[L. & M. 2,000-8-8-96.]

Local & Munl. (1895.) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 213 L.

DATED 4th Feby. 1895.

[Despatch Abstract.]

District Board.

Ordering, with remark, that the election of M.R.Ry. Hariharam Aiyar Avargal as a member of the Madura------ be notified.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Miscellaneous.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPT.
G.O., DATED 4-2-15
No. 213 L

When given for copying.

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

District Board

Ordering, with remarks, that the Election of L.B.Rg. Hariharam Aiyar avl. as a member of the Madura —— be notified.

READING ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189 , No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT NO.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

BACK PAPER, No. OF 189 .

FURTHER PAPER, No. OF 189 .

Copied by G.P. 24/1/95

Examined by [illegible]

From

The President,

District Board,

Local & Municipal Matters.

PR CURRENT No. 3816 L

To

The Chief Secy. to Govt.,

Local and Municipal

Department,

Madras.

Dated 17th *January* 1895.
Received

CHIEF SECRETARY'S OFFICE
No 948 1894
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Enclosures.

SUBJECT.

Submitting for completion of the appointment by election of Mr K Ry Parthasarathy Ayyar Avargal as a D & P S member by the Srivaigunta Dt Board.

Con: nos 3665, 3765 & 3816 L 8/94
order dy 4-2-95 No 243 Lem

No petition against the election of the R Rs
6.5 has been received by the returning
Issue: officer under Rule 9 of the Election Rules
A. Narasimha Ayyar the
Order
The petitioning candidate [illegible]
[illegible] notification will
be published in the Fort Saint
George Gazette.

GP 24/1/95 Mr 28-1-95 GP 30/1/95.

Mr 2-2-95

To the petitioner ✓ Plan nos 5 & 6 of 94
Gazetted 5-2-95 Dispt 5/2
2-2-95

(With order)

A 23/1
R 10 7/1
A 22/12
20/12

No. 148

MADURA DISTRICT BOARD OFFICE,
17th December 1894.

From

L. C. Miller Esquire,
President, District Board,
Madura.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Department,
Madras.

Sir,

In submitting herewith copy of Proceedings of the Sivaganga Taluk Board, passed at its meeting held on the 6th October last, I have the honor to request that the appointment by election, of M.R.Ry Hariharaun aiyar Avargal, as a member of the District Board may be confirmed.

2. The vacancy on the District Board for the above election was caused by M.R.Ry S. Sankara aiyar Avargal, an already-elected member, having resigned his seat on the 3rd August last in the Sivaganga Union Panchayet and hence vacated his seats on the Taluk and District Boards, under Section 22 (1) of the Act.

3. A list showing the present constitution of the District Board is enclosed. The number of members that were present at the meeting at date of election was 6.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

L. C. Miller
President.

List showing the present Constitution of the Madura District Board

No.	Names of Members	Date of appointment
	L. C. Miller Esquire, Collector - President.	
1	M. R. Ry. S. Srinivasachariar Avl, Treasury Dy Collr & V. Pres.	6-11-94
	Ex-officio members	
2	J. H. Cumming Esquire - Ag. Sub-Collector	
3	M. R. Ry. P. Rajagopalachariar Avargal, Ag Head Asst. Collector	
4	" J. Pattabirama Pillai Avargal, Dy Collector, Madura	Do
5	" T. G. Sundaresa aiyar Avl, Do Melur	Do
	Nominated official members	
6	" T. Krishna Rao Avargal, Tahr of Tirumangalam	6-11-94
7	" D. S. Krishnasami aiyar Avl, Asst. Inspr of schools	25-10-92
8	Surgeon Major J. C Reeves, District Surgeon	11-9-94
9	J. H. Medlicott Esquire - Executive Engineer	11-9-94
	Nominated Non-offl members	
10	M. R. Ry. S. Venkobachariar Avargal	19-9-93
11	" L. Narasimmachariar Avargal	22-8-93
12	" Rao Bahadur D. Ramasubbaiyar Avl	Do
13	" R. Sundararaja aiyar Avargal	28-8-94
14	" K. Ranga Rao Avlegal	5-6-94
15	" M. Alagirisami Naidoo Garu	22-8-93
16	Saiyid Ahamdamiah sahib	Do
17	G. L. Hickey Esquire	5-6-94
18	Revd J. S. Chandler	22-8-93
19	R. Fischer Esquire	Do
20	(Vacant). Collector has been addressed as regards this vacancy.	
	Selected members	
21	M. R. Ry P. Venkatswara aiyar Avargal	14-8-94
22	" V. R. M. R. Ramanathan Chettiar Avl	14-3-93
23	" Dharmalingam Pillai Avargal	28-11-93
24	" Krishnasami Mudaliar Avl	1-8-93
25	" P. Narayana aiyar Avargal	12-12-93
26	" D. Subbaraya aiyar Avargal	Do
27	" S. A. Ramasami aiyar Avargal	13-3-94
28	" A. Krishnasami aiyar Avargal	15-11-92
29	" S. R. Muthusami aiyar Avargal	31-7-94
30	" K. Gurupadam Mudaliar Avl	12-12-93
31	" P. S. Santanakrishnam Pillai Avl	10-7-94
32	" T. Thiagarama aiyar Avargal	14-8-94
33	" S. R. M. M. Chidambaram Chettiar Avl	18-9-94
34	Revd A. D. Emilbrick	8-12-92
35	S. J. Malacca Esquire	14-3-93
36	Revd Chester. M. D	8-10-93
	(37 to 40) vacant.	

