[L. & M.
9,000-8-8-96.]

Local & Munl. (1895) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 2047 L.

DATED 25 Oct 1895

[Despatch Abstract.]

Administration Report.

Recording, with remarks, explanation of points noticed in the ----- of the Nellore District Board for 1894-95.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Miscellaneous.

Local and Municipal Dept.

G.O., dated 25-10-95
No. 2047 L

INDEXED.

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Administration Report

Recording with remarks. Explanation of points noticed in the ——— of the Nellore Dist. Bd. for 1894-95.

481

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189 , No , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.-
A — Copy in full.
B — Not to be copied.
P — Copy abstract only.
Q — Copy heading only.

BACK PAPER, No. of 189

FURTHER PAPER, No. of 189

Copied by

Examined by

The figure under this head in col 9 of statt I-A is only Rs 10-

Read Cur no 1970 L

O.M dy 21-8-95 No. 1170

The following points are noticed in the President's and the District Medical officers reports and in the statements submitted with the former:-

(1) In columns 9 and 10 of statement I-A, the sum of Rs 40, which from Column 20 of statement II-B, appears to represent certain advances recovered by Union Panchayats, has not been credited under Miscellaneous Debt accounts.

(2) In Columns 9 and 10 of statement I-B, the quit rent of Rs 9 paid on account of the Dugarazpatnam endowed Choultry has not been debited to the head "Choultries"

(3) In Columns 11 to 13 of statement IV-A, the mileage of all the roads actually maintained during the year should have been shown, and not only that of the roads which were paid for within the year, as appears ~~to be the case~~ from para 3 of the President's report to have been done.

(4) The Current Collections under

tolls shown in Column 6 of statement VIII should have excluded the excess collection of Rs 35 appearing in Statement D, and the current balance should have been entered as Rs 2, instead of Rs 33, in Column 11.

(5) The total cost and the net cost of the sessional schools at Kanigiri and Addanki shown in Columns 20 and 21, respectively, of statement IX have not been correctly calculated.

(6) The attendance on the last day of the year at the Secondary School at Venkatagiri, which was aided with Salary Grants, has not been given in Columns 6 and 7 of Statement X.

(7) In Column 27 of statement XIV, the water pandal and lighting charges are erroneously included under "Other Sanitary outlay".

(8) In Column 9 of statement XVI-A, the number of elected members on the District Board on the last day of the year is given as 16, whereas according to Column 2 of statement XVI it was 15 and this difference appears also in Columns 10, 12, and 14 of the former statement.

(9) In Column 11 of statement XVI-A, the number of officials on the Atmakur Union Panchayat is given as one, whereas according to Column 7 there were three members ex officio.

(10) In Columns 4 and 5 of Statement XVI-B, the numbers of nominated officials and non officials on the Kottapatnam Union Panchayat at the commencement of the year are given as 1 and 5, respectively, but according to Columns 12 and 13 of the statement recorded in G.O. of 29th May 1894 No 943 L. they should be nil and 6 respectively.

(11) In Columns 12 and 13 of Statement XVI-B the numbers of nominated officials and non officials on the Kavali Union Panchayat on the 31st March 1895 are given as 1 and 5, respectively, but according to Columns 7, 11 and 12 of statement XVI-A, they were

nil and 6. respectively

(12) In column 15 of Statement XVI-B information should have been furnished as to whether resignations were obtained from the Sub Registrar of Addanki and the Hospital Assistant of Kottapatnam on their transfer to other localities. - Vide foot note (3) of the Statement.

(13) In column 19 of statement XVII. the house tax collected in the Unions under the Atmakúru Taluk Board is given as Rs 1128, but the total of the details furnished amounts to Rs 948.

(14) The submission of the statements marked A. B. C. D. E and H, was unnecessary

~~(15) In para 55 of the President's report the numbers of boys and girls in the aided schools are given as 7210 and 1357 respectively, but according to columns 6 and 7 of statement X they were 7282 and 1285 respectively.~~

(15)

(15) ~~(17)~~ In para 58 of the same report and para 27 of the medical officer's report, the total number of patients treated at the Local Fund hospitals and dispensaries in 1893-94 is given as 81,679, which represents the number of out patients only.

(16) The figures relating to vaccination in 1894-95, given in para 65 of the President's report, which were apparently intended to represent the work done by Local Fund Vaccinators, include the work of the medical subordinates also.

~~(18) In para 65 the Statistics of vaccination work done by Local Fund Vaccinators in 1894-95 includes the number of operations performed by medical subordinates~~

(17) In para 70 of the same report and para 20 of the Medical officer's report, the total number of successful vaccine operations performed in the district in 1892-93 is given as 35,605 instead of 35,722 as in para 4(4) of G.O. dt 11th October 1893 No 2236 L.

