[illegible]

Local & Munl. (1895-) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 2058 L.

DATED 28th Oct 1895

[Despatch Abstract.]

Administration Report.

Recording, with remarks, explanation of points noticed in the ---- of the Kurnool district board for 1894-95.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Miscellaneous.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPT.
G.O., DATED 28-10-95
No. 2058 L

INDEXED.

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Administration Report

Recording with remarks explanation of points noticed in the ——— of the Kurnool Dist Bd for 1894-95

✓

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189 . No . MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—
A — Copy in full
B — Not to be copied.
P — Copy abstract only.
Q — Copy heading only.

BACK PAPER, No. OF 189

FURTHER PAPER, No. OF 189 .

Copied by

Examined by

[28]

N.B.—The reply to this communication should be addressed to the Chief Secretary.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT MADRAS.

Memorandum.

C. No. 2075 L. of 1895.
Dated the 14th September 1895.
No. 1303

The following points are noticed in the statements submitted with the President's report and in the report itself :—

(1) In statement I-A the rent of Rs 12 collected from the occupants of the old dispensary at Markapur should have been shown under '6 Public Works Miscellaneous', and not under '5 Miscellaneous (b) — sundry receipts'.

(2). Details of the "other fees and fines" amounting to Rs 265-8-0 shown under '5 Miscellaneous f Fees and fines' in the same statement, have not been given.

(3). The sum of Rs 474 paid as

as "Results stipends" to the masters of the Lower Secondary school on the salary results system, as shown in column 15 of statement IX, should have been entered in statement I-B under Grant II, d. Secondary schools ii. salary results system, (1) salaries - Results and not under (3) Miscellaneous.

(4). In the same statement (I-B) the fixed salaries and results stipends to masters of elementary schools, amounting to Rs. 9,484 and Rs. 6,370 respectively, according to columns 14 and 15 of statement IX, should have been shown separately under Grant II, e, ii (1) salaries, the former under 'Fixed' and the latter under 'Results', and not lumped together.

(5). In the same statement (I-B) the cholera charges amounting to Rs 492, which from para 38 of the President's report appear to

to have been incurred on preventive measures, should have been shown under Grant III-C Sanitation and not under a) Hospitals and Dispensaries - Vide addendum No. 130 to the Local Fund account Rules, communicated with G.O dated 24-6-92 No. 1487.

(6). In the same statement and in statement XIV the expenditure of Rs 1,185 incurred on the repairs of streets under the Nandyal Taluk Board should have been shown under Grant-II Repairs (1) Communications and not under Grant III-(C) sanitation (2) Improvement of village-sites and the expenditure on drains amounting to Rs. 187 should have been shown under Improvement of village sites and not under Other Sanitary outlay.

(7). According to the details furnished

furnished in column 20 of statement II-B the total amount realized as private scavenging fees in unions was Rs 191, whereas according to column 17 of statement I-A the total amount of "fees from private individuals for cleaning their latrines" was Rs 1143-11-6.

(8) The sum of Rs 3/8/- realized as Process fees, evidently in connection with the collection of the house tax and shown under "Fees and Fines" in statement I-A does not appear to have been included in column 4 of statement II-B.

(9). In the last column of the same statement (II-B) details of the "Sundry receipts" amounting to Rs. 64 have not been given.

(10) According to the details furnished in column 17 of statement I-A, a sum of Rs 30 was realized as sale proceeds of saline earth in the Kodumuru union, but this amount does not appear to be included under "Miscellaneous receipts" in statement II-B.

(11). Column 4 of the same statement (II-B) should have shown the amounts realized as private Scavenging fees and fees under section 57 (V) of the Local Boards act, as well as Pandal Fees.

(12) Column 12 of the same statement need not show the details of the work done under each sub-head of the estimates.

(13). In column 18 of statement IV-A, the average cost of maintaining each mile of Taluk roads in Nandyal is given as Rs 142-7 instead of Rs 141-4.

(14). In column 6 of statement V the

the expenditure on works connected with Tolls is given as Rs 17, whereas statement III furnishes details for only Rs. 6.

