

[L. & M.]
[9,000-2-5-96.]

Local & Munl. (1895) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 2154 L.

DATED 11th Nov 1895

[Despatch Abstract.]

Administration Report.

Recording, with remark, explanation of points noticed in
the ---- of the South Canara district board for 1894-95.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[7]

Miscellaneous.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPT. G.O., DATED 11-11-95 No. 2154 R
--

INDEXED.

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Administration Report

Recording with account,

Explanation of points noticed

as to ——— of the South
Camara Dist. Bd. for 1894.

95

✓

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189 , No , MISCELLANEOUS.

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 189

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 189

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.-

- A = Copy in full
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.

Copied by

Examined by

LOCAL FUND (1897) DEPARTMENT.
CURRENT No. 29712

From

The President,

DISTRICT BOARD,
South Canara.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Local & Municipal Deptt.,
Madras.

Dated

Despatched

Received

10th
10th
14 OCT 95

October 1895

Dis. No. 515 of 95

Enclosures. None.

SUBJECT.

Local Fund Report - Submitting
the explanation called for in Govern-
ment Memorandum No 1385
2-10-95 on
certain points noticed in the
of the South Canara District for
1894-95.

To the President, District Board, S. Canara.

Copy-12/11/95

2
misc.

Order No 2154

Recorded with the remark the
columns 5-7 of Statement IV-A should
show only the length of roads newly
constructed during the year and
columns 8-10 the expenditure incurred
on such roads.

V.A. 2/11/95

Note - For a present (1895) of
No. 20712 made 15-10-95 is to be
an analysis of the same.

(with order)

Disposal No. 515 of 95
D.B.

South Canara District Board Office,

Mangalore, 10th October 1895.

From

W. H. H. Esquire

President, District Board,
SOUTH CANARA.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Local & Municipal Department,
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to clauses (7) (8) and (12) of para 1 of the Memorandum of Government, dated the 2^d October 1895, No 1385, Local and Municipal Department, I have the honor to state as follows:-

(7) The length of the village roads in charge of the Mangalore and Uppinangadi Taluk Boards at the commencement of 1894-95 was 265 and 64 miles respectively and the total of the district 873 miles. The length of village roads in charge of the Mangalore Taluk Board at the close of 1893-94 was 265 and ^{not} 269 miles, because the 265 miles shown in column 11 of Statement IV-A for that year included the 14 miles entered in column 14 of that statement.

(8) The Expenditure shown in column 9 of
Statement

4-287

The necessary corrections have been made with reference to clauses (1) to (4), (10) to (15) and (20) of the preceding paragraph. The discrepancies noticed in clauses (7) and (12) and the omissions referred to in clause (8) should be explained as early as possible.

of 32-4.

2. The necessary corrections have been made with reference to clauses (1) to (4), (10) to (15) and (20) of the preceding paragraph. The discrepancies noticed in clauses (7) and (12) and the omissions referred to in clause (8) should be explained as early as possible.

V.R. 4/7/95

To the President, District Board, P. & M. S. Canara. Dated 4/7/95

(15) In para. 9 of the President's report the date of the Government order with reference to which a sum of Rs. 3 was adjusted to the credit of land-cess is given as 2nd Sept. 1894 instead of 12th Sept. 1894.

(16) In para. 28 (1) the amount allotted during the year for the Vadimulla and Pullaya bridges is shown as Rs. 15,000 and Rs. 10,000 respectively instead of Rs. 10,000 and Rs. 15,000 as in col: 6 of Statement III.

(17) In para. 32 the expenditure on market improvements is shown as Rs. 1691 instead of Rs. 1683, as in col: 11 of Statement VII and according to

the details furnished in Statement III.

(19) In para. 51 and in para I of the Dt. Medical and Sanitary Officer's report the death-rate per mille of the total population is given as 22.67 instead of 21.35.

(20) In paragraph I of the Dt. Medical and Sanitary Officer's report the birth-rate per mille is given as $34\frac{43}{100}$ instead

(9) In Statement V, the sum of Rs. 40, which is stated in para. 35 of the President's report to have been refunded to ferry contractors has not been included in the charges relating to ferries.

