INDEXED.
PRESS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED 31—10—95
No. 2085 L

First copy sent to Press. 2/11/95
Returned for signature. 21/11/95
Despatched in print. 23/11/95

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sanitary Report

ly Approving with remarks.
the proposals of the Sanitary
Commr. for reducing the bulk
of the Annual ——, and
requesting him to state the
method in which the report
should in his opinion be
recast.

BACK PAPER, No.

of 18

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18 , No.

FURTHER PAPER, No.

of 18

8/1

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No. 2852 L. A

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER, &c., OF GOVERNMENT—A.

PAPERS KEPT OUT OF FILE FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL
(not paged).

Please show [illegible]

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED.
- Order complete. 70
- Letter only.
- Order complete with Letter.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.
R = Print, but not for monthly volume.

No. 2085 L., 31st October 1895.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From Surgeon-Lieutenant-Colonel W. G. KING, M.B., C.M., D.PH., Sanitary Commissioner for Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 30th September 1895, No. 1684-S.

With reference to official memorandum, No. 1143, dated 17th August 1895, I have the honour to submit herewith a memorandum replying to the various remarks therein made. To facilitate reference, each paragraph of the official memorandum has been re-produced and answered *seriatim*.

ENCLOSURE.

MEMORANDUM.

O.M., No. 1143, dated 17th August 1895.	Remarks of the Sanitary Commissioner.
(1) In the statement given on page 22, the names of the districts in which the several municipalities are situated should have also been given.	Noted.
(2) On pages 23 and 24, the extracts relating to the registration of vital statistics by karnams, taken from the inspection reports of District Medical and Sanitary officers and the weekly diaries of Deputy Inspectors of Vaccination, have not been arranged in the alphabetical order of the districts.	Noted.
(3) In column 1 of the table on pages 62 and 63 giving the particulars of the sanitary staff employed by municipal councils, the names of municipalities have not been arranged in the alphabetical order of the districts.	The custom hitherto pursued has been to show the towns in relation to their respective populations ; but the alphabetical order will be adopted in future.
(4) A mere abstract of Part I of Appendix A would have been sufficient and Part II was unnecessary, as an administration report is intended for the record of the past and is not the best place for suggestions for the future.	Taking into account the urgency on the part of the Army Sanitary Commission as to advance of registration, it was considered desirable to show that Government had itself called for suggestions on the subject. Section II of this appendix was inserted for the same reason and was not intended to form a series of suggestions for the consideration of Government ; otherwise it would have been shown in the body of the report.

O.M., No. 1143, dated 17th August 1895.	Remarks of the Sanitary Commissioner.
(5) Appendices B, and C should be omitted from future reports, as the particulars furnished therein are either unnecessary or already on record.	Appendix B was regarded as essential, with reference to the desire of the Army Sanitary Commission to receive a report of the state of all major sanitary works inspected during the year. Having already been placed on record and being lengthy, it was thought desirable to relegate the subject to the appendix; otherwise the matter would have required to be rewritten and shown in the body of the report. This was saved by referring the reader to the appendix. Appendix C will, of course, not recur. It was inserted that it might serve as a record of the inefficient state of sanitary protection in rural areas in 1894, it being hoped that with advancing time a more favourable contrast might be afforded.
(6) The information contained in Appendix D is already on record; that in appendix E is unnecessary, and the statement showing the number of places visited by the District Medical and Sanitary officers which appears as Appendix G will be no longer necessary, as the inspection work of these officers has now been very much reduced. The Sanitary Commissioner will be requested to state whether there is any reason why Appendices D and G should not be omitted from future reports.	Although Appendix D had been in part produced previously, it served the double object of showing that Government goes to the trouble of passing orders upon each festival and of proving how little money is really forthcoming to enforce improvements. Government agreed with this view of the matter in the review. I submit that, without the description of the nature of the sanitary defects found in these festivals, a mere statement that the money spent upon them was insufficient would not have served my purpose for securing more attention to sanitary requirements in this direction. Appendix D will not recur, it having been used only for the special purpose stated. Appendix E was, I considered, essential with the object of placing on record facts controverting the theory of the statistical officer with the late Surgeon-General with the Government of India, showing that rail had no influence in spreading cholera —*vide* Appendix A to the report of the Sanitary Commissioner with the Government of India for 1893. I would finally point out that in the appendices to reports of Sanitary Commissioners of Bombay and Bengal considerable information is added. In the latter, important circulars and sanitary reports on towns are reproduced *verbatim.* I consider it would not be advantageous to omit the information conveyed in Appendix G, as it is desirable to keep the Governments of Madras and of India and the Army Sanitary Commission informed of the degree of activity of sanitary supervision of rural areas.

