √6
" Revenue Department √6

Despd 19/11/95

BACK PAPER, No. of 18 .

aa.

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18 , No.

FURTHER PAPER, No. of 18 .

G/

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No. 3352 L Line Course A

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER, &c., OF GOVERNMENT—A.

PAPERS KEPT OUT OF FILE FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL
(not paged).

Print.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED.
- Order complete. 590
- Letter only.
- Order complete with Letter.

N.B.—
A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.
R = Print, but not for monthly volume.

[1]

VE

PRESS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

G.O., DATED 19—11—95

No. 21902.

INDEXED.

First copy sent to Press. 19/11/95

Returned for signature. 29/11/95

Despatched in print. 29/11/95

[Despatch Abstract.]

Contributions.

Communicating to all Dist Bds. and Muni: Councils, with remark, letter from the Accountant General, showing the percentages of — to be recovered from Local Bodies on account of the controlling establishments at the Presidency for the year 1896–97.

49,500
22,600
72,100

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Local & Munl. (1895-) Department.

PRINTED.

G.O., No. 2190 L.

DATED 19th Nov 1895.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Contributions.

Communicating to all District Boards and Municipal Councils, with remark, letter from the Accountant-General showing the percentages of --- to be recovered from local bodies on account of the controlling establishments at the Presidency for the year 1896-97.

L. & M.
1,000—19-11-95.

Number of copies wanted. } Order complete. Letter only. Order complete with Letter.

C.F. 73.

Paper taken out of

Press / Miscellaneous / Routine No.____,

Date taken out.	For what purpose. Department.	C. No.	Year.	of att...
G. O. No	2087 L &	M	1-11-1895	
	~~2093 L &~~	~~M~~	~~4-11-1895~~	
	2115 L &	M	7-11-1895.	
	2118 L &	M	7-11-1895	
	Education	694	11-4-29	
		2572	16-12-29	
		458	7-6-29	
		1529	22-7-29	

2/5

				ials of erk taking the paper or of attender.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.

Local & M

nt.

al

al

the

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED.
- Order complete.
- Letter only.
- Order complete with Letter.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189 , DATED.

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.