Lumm Miller
President

Proceedings of the Sivaganga Taluk Board passed at the special meeting held on Saturday the 6th October 1894.

Present:—

M.R.Ry S. Shiagarama aiyar Avl. Vice President.

Members

—"— S. Shiagaraja Mudaliar Avargal
—"— S. T. Ramasami aiyar Avargal
—"— A. Hariharam aiyar Avargal
—"— R.M.C. Ramanchettiar Avargal
Sikkandarvava ambalagar.

No 138. Election of a member for the Dist Board in place of M.R.Ry S. Sankara aiyar Avl.

Resolution. Proposed by M.R.Ry Ramasami aiyar Avargal and seconded by M.R.Ry. S. Shiagaraja Mudaliar Avargal that M.R.Ry A. Hariharam aiyar Avl be elected.

Proposed by Sikkandarvava ambalagar and seconded by M.R.Ry A. Hariharam aiyar Avargal that M.R.Ry R.M.C. Ramanchettiar Avargal be elected The latter voted for himself.

The Vice President voting for M.R.Ry A. Hariharam aiyar Avargal, his election is carried by majority.

(Signed) S. Shiagarama aiyar
Vice President

(True Extract)

[illegible]
~~for~~ President

[9]

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Local & Municipal Dept.
PR. CURRENT No. 3765

From

R. M. C. Ramen Chettiar
Member, Taluq Board,
Sivaganga
Madura Dt

No.

Dated 9th December 1894
Received 15th December 1894
Enclosures 5

SUBJECT.

Submitting for favourable consideration a petition against the proceedings of a special meeting of the Taluq Board of Sivaganga held on the 6th October 1894 for the election of a member to the Dt Bd of Madura

A 376537.99

Enclosure III.

DISTRICT BOARD OFFICE, MADURA.

From

THE PRESIDENT,

DISTRICT BOARD,

MADURA.

To

THE PRESIDENT,

TALUQ BOARD,

SIVAGUNGAH.

Dated 5th
Despatched
Received } December 1894.

No. 4040.

ENCLOSURE.

Reference :—His letter No. 670, dated 22—11—94.

SIR,

The irregularities charged are (1) that the Vice-President voted double though there was no equality of votes and (2) that the person elected was elected against his will.

As to (1): It appears that there were six members at the meeting, 3 members voted for one candidate and 3 for the other; the casting vote decided the question; there is no irregularity in this unless the Vice-President voted double before it was ascertained that there was equality. This is not alleged and is not probable, for in my experience the presiding officer usually takes all the votes of the other members before recording his own. If, however, as might perhaps be inferred from the wording of the resolution, the vote of M. R. Ry. Raman Chettiar Avergal for himself was at first not counted, the Vice-President would have had no reason to give a casting vote, but the result of the election would have been the same as there would then have been 3 against 2.