O.M., No. 1143, dated 17th August 1895.	Remarks of the Sanitary Commissioner.
(7) The statements relating to the history of the cholera epidemic, which appear on pages 37, 41 and 46 and those containing abstracts of sanitary reports of municipalities and local fund circles, which appear on pages 73 to 84 and 91 to 133, are in some instances quite unnecessary and in others susceptible of considerable reduction in bulk. All that is required in an administration report is the *result* of the measures taken for the prevention of cholera and not all the details leading up to it; and in the case of the abstracts of sanitary reports it seems to Government that it is entirely useless to repeat a quantity of detailed information year after year and that it would be sufficient to indicate in the report the alterations and improvements made during the year. The Sanitary Commissioner will be requested to state the authority under which each of these statements is now submitted with the sanitary report and to submit proposals for omitting them or reducing their size in future.	It was with special reference to the repetition of the same information in the abstract of sanitary reports of municipalities and local fund circles that I made the remark in the annual report: "It seems to me possible that certain of the forms directed by Government to be used in this report might be usefully abolished or modified, in view of the fact that the same information is repeated in terms that but vary slightly." I think the whole of these might disappear in favour of Form II as prescribed in G.O., No. 53, Public, dated 23rd January 1894. The statement on pages 41 to 45 might be allowed to stand. In view of the amount of information already collected on the subject, the meteorological statements on pages 46 to 52 need not be continued. These various forms were directed to be prepared under the following orders:— G.O., No. 852, dated 30th July 1872. G.O., No. 1695, Public, dated 12th August 1886. G.O., No. 1725, Public, dated 28th November 1878. G.O., No. 97, Financial, dated 21st January 1879. With reference to the remark that the *result* only of efforts directed against cholera should be reported, I would invite attention to G.O., No. 757, Public, dated 12th September 1893, which requires the faithful investigation of all epidemics. A constant record is maintained in my office to satisfy the requirements of this order, and all District Sanitary Officers and local authorities are promptly reminded of any failure to submit statements of the supposed method of introduction, and if Government be of opinion that the maintenance of such a record will suffice, and that no record should be made in the annual report, this can easily be arranged; but I would respectfully point out that no sanitarian would receive any statement made as to efforts to subdue an epidemic as of the slightest value unless it were made clear, in the first place, what were the conditions under which introduction was effected. For example, a bare statement that cholera was introduced into such and such a parcherry, and that the Sanitary Officer advised that a well should be disinfected, and that, on this being accomplished, the

O M., No. 1143, dated 17th August 1895.

Remarks of the Sanitary Commissioner.

epidemic was stayed, would be received as of no value whatsoever. It may not be without interest in this connection to state that the Sanitary Commissioners with the Local Governments have lately been publicly charged by Mr. Ernest Hart with submitting mere "clerks' reports," owing to the supposed want of scientific ability to discuss the origin and spread of epidemics. Some years back the Army Sanitary Commission administered the following reproof to a Sanitary Commissioner of this Presidency, who had endeavoured to render a concise report * to Government :—

* * * "It gives little information and no details regarding sanitary work properly so called, and there is little excepting absence of information of this kind calling for remark.