(15) In statement VI the contribution from Provincial Funds for the upkeep of the Kurnool Langarkhana should have been shown in column 3 and not in column 9.

(16). In statement VIII the D. C. and B of market rents should have been shown under "Endowment Fund" and not under General Funds.

(17) In column 21 of statement IX the excess of the receipts over the charges should have been shown in red ink, with a minus sign, as required by note (f) appended to the form of the statement printed in G.O. dated 8th May 1888, No. 859.

(18) Column 22 of the same statement should have been filled in for each department of the Lower Secondary schools and for all primary schools together in each taluk.

(19). The expenditure of Rs 429 incurred on the construction of a new chattram at Dhanu, which appears in statement III has not been shown in column 12 of statement XI.

(20). According to columns 3, 4 and 11 of statement XIII, the total "Fixed charges" on account of vaccination comprising the salaries of the Deputy Inspectors and their peons and the cost of vaccinators and probationers, amounted to Rs 3,926, whereas in column 8 of statement I-B. they are shown as Rs 4,635; and a corresponding difference appears under the

Contingent

contingent charges.

(21). In column 33 of the same statement and para. 36 of the President's report the total number of births registered in Local Fund areas is shown as 24,185, whereas in para. II of the District Medical and Sanitary Officer's report it is given as 24,147.

(22). In column 17 of statement XIV the total number of men employed on the scavenging establishments of the unions under the Ramallakot Taluk Board is given as 45 instead of 43, according to the details.

(23). The expenditure of Rs 22 in driving monkeys away from Gudur should not have been shown in the same statement.

(2). In column 1 of statement XVI the seats which were vacant on

the 31st March 1895 should not have been numbered - vide para 4(4) of G.O. dated 28th May 1890, No. 1273 L.

(25) In the last column of the same statement the date of retirement of such of the members of the local boards as lost their seats during the year have not been given, as required in para 4(4) of the G.O. above refered to.

(26). In the same statement the seat of Mr. E.D. Laybourne, Asst. Inspector of Salt and Abkari, on the District Board and on the Taluk Board of Cumbum is shown as vacant on the 31st March 1895, whereas according to para. 1 of the President's letter disposed of in G.O. dated 24th July 1895, No. 1296 L. Mis., Mr. Laybourne did not leave the

the district before the 9th April 1895

(27). In columns 7 and 8 of statement XVI-A, the strength of the Markapur and Kodumuru union panchayats on the 31st March 1895 is shown as 1 ex: officio and 9 nominated members in each case, whereas according to columns 11 to 13 of statement XVI-B there were 2 ex: officio and 8 nominated members on each of these panchayats on the above date.

(28). In columns 7 and 8 of the same statement (XVI-A) the strength of the Pattikonda panchayat on the 31st March 1895 is given as 1 ex: officio and 9 nominated members, whereas there were 5 ex: officio and 5 nominated members in that panchayat on the 31st March 1894, according to statement XVI-A for 1893-94 and no changes

changes in the personnel of that panchayat are shown in statement XVI-B, as having occurred in the year under report.

(29) The classification of the members of the following panchayats at the beginning of the year, as shown in columns 3 to 6 of statement XVI-B differs from that at the close of the preceding year, as given in columns 7 to 12 of statement XVI-A for 1893-94

	On the 31st March 1894			On the 1st April 1894		
	Ex: officio Members.	Official Members	Others.	Ex: officio Members	Official Members	Others.
1. Pyápali.	2.	".	8.	1.	2.	7.
2. Maddikera.	2.	".	8.	1.	1.	8.
3. Gudur.	2.	".	8.	1.	".	9.
4 Kodumuru.	2.	1.	7.	2.	".	8.

(30) In column 2 of the same statement (XVI-B) the maximum number of members sanctioned for the markápur Panchayat is given as 9 instead of 10.

(31)

(31). The general ~~fund~~ receipts of the District Board entered in column 2 of statement XVIII ~ should have excluded the allotments to Taluk Boards, as required in the Note marked *, appended to the statement

(32). In para.6 of the President's report, the number of vacancies which occurred on the panchayats during the year is given as 23, whereas according to statement XVI-B there were 22 vacancies.

