

Local & Munl. (1895) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 21582.

DATED 13th Nov 1895.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Land.

Sanctioning the sale of the ---- purchased by the Aruppukottai Union Chairman, and ordering the writing off the accounts the sum of Rs. 25 being the loss incurred by such re-sale.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[7]

Miscellaneous.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPT.	
G.O., DATED	13-11-95
No.	21582

INDEXED.

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Land

Sanctioning the sale of the
— purchased by the Anupam
Kottai Union Chairman, and
ordering the writing of the
accounts, the sum of Rs
25, being a loss incurred
by such resale.

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189 . No . MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.

- A - Copy in full.
- B - Not to be copied.
- P - Copy abstract only.
- Q - Copy heading only.

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 119

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 189

Copied by
Examined by

From

The President,
District Board,

Madura.

To

The Chief Secy. to Govt.,

Local and Municipal
Department,
Madras.

Dated

Received

Nov 1895.

No. 128

Enclosures. /

SUBJECT.

Submitting, with reference
to the Memorandum quoted,
Letter from the Ramnad
Taluk Board President reporting
the circumstances under
which it is not considered
desirable to recover the loss
incurred by the sale of certain
land in the place
the Gov. of the place.

3 NOV. 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Cur: nos. 2444/3225 L.

G.S.
Misc.

Order No. 2158 Lin. 13-11-95

Under the circumstances
stated the sale of the land referred
to is sanctioned and the sum
of Rs 25, being the loss incurred by sale,
may be written off the accounts as
proposed.

18
11/95

12/11/95

To The Presd., Dist Board, Madura.
Dated 14/11/95

With order

11/11

No. 128

MADURA DISTRICT BOARD OFFICE,

1st Nov 1895.

From

J. Guigg Esquire,
President, District Board,
Madura.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Department,
Madras.

Sir,

In reply to Memorandum
of Government No. 1311, I have
the honor to submit herewith letter from
the President of the Ramnad Taluk Board, reporting the circumstances
under which the Taluk Board ^{did not} consider
it desirable to recover from the Hospital
assistant the sum of Rs 25 the loss
incurred by the resale of the land.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Chairman

For President.

From

The President ^{COLLECTOR} ^{MAGISTRATE} of

Ramnad Taluk Board

To

The

District Board
Madras

Dated 18

Received

October 1895.

No. A,

Enclosures. Nil.

SUBJECT.

Reporting that it was
resolved by the Taluk Board
that the loss by resale of
the site purchased by the
Aruppakottan Union Chairman
may be written off and
that the amount recovered
from the Hospital and be
refunded to him for the
reasons stated

Current No. 3620
Date 21-10-95

1/3225-4/95

led to the presumption that the Chairman might not have colluded with the vendor as was originally thought when the Deputy Tahsildar first reported to Mr. Francis that the land was worth only Rs 60 or 70 at the highest, the Taluk Board, before whom the subject was placed for consideration as ordered by you, resolved that the amount of the loss in question (Rs 25) may be written off, and that the amount — recovered from the Salary of the Hospital assistant be refunded to him.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

agtd ant Collector.
Magistrate.

might be consulted if there was any doubt in the matter. You were of opinion that it was the Taluk Board and not the President that should decide how to recover the money and suggested that the land may be taken up by the Taluk Board and sold in auction and the balance, if any recovered from the Hospital assistant (District Board's President's letter No. $\frac{3446}{19-10-94}$). Meanwhile the Chairman wrote to me to say that he did not act individually in the matter of the purchase of the site in question but that he did so with the approval of the Union Parishad, and that his action was bonafide and requested me to reconsider the order. Retrenching his pay for recouping the amount of the purchase money in question. As suggested by you, the land was taken up by the Taluk Board and was sold in auction and it fetched Rs 145 resulting in a loss of only Rs 25. As the result of this sale and the representations of the chairman already alluded to he

I took charge of this ~~du~~. I found that the Correspondence had gone so far that I was not able to see my way out of the difficulty except by visiting on the Chairman the consequences of his action. I consequently ordered that the pay of the Chairman Hospital assistant should be retrenched and credited to the Lake Board Fund until the full amount due to the Lake Board is recouped. (vide my letter to you No. $\frac{90}{6-9-94}$). Then you told me that the Chairman Hospital assistant could not be left entirely without pay for 6 months and that if retrenchment is ordered, it should be only for a portion of his pay and requested me to inform you why the Vendor could not be sued for the money as ordered by Mr. Francis (Letter No. $\frac{3431}{14-9-94}$). I replied to you (Letter No. $\frac{97}{22-9-94}$) that, as the Vendor had committed no fraud, or misrepresentation, such as to vitiate the Contract, I doubted if a Suit would lie against him and suggested that the Government Pleader might

that the Land purchased from him was not a Suitable Site for a latrine, that it was worth ^{only} Rs 60 or 70 while the Chairman had paid Rs 170 for it, that ^{it} was in the occupation of a person other than Vendor and that he refused to vacate it, and that the purchase was not made with the approval or knowledge of the President in whose name the Sale deed had been executed. Mr. Francis consequently repudiated the whole transaction and issued a notice requiring the Vendor to pay back the money and informing him at the same time that he would be sued for the money if he does not pay it back. The man refused on the ground that his Sale of the Land to the Chairman was a bonafide transaction. Mr. Lillanayakam Pillai who succeeded Mr. Francis was evidently of opinion that no Suit would lie against the Vendor and he therefore tried, through the Deputy Tahsildar of Trichur, to settle the matter amicably; but he did not succeed. Soon after I

No. A,
Enc. 1/2.