"The report concludes with a brief notice of the personal proceedings of the Sanitary Commissioner limited to a single page, and giving no details which in reality it may be suggested might have formed the subject-matter of the report itself.

"The present report is really a Registrar's report on the mortality of the Madras Presidency, with a few sanitary reports appended—" *vide* G.O., No. 768, Public, dated 14th July 1876.

I would invite attention to the first page of my annual report, in which it is shown that section after section as originally laid down for guidance has been omitted under various Government orders issued from time to time. This would seem to indicate that the time has arrived for a complete re-casting of the whole, and I should be glad therefore if Government would define exactly the nature of the information it would desire in future.

* In accordance with its directions in O.M., No.   , dated 9th June 1873, and G.O., No. 139, dated 10th February 1873.

ORDER—No. 2085 L., dated 31st October 1895.

Recorded.

2. The Government notes that Appendices C and D will not appear in future reports. The reason assigned by the Sanitary Commissioner for the insertion of Appendix B is not understood, as the appendix merely contains an extract from the inspection notes on the City of Madras.

3. Under the circumstances stated by the Sanitary Commissioner, the statement showing the number of places visited by the District Medical and Sanitary officers may be retained.

4. The statement which appears on page 46 of the report, and those containing abstracts of sanitary reports of municipalities and local fund circles, which appear on pages 73 to 84 and 91 to 133, should be omitted from future reports. The statement on pages 41 to 45 will, however, be allowed to stand.

5. With reference to the abstract of information furnished on the subject of the introduction and spread of cholera on pages 37—40, the Government considers that it will be sufficient if such reports are recorded in the Sanitary Commissioner's office, a brief note being made in the annual report of any important facts or material noted in them.

6. In regard to the concluding portion of the memorandum, the Sanitary Commissioner is requested to state the method in which the report should, in his opinion, be recast.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Sanitary Commissioner.

Ext. Md. Phailvan.

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

Issued

Recd. Encl.

G.O., No. 2085 L., 31st Oct. 1895.

OCTOBER.

Sanitary Report.

Approving, with remarks, the proposals of the Sanitary Commissioner for reducing the bulk of the annual —, and requesting him to state the method in which the report should, in his opinion, be recast.

NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SECRETARY.

and H. M.

Two copies of the Sanitary Commissioner's report for 1894 are submitted for perusal, the draft review having been sent to Madras today to be put into type.

The review is due with the Government of India on the 1st July. The report, however, was only received complete in Madras on the 8th June and here on the night of the 13th. Hence the delay in it's submission.

The original copy of the report containing the diagrams has had to go back to Madras for correction of some misprints etc.

CGT
18.VI.

DEPARTMENT.

C. No.

RDERS.

The review on the report of the Sanitary Commr & Sanitary Engineer is submitted. It came to me on the 26th ulto in the afternoon and it has with other work taken me three days to get through it. It was due with India today. The late date at which the S. Commr sent up the last of his statements has led to the review being late. It is impossible with other work and constant interruptions to get through a lot of this sort in one day.

Dr. King has been—for him—brief but he has hauled in a lot of appendices the use of which except to enable the people at home to lead Govt. a sermon is not apparent.

In para 7 of the Sanitary Engr's

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

Issued

Recd. Encl.

G.O., No. 2085 L., 31st Oct. 1895.

OCTOBER.

Sanitary Report.

Approving, with remarks, the proposals of the Sanitary Commissioner for reducing the bulk of the annual —, and requesting him to state the method in which the report should, in his opinion, be recast.

Cols 8 and 9

These figures have apparently been taken from the report. The figures for water-supply schemes sanctioned for 1894 should be 10 including Conjeeveram.

It is right (Nine)

Cols 9 and 10

These figures can figures checked by tables 2 to 9. This gives the correct figures (deducting 'Column' [illegible])

Cols 11 and 12

The following towns seem to be meant as having complete schemes

Water supply	Drainage
Cuddapah	Kumbakonam
Madura	Coonoor
Ootacamund	Ootacamund

Note

This table seems to have been taken in great measure from last year's report which also was incorrect.