As to (2). If M.R.Ry. Hariharam Iyer Avergal was persuaded to accept office against his inclinations or elected against his will, that will not invalidate the election; he can always resign and there will be a fresh election.

(Signed) L. C. MILLER,

President.

No. 4041, dated 5—12—94.

(True Copy).

Forwarded to M. R. Ry. R. M. C. Raman Chettiar Avergal, member, Taluq Board, Sivagunga, for information with reference to his letter, dated 17—10—94.

E. SRINIVASA CHARIAR,

for President.

Victoria Press, Madura.—30.

2/3752/94

Enclosure II.

Proceedings of the Taluk Board of Sivagunga passed at the Special Meeting held on Saturday, the 6th October 1894.

PRESENT.

M. R. Ry. T. Thiagaramiah Garu, Vice-President.

MEMBERS:—

M. R. Ry. S. Thiagaraja Mudaliar Avargal,
" S. A. Ramasawami Aiyar Avargal.
" A. Hariharam Aiyar Avargal.
" Sikkandarvava Ambalagar Avargal.
" R. M. C. Raman Chettiar Avargal.

Proceedings No. 138 of 1894.

Election of a Member to the District Board of Madura, in place of M. R. Ry. M. S. Sankara Aiyar Avargal.

Proposed by M. R. Ry. Ramasawami Aiyar Avargal and seconded by M. R. Ry. S. Thiagaraja Mudaliar Avargal that M. R. Ry. A. Hariharam Iyer Avargal be elected.

Proposed by M. R. Ry. A. Śikkandarvava Ambalam Avargal and seconded by M. R. Ry. A. Hariharam Iyar Avargal that M. R. Ry. R. M. C. Raman Chettiar Avargal be elected. The latter voted for himself.

The Vice President voting for M. R. Ry. A. Hariharam Iyer Avargal, his election is carried by majority.

(Signed) T. THIAGARAMIAH,
Vice-President.

(True Extract.)

Communicated to M. R. Ry. R. M. C. Raman Chettiar Avargal in reference to his letter, dated 7—10—1894.

(Signed) T. THIAGARAMIAH,
Vice-President.

Victoria Press, Madura—30.

1
3765 of 1894

Enclosure I.

Terms of the resolution of the Taluq Board, Shevagungah, at its special meeting held on the 6th October 1894, as committed to writing on the agenda paper by the Vice-President.

Proposed by M. R. Ry. S. A. Ramasawmi Iyer Avergal and seconded by M. R. Ry. S. Thiagaraja Mudalliar Avergal that M. R. Ry. A. Hariharam Iyer Avergal be elected.

Proposed by M. R. Ry. A. Sickander Vava Ambalagar Avergal and seconded by M. R. Ry. A. Hariharam Iyer Avergal that M. R. Ry. R. M. C. Raman Chettiar Avergal be elected.

The Vice-President voting for M. R. Ry. A. Hariharam Iyer Avergal, his election is carried by majority.

6—12—94.

(Signed) T. THIAGARAMIAH,
Vice-President.

Victoria Press, Madura—03.

M. R. Ry. Hariharam Iyer Avergal was persuaded to accept office against his inclinations or elected against his will, that will not invalidate the election. He can always resign and there will be a fresh election." But I have said neither that Mr. Hariharam Iyer was persuaded to accept office nor that he was elected against his will but that it was illegal to consider him as having been elected even when he had ceased to be a candidate by voting for me.

G. O. No. 1122 M, dated the 21st August 1894, proposes the following rule :—

"No vote given by any candidate in favour of himself shall be counted".

G. O. No. 2196 L, dated the 29th October 1894, notifies that the following rule will come into force :—

"No vote given by any person for himself shall be counted".

G. O. No. 2250 L, dated the 3rd November 1894, notifies that the following rule will come into force :—

"No vote given by the President or any Member for himself shall be counted''.

Now, though these three rules are embodied in different G. Os., in spirit they are identical. They strongly imply that a candidate at an election in connection with Municipal or Local Boards should as a *sine qua non* vote for himself, though such a vote shall not be counted in declaring the result of the election. Any election therefore in which the candidate has not voted for himself must for this reason be invalid. If the opinion of the Government be that no candidate can vote for himself, it would have stated plainly,

"No vote shall be given by any candidate for himself."