From

Ramnad Taluk Board
Ranmad, 18 October 1895

President
Ranmad Taluk Board

To.

The President of the District Board
Madura

Sir,

Adverting to your footnote dated the 3rd Instant, No. 123 G. of 95, to accept of the memorandum to you of the acting under Secretary to Government No. 1341/16-9-95, Local and Municipal Department, in re the question of writing off the accounts the loss of Rs 25 incurred by the Sale of the Site purchased by the Aruppukottai Union Chairman without proper Sanction, I have the honor to state that the original proposal made by Mr. Francis who was then President of the Taluk Board was to recover the full amount Rs 170 for which the Site was purchased from the vendor Land asamichetty as it was found

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Local & Municipal Dept.

CURRENT No. 2114

From

The P. & B. Madura.

~~C. S.~~ ~~misc~~ Order
Sanctioned.

V. S.
2/9/95

Refce. 1311
16/9/95

The P. & B. will be requested to report when the loss by resale is proposed to be written off the accounts instead of being recovered, as originally decided, from the Hosp. Ass.

M. S. S. 95

No. 97

Dated 23/8

Received 26/8

Enclosures /

SUBJECT.

Subj: with remark letter from the P. & B. Ramnad req^d sanction to the transfer of a certain land in Aruppukottai by sale and for writing off the accounts the loss incurred by the sale

To

The President, Taluk Board,
Ranmad, then the District Board, Madura.

Outfit 16/9/95

(with order)

Local & Municipal Dept.

CURRENT No. 2444

97
23.8 95

Submitted.

Sanction is also solicited
for writing off the sum of
Rs 25, referred to in the
penultimate sentence
of the report.

D. S. D. Office,
Madurai

W. V.
President.

8

26 AUG. 95

From

J. Gopin
The President ^{COLLECTOR}_{MAGISTRATE} of
Rannad Taluk Bask
Rannad

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Local & Municipal Department
Madras
(through the President District
Board Madras)

Dated 25th

Received


} July 1895.

No. 170

Enclosures. Nil

SUBJECT.

Requesting to obtain the sanction
of his Excellency the Governor
in Council to the transfer
of a certain land in Aruppukottai
by sale.



21/7/95

No. 2749 of 1895
31.7.95

and one Sathurkudamanai of the Periyar-
-putizampatti village was the purchaser
at the auction. The Sale was Confirmed
by the Taluk Board, and the loss by resale Rs 25
has been ordered to be written off. It is for
this sale of the land by the Board, that Sanction
is now solicited

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Devaloban
Collector.
President Magistrate.

which was Sanctioned by the Taluk Board,
and the Sanctioned amount was placed at the
disposal of the Chairman. The Chairman
purchased the site for Rs 170, but before
obtaining the formal approval of the Taluk
Board President; and he submitted a Sale
deed drawn up in favor of the President.
It was considered by my predecessor Mrs.
Francis that the price paid for the land
was very much in excess of its real worth
and that the land itself was not a suitable
site for a latrine and he consequently repudiated
the transaction and called upon the Vendor
of the land to pay back the purchase money.
The Vendor refused and on a reference to the
District Board it was suggested by the
President of that Board amongst other matters
that the land may be taken over by the
Taluk Board and resold in auction, re-
-covering the loss, if any, by the sale from
the Chairman who was also the Hospital
assistant of the place. The Taluk Board
adopted the suggestion of the District Board
President, and ordered the resale of the land,
which was done on 13th December 94. The amount
realized by the resale was Rs 145, and

No. 170

Ramnad Taluk Board's
Camp Supervisor 25 July 1895

From

O. Rappalachanar Esq.

President COLLECTOR OF
MAGISTRATE'S

Ramnad Taluk Board
Ramnad.

To

The

Chief Secretary to Government

Local and Municipal Department
Madras

Sir,

(Through the President District Board, Madras).

I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of His Excellency the Governor in Council to the transfer of the land noted on the margin by Sale. The circumstances under which the land was purchased by the Taluk Board and the circumstances under which it had to be sold are as follow:-

2. A sum of Rs 200 was provided in the budget of the Brupputkotta Union for 93-94 under "other Sanitary outlay" and it was resolved by the panchayat to utilize that amount for the purchase of a site for a latrine and for the construction of the latrine. The chairman submitted an estimate for both

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.-

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

North - The vacant ground of the Perumal Kovil
temple at Brupputkotta
East - Lands belonging to Kandavani Chetty
and Marappa avaru
South - Street running east to west in the
Brupputkotta Chetty's street
West - Vacant ground of the Perumal Kovil temple.