[G./25.]
600—8-3-95.

DEPARTMENT.

C. No.

FOR ORDERS.

The review on the report of the Sanity Commr. & Sanity Engineer is submitted. It came to me on the 26th idem in the afternoon and it has with other work taken me three days to get through it. It was due with India today. The late date at which the S. Commr. sent up the last of his statements has led to the review being late. It is impossible with other work and constant interruptions to get through a job of this sort in one day.

Dr. King has been — for him — brief but he has hauled in a lot of appendices the use of which except to enable the people at home to lead Govt. a sermon is not apparent.

Re para 7 of the Sanitary Engr.

reports there is a table of which I could at first make nothing. I however asked the Asst. Secy. to check the figures with records as far as they would go and the enclosed note from him gives the result. There seems an utter muddle and there has been no checking of the figures in the S.E's Office. There is no time to ask for explanation of the apparent discrepancies.

1/7/95.

Sanitary Commissioner's & Engineer's Reports 1894-95

FOR ORDERS.

Not pertinent, so far as I can see

3/7/95

Hw

c 3/7 123/7

[G. / 25.]
600—7-5-95.

L and M DEPARTMENT.

C. No.

FOR ORDERS.

No – I overlooked it. – Omit –

x The boundaries of the City and district are conterminous Madras appears however in the list of districts given at page 27 of the report.

Note
Further papers have now been put in.

Note for H.M.

Para 9 of the review – I am not sure if H.M. has seen the word 'district' in the left margin, which was added in view of the fact that it ~~was~~ is only when regarded as a district x. that Madras shows the highest mortality, and whether the sentence was intended to read, as it does, "the highest district mortality was recorded in the city of Madras."

Para 21 – remarks in the margin – The file is not quite complete in respect of the papers connected with the preparation of the report but those tied in a linked file with red tape containing orders prescribing or recommending the majority of the statements objected to by H.M. The following are the details: –

(a) Statement on page 23 — This statement gives instances of the result of the check of ~~village officers'~~ the ~~frequency of~~ registration of Vital Statistics in villages ~~registration figures~~ performed by Deputy Inspectors of Vaccination and District Sanitary Officers. Details of check by the former were first asked for in accordance with the recommendations of the Army Sanitary Commission in 1892 – G.O $\frac{1239L}{25.5.92}$

In the memorandum recorded in G.O. No $\frac{2139}{27.9.93}$ the Commission asked for details of check by both classes of officers and a similar request was repeated in India's letter in G.O. No $\frac{{}^{\times}348L}{19.2.94}$.

(b) Statements on pages 37, 41, $\overset{46}{52}$ and 151 — No definite orders prescribing these statements, which give information as to the history of cholera epidemics and the connection between meteorological conditions and cholera mortality, are traceable, but the following have some bearing on them:– in the first of the memoranda included in G.O. No $\frac{2986L}{27.11.91}$ the Army Sanitary Commission insist on the advantages of a comparison of meteorological phenomena with death rates. They also desire the exclusion of information as to dates of first cases (now shown in the statement on page 41) from the cholera map.

The memorandum in G.O. No $\frac{1649}{26.7.93}$ again requires comparison of meteorological data with death-rates and desires that facts should be collected regarding the area over which cholera is distributed, the localities within that area affected and the sanitary condition of the places that suffered most severely.

The ~~2nd~~ memorandum in G.O. No $\frac{348L}{19.2.94}$ further requires that prompt and careful enquiry shall be made into the local conditions attending the outbreak of any epidemic and the action taken reported. These latter G.O.s probably account for the two statements relating to the history

FOR ORDERS.

× para 2
"I am now to request that the facts elicited at a few of such inspections may be detailed in the Sanitary Report for 1893 and in future reports."