Viewing the matter in this light, one will come to the conclusion that my election alone is valid (I having voted for myself) and Mr. Hariharam Iyer's, invalid, (he not having voted for himself).

Besides, the fact of a member voting for another is quite opposed to the letter and spirit of the Act itself and it has been the invariable practice of the Government to obtain from the nominated non-official gentlemen selected for honorary situations their written consent to hold such situations. The inference that one will draw from this is that the Government does not like that any one should be thrust to such offices when he is unwilling and lays great stress on the necessity of his willingly undertaking them. Just as in the case of nominated persons the Government gets consent, in the case of elected persons, it expects them to stand as candidates and vote for themselves when their names have been duly proposed and seconded. All our Municipal elections are conducted on this principle and there are therefore special provisions in the Rules relating to the election of Members of Municipal Councils for the withdrawal of the candidates at any time from the field of competition and in such cases the person who has obtained the next largest number of votes shall be deemed to have been elected. (Vide G. O. No. 107 M., dated the 26th January 1893). I only apply this principle to the election the validity of which I am now questioning, as our L. F. Institutions are as much the products of the

Local Self Government Scheme as our Municipal Institutions and both are worked on almost the same principles. I say that Mr. Hariharam Iyer ceased to be a candidate the moment that he voted for me though his nomination was a valid one till that stage and it was therefore illegal on the part of the Vice-President to have voted for him and declared that his election was carried by majority. Mr. M. S. Sankara Iyer was some time ago considered to have vacated his seat on both the District and Taluq Boards of Madura and Shevagungah respectively on account of a technical objection that under Section 124 (1) of Act V of 1894, he could not hold it when he had resigned it on the Union Panchayet. It was ascertained at the time that he had no mind to go out of office from the District and Taluq Boards. But even then, he was not made to continue on both the Boards on his consent being obtained for the purpose. In the same manner, I beg to submit that any consent, oral or written, obtained from Mr. Hariharam Iyer to take up the Membership of the Madura District Board, when he had waived his privilege of voting for himself and voted for me is objectionable. In drawing the kind attention of Government to the great difference which strikes me on the surface between the wordings of the two following sentenc es,

(1) No vote given by any candidate for himself shall be counted,

and

(2) No vote shall be given by any candidate for himself,

I have to state that according to the first even a person not choosing to vote for himself will be ineligible for election. But in the case under reference, one will see not only that the candidate has not deliberately chosen to vote for himself but that he has voted for me and *that he has voted for me not simply as an ordinary Member but as the Seconder of a proposition while his own interest was at stake.*

I beg to submit that if the Government decides the latter question of the ineligibility of the candidate Mr. Hariharam Iyer for election, it is not necessary to go into the former question of the said candidate not having been elected by a majority of votes. I therefore entreat the Government to dispose of the second question before disposing of the first.

I have in the above confined myself purely to the technical points involved in the matter and leave the case entirely in the hands of Government. In conclusion, I most earnestly pray that having due regard to the various circumstances detailed above the Government will be pleased to declare that my election alone is valid.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant,

MADURA,
9th December 1894.

Victoria Press, Madura—30.

July 1894, my vote for myself could not be recorded and counted. I beg to submit that the rule in the said G. O.,

"No vote given by the President or any Member for himself shall be counted", to be inserted at the end of Rule 6 of the Election Rules had not then become part and parcel of the Rules nor has it come into force yet, seeing that under G. O. No. 2,250 L, dated the 3rd November 1894, it could come into force only three months after its publication in the District Gazettes and this period has but just begun. I believed at first that my vote for myself had been *recorded* though *not counted* but I came to know that it had not been even recorded only after the meeting dispersed. Having been convinced of the fact that he was wrong in not having recorded and counted my vote for myself, the Vice-President said while leaving the meeting that he would make the necessary alterations in the resolution when it might be taken to him for his signature to be sent to the District Gazette for publication. Then what I thought was that if, as promised by the Vice-President, my vote for myself had been recorded and counted, both Messrs. Hariharam Iyer and myself would have secured equality of votes and that in such a case there would a re-election, and that no re-election was however admissible as from another point of view Mr. Hariharam Iyer had *by his voting for me* lost his claim to be elected and could not be elected. For all practical purposes I considered it advisable to get a copy of the resolution and so applied for it on the 7th October 1894. In the meantime, I put in a petition to the President of the District Board on the 12th October 1894 in which I clearly stated that my vote for myself had not been recorded and counted and held that the moment that Mr. Hariharam Iyer voted for me he ceased to be a candidate in the field of competition and that the Vice-President's voting for him at a time when he had voted for me was in the nature of a pressure brought to bear upon his election. I got the copy of the resolution after I put in the above petition and there I came across the addition "the latter voted for himself." (Enclosure II).