(33) In the same paragraph it is stated that the private scavenging system continued in force in the Nandyal union, but no mention of this system having been adopted there was made in the reports for the previous year.

(34). In the abstract appended to para.7 the debt head balance at the close of 1894-95 is stated to be composed of Rs.1,981 under the District Fund and Rs 263 under Taluk funds instead of Rs 2,078 under the former and Rs 166 under the latter ~~funds~~ according to the details furnished in statements I-A and I-B.

(35). In the same paragraph it is stated that the private scavenging system was in force in the Nandyal union, but from column 20 of statement III-B it appears that a sum of Rs.6 was collected as fees on this account in Markapur.

(36). In the same paragraph the balance in favour of the local boards at the close of 1893-94 is stated to have been 22 per cent of the current receipts instead of 27 per cent.

(37)

(37). The contribution from the
(31). District fund to the Kumbum
the Taluk Board sanctioned in
colu G.O. dated 12-11-94, No. 23252
sha Mis, is Rs 9,400 and not Rs 12,040
alc as given in the same paragraph
as (38). In para. 9 a sum of Rs. 5,809
*, is stated to have been collected
(32 out of the lease amount for
re tolls instead of Rs 5,757, as
w shown in column 5 of statement V.
y (39). In para 34 the total net
outlay on hospitals and dis-
pensaries in 1894-95 is given
as Rs. 18,300 instead of Rs. 18,132

2. The necessary corrections have been made with reference to clauses (1), (3) to (6), (11), (13), (15) to (19), (22), (23), (25), (30), and (31) of the preceding paragraph. The discrepancies noticed in clauses (7), (14), (20), (21), (26) to (29), (32), (33) and (35) should be explained

* in G.O. dt 12-11-94, no. 23192-

explained and the omission pointed out in clauses (2) & (9) should be supplied as soon as possible.

3. Notwithstanding the remarks in para. 3 of the Government Memorandum dated 27-9-95, No. 886, the statements submitted with the report have again been carelessly prepared. Great attention should be paid to this in future.

V.R
30/8/95

WF 6.9.95

Compared by
me 11/9/95

To The President, District Board,
Kurnool.

By A.M.
Dated 14/9/95

Points noticed in the Administration Rep
of the Kurnool Dt. Board for 1894-95 &
the accompanying Statements.

N
P.M.

1303
14-9-95.

No. 43

Enclosures.

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No.

KURNOOL,
District Board's Office,
Dated 23d Sept.r 1895.

FROM

C. M. MULLALY ESQUIRE,
PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
KURNOOL.

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT,
FORT SAINT GEORGE.

SIR,

With reference to Government memo-randum No. 1303 dated the 14th current, I have the honor to reply to the several points noticed therein seriatim.

(Clause 1) This is a misclassification in the accounts and will be noted.

(Clause 2) The details of 'miscellaneous Fees and Fines' amounting to Rs 1143.7.1 given in the Remarks column of statement I A may be altered as under:—

	Rs	As	P
Police fines	606.	14.	0
Pandal fees	123.	13.	7
Private scavenging fees	211.	2.	6
Process fees	25.	13.	0
360 13 -1			
Fees levied on market sites during festivals	175.	12.	0
	1143	7	-1

(Clause 3) Noted.

(Clause 4) The Inspector of Local Fund Accounts in his letter No. L.F.I.A/368 dated 31st January 1895 stated that salaries of Masters of Primary Schools included all payments made to them either by results or school fees, the distinction having been done away with. Hence the figures in the Treasury Account were shown lumped together and the Statement I B was as usual made to tally with the account.

(Clause 5) Noted. This is due to a misclassification in the accounts.

(Clause 6) In columns 11 and 12 of the form of statement XIV prescribed in G.O. No. 2292 dated 5th December 1888, the term "village site improvement" is explained as including "laying out streets". Hence the expenditure of Rs 1185 was shown under "Sanitation". Clear instructions are solicited.

(Clause 7) Please alter the entry against the Nandyal Union "185 Rs Private scavenging fees" to "Rs 211 Private scavenging fees" with reference to reply to clause (2).