Please see para 2 of the Army Sany Commis memorandum Commd with G.O. $\frac{2139L}{27-9-93}$. The Commn says "It wd be of interest to have some illustrations from these diaries of the actual state of things found in a few towns & villages – how far the reporters were borne out by the information otherwise obtained &c &c

× Vide G.O. of 12-8-86 No 1695 Public

[$\frac{G.}{25.}$]
600—7-5-95.

DEPARTMENT.

C. No.

FOR ORDERS.

This is all right — But what is wanted in the report is the result, not all the details leading up to it —

of cholera epidemics on pages 37 and 151 one of which is new while the other appeared for the first time last year, but the orders under which the others were inserted must be much older since that on page 52 has appeared in every report since 1889 and that on page 41 since 1887 when the first cholera map was submitted.

(c) Statements on pages 73, 91, 106 and 112.— These statements contain abstracts of sanitary reports of municipalities, local fund circles and villages. Reports as far back as 1883 have been examined and similar abstracts appear in all of them. They first appear in a form similar to the present one in 1885. Definite orders regarding the form were given by the Govt of India in 1892 - G.O. no $\frac{1239L}{25.5.92}$, a modification was suggested in 1893 - G.O. no $\frac{2139L}{27.9.93}$ - In which year they were also commented on by the Army Sanitary Commission. Further comments on them will be found in the memoranda in G.Os nos $\frac{348L}{19.2.94}$ and $\frac{1661L}{1.9.94}$. In the latter of these the Army Sanitary Commission state that they "attach much value" to them.

(d) Copy of inspection notes on the Madras City reprinted on page 142 et seqq.

FOR ORDERS.

I think we should point out how entirely useless it is to repeat all this detailed information year after year. With rare exceptions things remain just the same two years as they were 10 years ago & they will remain the same for years to come, for the simple reason that there is, as a rule, no money to effect any but the most trifling improvements - It would suffice to indicate in the report the alterations & improvements made during the year.

BS
5/7/95

The Commission states.—
The facts are already well known to the authorities and, what is of more importance, they are doing what they can to remedy them - It is very important, however, to keep all the facts concerning insanitary conditions perpetually in view until they have been remedied, and therefore we attach much value to these Sanitary Surveys, even though they involve repetition from year to year.

in G.O. $\frac{257X}{9\text{-}2\text{-}95}$

[G./25.]
600—7-5-95.

DEPARTMENT.

C. No.

FOR ORDERS.

This seems to be new, though if value is attached to a mass of indefinite information about unknown villages all over the Presidency, the Sanitary Commissioner's report on the Presidency town will probably be regarded as invaluable by the Commission. It will certainly give them a great opportunity for comment.

(e) Copy of the abstract of festival reports on pages 153 et seqq.— This seems to be new and it's inclusion unnecessary.

(f) Statement of villages visited by District Sanitary Officers page 182. This statement has hitherto been given in the body of the report. It has appeared since 1887 and has not unfrequently been the subject of comment by Government. Since the issue of G.O. no $\frac{57\ Pub}{24.1.95}$ which limits ~~them~~ District Sanitary officers to the inspection to municipal towns, unions and

But has it been reported lots of times before? I thought so — The existing state of the Pres~~y~~ town is well known

I am afraid that this is true.

BS

Cut out

BS

fairs and festivals, however, it is less necessary.×

(g) Last two columns of statement II page 195 – This is a statement prescribed by the Govt. of India and accepted by the Govt. of Madras. It was first suggested in the letter enclosed in G.O. no $\frac{1649L}{26.7.93}$ and finally prescribed in that in G.O. no $\frac{296L}{15.2.94}$. The Madras Govt. was not one of the local Govts. that objected to the inclusion of these columns.

In the circumstances set forth it is submitted that except in the cases of statements relating to the history of cholera epidemics (part of (b)) and of the enclosures relating to fairs and festivals and the inspection of the Madras× city and district no reduction can be made without India's sanction. And even these cannot be cut out until a further reference has been made to make certain that there is no authority for them. Perhaps therefore as the report is already overdue it would be best to let it go as it is this year and await Dr. King's proposals for reducing it's bulk.