On the 17th October 1894, I put in another petition to the President of the District Board in which I contended that there had been equality of votes and prayed that for this reason there might be a re-election and pointed out that there could not be a re-election if it was made out that Mr. Hariharam Iyer could not be elected for his having ceased to be a candidate by voting for me and that I must be declared duly elected. I laid much stress on the fact that Mr. Hariharam Iyer's election could not be regarded as valid except by supposing that the Vice-President had voted double but that he had not as a matter of fact *voted double,* as would be evident from the foregoing considerations, and that the strongest point in my favour was the wording of the resolution itself from which it did not appear that the Vice-President had voted double. If he had voted double, it might have been stated in so many plain words. But he had not voted double and hence was not stated to have done so in the resolution. If it is asked how he had failed to correspondingly modify the resolution as if he had voted double when he made the addition, I say he had not done so lest it should bring about a radical change in the resolution and I should object to that procedure. Evidently he was in a fix and contented himself with stating that I had voted for myself in the hope that if questioned he might say he had voted double. I thus established in my two petitions above referred to

(1) that Mr. Hariharam Iyer was at the time the election was held not qualified as a candidate and

(2) that he was not duly elected by a majority of votes.

One of my petitions, dated 17—10—94, was sent by the President of the District Board to the Taluq Board for remarks and though I requested in my letter, dated 27—11—94, to be furnished with a copy of the Taluq Board report that I might have an opportunity of explaining myself with reference to it, the President has, without giving me this privilege, made an endorsement on that petition and forwarded it to me for my information. (Enclosure III).

The President has since communicated Mr. Hariharam Iyer's name to Government for publication in the Fort Saint George Gazette as a duly elected Member to the District Board. But I object to the publication and have brought this fact to the notice of Government in my telegram, dated the 7th December 1894.

In the first place, the President says:—"It appears that there were six Members at the meeting, 3 Members voted for one candidate and 3 for the other; the casting vote decided the question". I say no casting vote was given in this case nor is it evident from the wording of the resolution. Therefore the question must not be taken to have been decided.

Then the President proceeds thus:—"There is no irregularity in this unless the Vice President voted double before it was ascertained that there was equality. This is not alleged and is not probable, for in my experience the presiding officer usually takes all the votes of the other Members before recording his own." The President is not correct when he states that I did not say that the Vice President voted double before it was ascertained that there was equality. Briefly stated, my allegations with reference to the resolution recorded at the meeting have been that my vote should have been recorded and counted and then both Mr. Hariharam Iyer and myself would have got the same number of votes and with reference to the addition made subsequent to the meeting, that the Vice President should not be regarded as having voted double though in justification of his own act he might say anything now.

Again the President observes:—"If however as might perhaps be inferred from the wording of the resolution the vote of M. R. Ry. Raman Chettiar Avergal for himself was at first not counted, the Vice President would have had no reason to give a casting vote, but the result of the election would have been the same as there would have been 3 against 2." I have shown that my vote for myself must have been recorded and counted and *had it been done,* there would have been equality of votes on both the sides and not 3 against 2 as fancied by the President. The President thinks that the Vice President would have had no reason to give a casting vote but this was never alleged by me in any of my petitions. My statement has been all along that the Vice-President had not voted double as he had voted only as a Member and to suppose that he had voted double there was no room from the resolution itself.

In meeting my objections that Mr. Hariharam Iyer ceased to be a candidate for the election and that pressure was brought to bear upon his election, the President remarks:—"If

3813/15/17
Local ... Dept.
37652

From

R. M. C. RAMAN CHETTIAR,

Member, Taluq Board, Shevagungah,

Madura District.