(18) In para 14 of the Medical officer's report, it is stated that a tank watchman was employed in only one village in the district, but according to Statement XIV and para 72 of the President's report

There were tankwatchmen in three villages.

(19) In para 62 of the President's report, it is stated that a midwife was posted to the Krishnápatnam dispensary during the year, but in para 36 of the Medical Officer's report the name of the place is given as Muthukúr.

(20) The paragraphs of the Medical Officer's report have not been numbered.

2. The necessary corrections have been made with reference to clauses (4), (5), (7) and (20) of the preceding paragraph. The discrepancies noticed in clauses (8), to (11), (13), (18), and (19) should be explained and the omission referred to in clause (6) should be supplied as soon as possible.

3. The report and the accompanying statements have not been carefully prepared although ~~notwithstanding~~ a ~~the~~ remark was made upon the point in para 3 of Govt. Memorandum× of 24th September 1894 No 870.

V.R.
6/8/95

13.8.95

× in G.O. of 30-10-94 No 2221 L.

Draft. 21/8/95

To The Presdt. Dt. Bd. Nellore

Ptom

Points noticed in the Nellore Local Fund Report for 1894-95

N
O.M

2 Dt. Sch. one resigned
2. Mst. ... in Nellore town.

[illegible] has to [illegible] up as soon as [illegible] showing [illegible] utility [illegible] with the [illegible] Secretary not made.

NELLORE COLLECTOR'S OFFICE.
12th September 1895.

NO 1294/D63

From K. C. Mamanedam Rajah Esquire
President, District Board, Nellore.

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 2709 L

To the Chief Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Department
Madras.

Sir.

With reference to Govt memorandum No 1170 dated 21 August 1895, I have the honor to reply as follows.

on the administration report for 1894-95

Clause (1) The figures given in Statement IA (which are as per Supplemental Treasury Account for March 1895) are correct, and they include the sum of Rs 40 in question.

i.e. Rs 40 are included in Rs 10. The latter figure is therefore apparently the total of many figures of which some are negative.

Clause (2) The figures are given as per supplemental Treasury account for March 1895 in which the sum of Rs 9 referred to was shown under miscellaneous endowed institutions as in previous years. The same classification was also adopted in the general

S. S. N. Press. [24-5-95.]

general review of 1892-93. The Treasury Deputy Collector has been requested to debit this charge to choultries in future in accordance with the instructions now given

Clause (3) The actual number of miles for which payment has been made was shown in the Statement to arrive at the correct averages in the Statement. If the total number of miles actually maintained is given, then the cost of repairs in Columns 14-16 which is the amount actually paid within the year will not correctly represent the actual cost of maintaining the number of miles in Columns 11-13 and therefore the averages given in Column 17-19 cannot be correct.

Clause (4) The Fees Collections were shown as in previous years. — The instructions now issued will be noted

noted in future.

Clause (5) The calculations are correct according to the Office Copy. If there is any mistake, it may probably be in Copying.

Clause (6) This School was transferred to the private management of the Rajah in 1893-94. Information about the number of boys and girls in that School was not furnished in Statement No IX of that year vide remark entered in it. This School was not shown in any of the Columns in Statement X of 1894-95 except in Columns 15 and 16, in which the amount of grant was shown so as to make the figures tally with those in the Treasury Account. The Assistant Inspector of Schools has been requested to furnish this information and it will be submitted as soon as received.

but it shd have been furnished.

Clause (7) The headings for Columns 26 and 27 of Statement No XII, would, it was supposed, Cover these items and as their inclusion in the same Columns in Statement No XIV of the previous year was not objected to, the same procedure was adopted this year also.

Clauses (8, 9 & 11.) These three discrepancies will be explained on receipt of some information Called for from the Atmakur Taluk Board President.

Clause (10) The figures given in Statement XVI B are Correct. Those entered in the Statement recorded in the G. O. quoted appear to be incorrect. The Ongole Taluk Board President reports the same figures in both the statements

Clause (12) Footnote No 3 does not require that Column 15 should Contain this information.

yes

Clause (13) The total of Rs 1128 is Correct, according to the details in the Office Copy. A sum of Rs 357 seems to have been entered in the fair Copy against the Kavali Union instead of Rs 537 which is the Correct figure.

Clause (14) These Statements were being submitted for the past several years, and there are no instructions that they should be discontinued.

why?

Clause (15) The figures represent only the out patients. This relates to 1893-94. The report and Statements of 1894-95 were prepared with reference to those of 1893-94 and the review of Government thereon. This will be noted in future.