× This might certainly be discontinued

Yes HB

FOR ORDERS.

More's the pity

HB

We must get India's sanction to cut out as much as possible. Here is the S. of S. telling us to cut down our reports & then we go on sending up masses of useless print!

HB

× This would be true only as regards information which the G of I has ordered to be embodied in the report – and not as regards the suggestions made by the Army Sanitary Commission and sent to the Madras Govt. direct –

Very well

HB

[G. / 25.]
600—7-5-95.

DEPARTMENT.

C. No.

FOR ORDERS.

Some such remark as is entered in pencil at the end of para 21 of the review might be added with regard to the unnecessary reprints referred to above.

HB

Para 24 of the review – the memorandum regarding ~~the~~ the statement in para 7 of the Sanitary Engineer's report is put up within. Would it not be well, in view of the fact that this statement gives a misleading idea as to the progress made, to add a remark regarding it's incorrectness? please see pencil addition.

HB

H. M.'s remark on page 31 of the Sanitary Commissioner's report.

Dr. King's statement is explained by the tables that follow. His classification of districts first according to geographical position and secondly according to monsoons is, however, somewhat confusing and the C.S.+ appears to have mistaken the latter classification for the former, Madura being one of the South-Eastern group of districts, but affected by the North East monsoon vide second part of Statement B page 35.

The O.M. ordered will issue as soon as the papers are returned.

It is believed that the copies of the report have not yet all been struck off. I sent back the original to Madras with the first draft in order to have some misprints corrected if it was not too late and it was returned with the remark that this could be done later. One of the statements required by the Government of India has also yet to be included.

C.J.
4-VII-

I submit that the report as it is late had better go up as it is. To alter it now would take some time. It is certainly cumbered with a great deal of what is utter rubbish and quite valueless for any practical purpose. The people at home however seem to like it. As Hon. says Govt. has seen a good deal of it before.

+ I did not write the word "South" in blue pencil.

The order under which the arrangement of districts in para 3 is G.O. No. 1394 28th June 1893 (review of Sany. Report of 1892 — (in book)).

Sanitary Reports for 1894

FOR ORDERS.

Note for H.M.

but see please para 2 of the Resolution of the G of I in G.O. No. 53 dated 23rd January 1894.

577/95

in G.O. 296L
15-2-94

NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SECRETARY.

The draft review of the Sanitation report is submitted for final approval.

H.M. approves the alterations suggested in the note which have now been inked in. He also says that the report may go as it stands.

The whole question of the reduction of statements may be dealt with after Dr. King's proposals have been received and India may then be addressed. A para will be added to the O.M. which is to issue to Dr. King calling on him to show cause why the 2 statements, which H.M. says are to be cut out, should not be omitted from future reports.

Orders are solicited as to whether the words "apparently under preparation" on page 15 of the Sanitary Engineer's report are to be printed as they stand. The statement in which they are entered purports to have been prepared by the S.E.

With reference to para 24 of the review I am somewhat doubtful, in view of the C.S.'s criticisms the other day, whether the figures in the first sentence are correct. The three surveys intended are — Madura, Palghat and Palni (para 46, further investigation undertaken and completed) and the two schemes Vizagapatam and Cuddalore (prepared in 1892 by Col: Smart, revised and laid before the Board in 1894 — not entered as having been prepared last year) may the

---

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

andum.

Dated the 17th August 1895.

No. 1143

The attention of the Sanitary Commissioner will be drawn to the following points in connection with his administration report for 1894.

(1). In the statement given on page 22 the names of the Districts in which the several Municipalitie are situated should have also been given.

(2) On pages 23 and 24, the extracts relating to the registration of Vital statistics by Kurnams, taken from the inspection report of District Medical and Sanitary Officers and the weekly diaries of Deputy Inspectors of Vaccinati have not been arranged in the

the alphabetical order of the districts.