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Madras.

CHIEF SECRETARY
20 DEC 1894
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Sir,

With profound submission, I beg you will be pleased to lay before the Government the following facts with a request that they may be duly considered :—

A special meeting of the Taluq Board, Shevagungah, Madura District, was held to elect a Member to the District Board on the 6th October 1894 when the following Members were present :—Messrs T. Thiagarama Iyer (Vice-President), S. Thiagaraja Mudalliar, S. A. Ramasawmi Iyer, A. Hariharam Iyer, A. Sickander Vava Ambalagar and myself.

Mr. Ramasawmi Iyer proposed and Mr. Thiagaraja Mudalliar seconded that Mr. Hariharam Iyer be elected.

Mr. Sickander Vava Ambalagar proposed and *Mr. Hariharam Iyer himself seconded that I be elected.*

I voted for himself.

The Vice-President voted for Mr. Hariharam Iyer and declared that his election was carried by majority.

The exact terms of the resolution as then committed to writing on the agenda paper ran as follows :—

"Proposed by M. R. Ry. S. A. Ramasawmi Iyer Avergal and seconded by M. R. Ry. S. Thiagaraja Mudalliar Avergal that M. R. Ry. A. Hariharam Iyer Avergal be elected.

Proposed by M. R. Ry. A. Sickander Vava Ambalagar Avergal and seconded by M. R. Ry. A. Hariharam Iyer Avergal that M. R. Ry. R. M. C. Raman Chettiar Avergal be elected.

The Vice-President voting for M. R. Ry. A. Hariharam Iyer Avergal, his election is carried by majority". (Enclosure I).

I must here state that my vote for myself was not recorded and counted by the Vice-President under the erroneous impression that under G. O. No. 1382 L, dated the 26th

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Madras.

MEMO.

REFERENCE :—

Petition of Mr. R. M. C. Raman Chettiar, Member, Taluq Board, Shevagungh, dated Madura, 9th December 1894, questioning the validity of election of Mr. A. Hariharam Iyer as a District Board Member by the said Taluq Board.

ERRATUM.

For the words, "*I voted for himself*" printed on the first page in the above petition as a separate para, please read, "*I voted for myself*".

Madura,
15—12—94.

C Sundaram Moodly
Pressman.

Victoria Press, Madura—30.

Local & Municipal Dept.
PR. CURRENT No. 36652

Telegram.

From E

M R Ry. Raman chettyar
a member of the
Sivaganga D/B.

No.

Dated 7/12
Received 7/12
Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Praying to stop the publtn in the Fort St George Gazette of the election of Mr Hariharamiyer as a member of the Madura D^t B^d & Stating that a petition questioning the validity of his election follows.

Code Class. DP INDIAN TELEGRAPHS. 03251 Monthly Number.

To (Office) Madras. From (Office) Madura.

Words.	Day.	Hour.	Minute.
38	7	7	7

Official Instructions. Local & Municipal Dept.

CURRENT No.

To (Person) Chief Secretary G.O.M. From (Person) R.M.C.

DEC 1894 CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

to Government Ramen Chetty Member Taluk board Sivaganga

Pray Stop publishing Sivaganga Taluk board member Sathiramiers name as madura district board member in gazette petition questioning Validity Sathiramiars selection follows

64 W. Form. R.C.2

Recd. from LN. At Hrs. Mtk. by

Sent to LN. At Hrs. MADRAS 7-12-94 by Telegraph Master.

T. G. P.—No. 3 T—4-5-94—50,00,000.

3

FROM CIRCULATION
WITH ALTERATIONS.

Seen

CURRENT No. 3875 L.

of 189 .

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTIFICATION.

THE Right Honourable the Governor in Council approves of the appointment, by election, under Section 11 of the Madras Local Boards Act, 1884, of the under-mentioned gentlemen as Members of the District Board of Madura by the Taluk Boards ~~specified against their names:~~

M. R. Ry. Hariharam Aiyar Avargal.

When given for copying ____
When copied ____
When examined ____
When signed ____
} 189.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189 , DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.								
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.								
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.								

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.