This is as [illegible]

Clause (16) The figures of 1894-95 were given as per Statement No XIII (new form) supplied this year by the Superintendent

Superintendent of Government Press. This statement which relates to pure vaccine establishment includes the figures of the medical subordinates also. It was not the case with the statements submitted in previous years.

The figures are given separately

Clause (17) The figure '35,605' reported in para 70 of my report is correct. The District Surgeon's figure has been 35,605 in 1892-93 as well as in 1893-94 and 1894-95 vide his reports embodied in G.Os. Nos. 2236 L dated 11th October 1893, & No. 1961 L dated 4 October 1894, and his report for 1894-95. The figure 35,722 reported in 1892-93 and referred to in the above G.O. appears to be incorrect. ✓

Clause (18) The number reported in para 72 of my report is Correct. The District Surgeon's figure is wrong. ✓

Clause (19) The statement in para

63

62 of my report is correct. The dispensary is generally called "Krishnapatam dispensary" vide current years Budget. The District Surgeon called it "Muttukur", as the dispensary is actually located in the latter village. ✓

Clause (20) The District Surgeon has been requested to number the paragraphs of his reports in future. ✓

2 With reference to para 3 of the Government memorandum under reply, I beg to submit that this Office is not in fault in any of the cases except in those noted in Clauses 8, 9 & 11 besides one or two mistakes of a trifling nature in fair copying. The number of objections in 1893-94 was 39 and in 1894-95 it was only 20. There was no remark in 1892-93 when there were 24 objections. It will be observed that the explanations

given

in para 1 will reduce the number (20) by about a fourth or a fifth.

3. I further beg to state that the remark made in para 3 of the Government Memorandum of 24 September 1894 is not now in force against this Office as it has been Considerably modified by Clause (5) of G. O. No 2221 L mis dated 30 October 1894.

in GO 2221 L / 30-10-94

I therefore respectfully beg to submit that this Office does not, in my humble opinion, deserve the remark in para 3 and that my explanation may be Considered by the Government when reviewing the reports of the several districts.

In the General Review

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
P Sitaham
Vice President for
President

Cobdly
B
Conbdly H

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 2709L

From the Collector and President,

District Board, Nellore.

TO The Chief Secretary to Government
Local & Municipal Department
Madras

Dated 12th September 1895

No. 1294 17 SEP 95

Subject.

Submitting replies to the points noticed by Govt. in the Administration Report & Statements for 1894-95.

[D. B. 22-12-92.] S. R. V. PRESS.

25/9 A22/10

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Current No. 2709L of 1895, dated 12th September from the President, District Board, Nellore.
Current No. of 189 , dated from the

Order. No. 2047 Mis., 25-10-95.

Recorded with the following remarks:—

(1) In columns 14 to 16 of Statement IV-A, the cost of repairing all the roads actually maintained during the year should be given, with a note that so much of it remained undisbursed at the close of the year.

(2) The information promised by the President with reference to clauses (6) (8) (9) and (11) of para 1 of the Government Memorandum under reply should be expedited.

(3) In columns 26 and 27 of Statement XIV nothing besides the particulars of the expenditure under Grant III (c) Sanitation (5) other Sanitary outlay, should be given, as the statement is intended to exhibit only the details of the works undertaken for the improvement of Sanitation.

Explanation of points noticed in the Administration report of the Nellore District Board for 1894-95

(4) The explanation furnished by the President on para 1(12) of the Government Memorandum under reply is not convincing ~~satisfactory~~, as under footnote (2) of Statement XVI.B, the cause of vacancy in each case should be given in the last column (15) of the statement and as stated in footnote (3), official members of union panchayats do not vacate their seats by reason of their transfer to other localities.

(5) The submission of the statements marked A, B, C, D, E and F, should be discontinued in future.

(6) The explanation furnished by the President on para 1(16) of the Government Memorandum under reply is not adequate ~~satisfactory~~, as the figures relating to the work done by Medical Subordinates are separately given even in the ~~new~~ XIII form of statement XIII.

(7) The President's request in para 3 of his letter will be noted.

I.R.
13/10/95

W 22.10.95

1st Asst. It is somewhat puzzling to Dt. Bd. clerks when the office changes its policy in these matters, as it appears to have done in the several cases here.

Please exercise the greatest care in these things. I know from experience how annoying it is.

W 22.10.95

To
The President, District Board,
Nellore.

Desp. 29/10/95

When given for copying ______
When copied ______
When examined ______
When signed ______ } 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT NO.

ENCLOSURES TO C. NO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.