(3). In column 1 of the table on pages 62 and 63, giving the particulars of the Sanitary Staff employed by Municipal Councils the names of Municipalities have not been arranged in the alphabetical order of the districts

(4). A mere abstract of Part I of Appendix A would have been sufficient and Part II was unnecessary, as an administration report~~s~~ ~~are~~ is intended for the record of the past and ~~are~~ is not the ~~proper~~ best place for suggestions for the future.

(5). Appendices B and C should be omitted from future reports, as the particulars furnished therein are either unnecessary or already on record.

(6) The information contained in Appendix D is already on record, that in Appendix E is unnecessary and the statement showing the number of places visited by the District Medical and Sanitary officers, which appears as Appendix G, will be no longer necessary, as the inspection work of these officers has now been very much reduced. The Sanitary Commissioner will be requested ~~report whether~~ to ~~show cause why~~ appendices D and G. ~~should~~ should ~~might~~ not be omitted from future reports.

State whether there is any reason why

(7). The statements relating to the history of the Cholera Epidemic which appear on pages 37, 41, and 46 and those containing abstracts of Sanitary reports of Municipalities and Local Fund Circles, which appear on pages 73 to 84 and 91 to 133 are in some instances quite unnecessary and in others susceptible of considerable reduction

reduction in bulk. All that is required in an administration report is the result of the measures taken for the prevention of Cholera and not all the details leading up to it, and in the case of the abstracts of Sanitary reports, it seems to Government that it is entirely useless to repeat a ~~lot~~ quantity of detailed information year after year and that it would be sufficient to indicate the report, the alterations and improvements made during the year. The Sanitary Commissioner will be requested to state the authority under which each of these statements is now submitted with the Sanitary report, and to submit proposals for omitting them or reducing their size in future.

(From orders)

V.R.
9/8/95

W.R. 8/8

To

The Sanitary Commissioner

Despd. 17/8/95 Om

record

Points noticed in the Sanitary Commissioner's Report for 1894

(From orders)

R / OM

1143 / 17-8-95

2085 LP / 31-10-95

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings :—

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Current No. 2852 L. of 1895 , dated 30th September from the Sanitary Commissioner

Current No. of 189 , dated from the

Order. No. 2085 L.M. 31-10-95

Recorded

The Govt. notes that Appendices C. & D. will not appear in future reports.

is not understood, as the it the Appendix merely contains an Extract from the Inspectn Notes on the City of Madras.

2. The reason~~s~~ assigned by the Sanitary Commissioner for the insertion of Appendi~~ces~~ B, ~~C, D, and E are not satisfactory~~.

3. ~~For the reason~~ Under the circumstance stated by the Sanitary Commissioner, the statement shewing the number of places visited by the District Medical and Sanitary Officers may be retained.

4 The statement~~s~~ ~~relating to the history of the Cholera epidemic~~, which appears on pages ~~37 and~~ 46 of the report, and those containing abstracts of Sanitary reports of Municipalities and Local Fund Circles, which appear on pages 73 to 84 and 91 to 133, should be omitted from future reports. The statement on pages 41 to 45, ~~however will~~ be allowed to stand.

JHS
30/10/95

5. With refce to the abstract of information furnished on the subject of the introduction & spread of cholera on pages 37-40, the Govt considers that ~~It~~ it will be sufficient if ~~the~~ such reports ~~relating to the investigation into epidemic diseases~~ are recorded in the Sanitary Commissioner's office, a brief note being made in the annual report of any important facts or material noted in them. ~~elicited by such investigations~~.

6. ~~With reference~~ In regard to the concluding portion of the memorandum, the Sanitary Commissioner is requested to state ~~how~~ the method in which, ~~in his opinion,~~ in his opinion the report should be recast.

V. R.
22/10/95

25.10.95

28/10/95

To

The Sanitary Commissioner.

Despd 23/10/95

Proposals for reducing the bulk of the Annual Sanitary Report

2852 L

H.M.
O.S

irst copy sent to Press.

eturned for signature.

espatched in print.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED. { Order complete.
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED.
189 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.