(Clause 8) Noted.

(Clause 9) Deducting Rs 26 transferred to 'Private scavenging fees' (Nandyal Union) as per replies to clauses (2) and (7) supra, details of the 'Sundry receipts' amounting to Rs 38 are as follows:-

	Rs
Sale of bamboos and tatties etc	5
___do___ sweepings etc	18
___do___ mofo	15

(Clause 10) It was included in the sum of Rs 32 shown as "Sale proceeds of manure" instead of as "Rs 30 sale of saline earth" and "Rs 2 sale of manure".

(Clause 11) Noted

(Clause 12) The remark is not understood. No such details appear to have been given in column 12 of the statement. Clear instructions are requested.

(Clause 13) Noted

(Clause 14) Statement III furnishes details for Rs 23 (Rs 6 under 'Original works' and Rs 17 under 'Repairs') and not only for Rs 6 which was incurred on constructing a hut at the Dhone toll gate and should have

also been shown in column 6 of statement V (Clauses 15, 16 and 17) Noted.

(Clause 18) In the absence of any instructions to the effect only the average cost per pupil in each class of schools was given as required in the heading of the column in the form prescribed for statement IX in G.O. No. 859L dated 8th May '88.

(Clause 19) The expenditure having been incurred on the original construction of the Choultry, it was doubtful whether the amount should be shown in column 12 of statement XI before the choultry actually came into existence; however the expenditure was noted in the 'Remarks' column of the statement.

(Clause 20). The difference is due to Rs 709 travelling allowance, which was treated as a fixed charge.

(Clause 21) The number of births given in the Report and in the statement as taken from the Death and Birth Registration Department of the Collector's office is correct. The figure given by the District Medical and Sanitary Officer in his concise report appears to be wrong and may therefore be corrected accordingly.

(Clause 22) The Office copy of the statement clearly shows 43.

(Clause 23) Noted. The column in which the amount was shown is headed "Other objects to promote the safety, health, comfort and convenience of the rural population"; hence this amount was shown therein.

(Clause 24) Noted

(Clause 25) Noted

(Clause 26) Mr. Laybourne first took 3 months Privilege leave (vide Gazette dated 15th January '95). He was subsequently transferred (vide Gazette dated 26 March '95). The President Taluk Board will be requested to explain why he reported the date of transfer as 9th April 1895.

(Clause 27) The strength of the Unions as shown in statement XVI A is correct; column 11 to 13 of statement XVI B may

be corrected accordingly. corrected accordingly

(Clauses 28 and 29) The discrepancies noted are due to more correct classification having been adopted in the preparation of statements XVI A and B for 1894.95.

(Clause 30) The figure 9 was a clerical error for 10.

(Clause 31) Noted.

(Clause 32) The word "occurred" in para 6 of the report was a mistake for "were"; for there were actually 23 vacancies in that year which were all filled up within the year, though one of them occurred during the previous year. corrected accordingly

(Clause 33) The private scavenging system was in force in Nandyal during the year 1893.94 also. It will be seen from column 17 of statement I A of 1893.94 that there were collections under this head; but it was not stated in the report for that year that the system was then in force in that Union.

(Clause 34) It is not understood how Rs 2078 and Rs 166 under the District and Taluq funds respectively have been arrived at. According to the details furnished in statement I B and the Supplemental Treasury Account for March 95, the figures given in the report are correct and were arrived at as under:-

		District funds		Taluq funds
Opening balance on 1st April 1894		15	-	230
Receipts		2306	-	169
	Total	2291	-	399
Charges		310	-	136
		Rs 1981	-	263.

81 - 134
2306 - 169
2387 303
310 136
2077 167

(Clause 35) The private scavenging system was in force only in the Nandyal Union as stated in the report. The entry of Rs 6 in St. No. II B. as private scavenging fees is a mistake for "Sale proceeds of manure" corrected accordingly

(Clause 36) Noted.

(Clause 37) Rs 12,040 shown as contribution to the Cumbum Taluq board out of the total allotment of Rs 14,592 to the Taluq boards funds is correct. It comprises Rs 9,400 referred to in G.O. No. 2325 L

dated 12th November 1894. and Rs 2640 the amount of allotment provided for in the Budget — and given to the above Taluq board. The G.O. above quoted did not cover the latter amount. (Clause 38) Noted.