(10) In Statement VIII the Demand, Collection & Balance of Market-rents have not been shown.

(11) In Statement XIV, works costing less than Rs. 200 each should have been lumped together and not shown separately ~~enumerated individually~~ - vide para. 1 of G.O. 5-12-88, No. 2290 Z.

(12) In col: 5 of ^{the same} Statement XIV the cost of two new walls sunk, the one at Mulki and the other at Bantval, is given as Rs. 175, whereas according to Statement III the cost of the former alone was Rs. 175.

(13) In Statement XV the Board Primary school constructed at Vitthal during the year under review has not been included.

(14) In Statement XVI the date of the occurrence of ~~the~~ vacancies in the case of several ^{the} members who lost their seats during the year has not been given as required in para. 4 (4) of

G.O. 28th May 1890, No. 1273 Z.

(18) In para. 42 and in para III of the Dt. Medical and Sanitary Officer's report, the instructions issued in G.O. dated 31st Oct. 1878, No. 1618, Pub. regarding the style to be adopted in official correspondence in ^{addressing} native gentlemen have not been followed.

river, has not been quoted.

col: 3 of
(6) In the same statement the expenditure on the construction of buildings (Rs. 450) for the Board school at Vittal, has been incorrectly described as Building Grants, and in the details furnished in the last column of the same statement a sum of Rs. 50 is shown out of the expenditure on Repairs to civil buildings is described as Grant toward the repair of Board's schools.

(7) In col: 2 of Statement IV-A the length of the village road in charge of the Mangalore and Uppinangadi Taluk Boards at the commencement of the year is shown as 272 miles and 84 miles respectively whereas according to the details furnished in the corresponding statement for 1893:94 the length of such roads at the close of that year was 269 miles and 64 miles respectively.

(8) In col: 6 of the same statement the length of the road on which the expenditure shown in column 9 against the Mangalore, Kasargod, Udipi, and Coondapur Taluk Boards was incurred has not been given.

Rao for the improvement of water supply in Kasargod taluk, which from para 23 of the President's report appears to have been shown under 6. Public Works, (A) (3) should have been credited to 5. Mis. (a), (3).

(3) In Statement I-B the cost of repairing the cremation shed (Rs. 8) should have been shown under Grant III, (C) (5) Other Sanitary outlay, and not under Grant I, (A) (3) Mis. Pub. Impts, as it appears to have been done from the details furnished in Statement III.

(4) In the same statement (I-B) the cost of sweeping the market sites at Barkur, Kalyanpur, and Padabidi which from the details furnished in col: 26 of Statement XIV appears to have been debited to Grant III, (C) (5) Other Sanitary outlay, should have been shown under Grant III (C) Markets; and that of sweeping the streets at Haladi, Kokarne and Kollur should have been shown under Grant III (C) (3) Sanitary Establish. ment, and not under Grant III (C) (5) Other Sanitary outlay.

(5) In col: 5 of Statement III the Govt. order sanctioning the estimate has not been given for the bridge over the Kadambilla river.

Q. No. 1385
2/10/95

The following points are noticed in the President's and the District Medical and Sanitary Officer's reports and in the statements submitted with the former.

(1) In columns 2 to 4 of Statements I-A and I-B showing the actuals for 1893 & 1894, the finally audited figures, as given in Appendices IV and V of the General Local Fund Review for that year, have not been adopted in some cases.

(1) In columns 9 and 10 of Statement I-A the contribution of Rs. 2332 ^{made} from the General Local Funds as a loan to cover the deficit with which some markets would have otherwise closed ^{the year} should not have been added to the total receipts, as the amount is already included in the latter and the corresponding debit should not have been added to the total expenditure shown in columns 9 & 10 of Statement I-B.

(2) In Statement I-A the sum of Rs. 50 contributed by M. R. R. M. Siva Rao

When given for copying

When copied

When examined

When signed

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.

189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. -

A = Copy in full.

B = Not to be copied.

P = Copy abstract only.

Q = Copy heading only.