(Clause 39) Rs 18,300 being the total net expenditure on Hospitals and Dispensaries during 1894-1895 appears to be the correct figure as per column 4 of statement XII. It is not known how Rs 18,132 was arrives at.

2. I have the honor to state that great care was taken in the preparation of the statements but trifling errors such as have been pointed out are very likely to occur when dealing with a large number of small items. I therefore respectfully request that you will be pleased to reconsider the remark made in para 3 of the Government memorandum under reply.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

President D.B.

This have been hastily done for want of care in direction. Please see last para. of memo. in ofs. 2319/C. 12.11.94 — other Boards prepare them without all these mistakes & careless nesses — The remark is of course directed against Mr. Mullaly's office, not against Mr. Mullaly. No one can foresee that he makes out the figures himself

Mr.

L... Municipal Dept.
1895. No. 28012

Kurnool District Board's Office.

From

C. M. MULLALY ESQUIRE,

President, District Board,

KURNOOL.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,

Local and Municipal Department,

MADRAS.

Dated 23d September 1895.
Received 30 SEP 95

No. 43.

Enclosures.

Subject.

Submitting reply to Govt. Memorandum Dr. 1303 dt 14.9.95 and requesting a reconsideration of the remarks made in para 3 thereof.

[5]
1,000—17-11-93.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT

Papers to be read in Proceedings :—

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Current No. 28012 of 1895, dated 23rd Sept. 1895 from the President, D.C. Board, Kurnool.

Order. No 2058 dated 28-10-95

Recorded with the following remarks:—

(1) In Statement I-B the amount paid as result stipends to masters of Boards' Salary result schools should be shown against the separate head provided for it, though it may be included under Salaries in the Treasury accounts.

(2) The cost of constructing and repairing village streets should be debited to Grant I, Communications and not to Grant III, Sanitation. The necessary correction should be made in the heading of columns 11 and 12 of the form of Statement XIV appended to G.O. No. 2290 L. Local & Mun. dated 5th Dec. 1888.

(3) The details which are stated in para 1 (12) of the Govt. Mems. No. 1303 Local & Municipal dated 14th Sept. 1895 to be necessary are the quantities and values of each description of work given in the last column of Statement III.

(4) In Statement I-B the travelling allowance paid to Deputy Inspectors of Vaccination, &c. should be shown under "contingent charges" and not under "Fixed charges."

(5) Statement XIV is intended to show only the charges relating to Sanitation.

(6)

(6) The explanation referred to in the President's reply to clause 2 (6) of the Govt. memo. under reply will be awaited.

(7) According to columns 328 to 330 of Appendix V to the General & Local Fund Review for 1893-94 the opening balance under Debt head on 1st April 1894 was Rs. 215 (Rs. 81 under District and Rs. 134 under Taluk & Union funds) and not Rs 215 (minus Rs. 15 under District and Rs. 230 under Taluk & Union funds) as given in the President's reply to clause (34) of the Govt. memorandum referred to.

(8) The sum of Rs. 18132 which was stated to be the net expenditure on hospitals and dispensaries in 1894-95 was arrived at as follows:— in clause 39 of the Govt. memo. above quoted

	Rs	
Gross outlay on hospitals & dispensaries according to col: 14 of Statement XII		18300
Deduct		
Sale proceeds of medicines		143
" " empty bottles, old materials, &c.		25
Net outlay		18132

2. The Government seem no reason to reconsider the remark referred to by the President in para. 2 of his letter.

I. M. 13.10.95
22/10/95

The standard of care & accuracy in the matter in this District has been considerably below the average for three years in succession.

To Le President, Dt 18th Kurnool
Cuddr 30/10/95

Explanation of the Govt to the Govt memo regarding the points referred to in the Statement submitted with the Govt Report for 1894-95

2801/L

L2058

When given for copying ____
When copied ____
When examined ____
When signed ____
} 189.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189, DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.							
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.							
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.