

Local & Munl. (1895) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1924 m

DATED 21st November 1895.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Bridge.

Awaiting the report of the Collector of Madura on the subject of the construction of a ----- over the Varahanadi above its junction with the Pambar.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Miscellaneous.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPT.
G.O., DATED 21-11-95
No. 1924. m.

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Bridge

awaiting the report of
the Collector of Madura, on
the subject of the construction
of a — over the Karahavadi
above its junction with the
Pambas.

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189 No MISCELLANEOUS.

FURTHER PAPER, No.

of 189

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not pagged).

N.B.

- A - Copy in full.
- B - Not to be copied.
- P - Copy abstract only.
- Q - Copy heading only.

Copied by

Examined by

Proceedings of the Municipal Council
Periyakulam dated 20th September 1895

Present:—

M. R. R. M. Zulappa Pillai Auzhal, Chairman.

V. P. Rajanathiyar Auzhal, Councillor

" J. G. Muthuswami aijar Auzhal, "

" S. Saundaram Pillai Auzhal, "

" Ramaswami Chetty Gari, "

" D. U. Subbiah Pillai Auzhal, "

" K. Arumachellam Chettiar Auzhal, "

" Vijayaminiyaswami Naidu Gari, "

170. Read letter from the Superintending
Engineer VI Circle no 481 m. d. dated
3rd September 1895 stating the cost of
Constructing two bridges one over
the Varahanadi and the other over
the Pambar is more than that
originally estimated; that the
cost of constructing one bridge —
below the junction of the Varahanadi
and the Pambar is about half the
cost of bridging the two rivers —
separately.

Separately; that for the purpose of
~~Communication~~ Communication
between Thenkarai and Vadayar.

the construction of a suspension
bridge will do and requesting to
let him know the views of the
Municipal Council on the proposal.
Read Mahazar from Mr. A. M. M. M.
Chettiar and others stating that
for the reasons stated therein, the
construction of a masonry bridge
over the Varahanadi above its junction
with the Pambur is indispensably
necessary and that with a view
to reduce the cost, the bridge may
be constructed either at Nandoo
Thinnu Medu or at a few feet above
the junction of the two rivers.

Resolution:—The Council considers
that two bridges one over the
Varahanadi and the other over
the

26.9.95

Municipal Council
Periyakulam

Copy of the resolution
of the Municipal
Council No 170
20.9.95

Municipal Office
No 215 Periyakulam
226 September Municipal
From, Mr R. R. M. Sathappa Pillai
Chairman, Municipal Council,
Periyakulam
To, The Chief Secretary to Government
of Madras
Local and Municipal Department
Through the Subcollector and the Collector of
Madras
Sir,
In forwarding herewith copy
of the resolution of the Municipal Council
No 170
20-9-95, I have the honor to report
that the construction of two masonry
bridges, one over the Varahanadi and the
other over the Pambar, is indispensably
necessary. The construction of a suspension
bridge over the Varahanadi for the
purpose of communication between the
parts of the town on either banks
will not be of much use. In G.O.,
dated 4th September 1894 No 1687 L. Mis.
the
In

the Government has approved and
Sanctioned the proposal of the Municipal
Council and the Chief Engineer P.W.D.
to construct a pukka bridge over
the Varahanadi above its junction
with the Pambar. ^{for reasons stated therein} A contribution of
Rs 4000 from Municipal funds for the
purpose was sanctioned in G.O. dated
23rd July 1894 No 1345 L. Mis. Now the
objection to construct two masonry
bridges, one over the Varahanadi and
the other over the Pambar, is based on
increase of cost expected by the
Superintending Engineer. To meet a
portion of the increase the Council
proposed to raise their contribution
to Rs 10000 by raising toll rates and
tax on carts from half to three
fourths of the maximum rates. The
sums of Rs 1000 and Rs 300 are ex-
pected more than the ordinary
receipts from tolls and carts respectively.

In consideration of the advantages of the bridge to the townsman and outside public, it is but fair to raise the rates on carts and tolls. I request therefore that Government will be pleased to adhere to its order.

the consideration of the advantages of the bridge to the townsmen and outside public, it is left for the Council to decide.

I request therefore that Government will be pleased to refer to the Council the

1887-88. 4-9-94
proposal of the Council to raise the rate of tax on carts and tolls and thereby contribute Rs. 10,000 for the bridge.

I am, Sir, very respectfully,
Your most obedient servant,
J. S. Chatterjee

the consideration of the advantages of the bridge to the townsmen and outside public, it is left for the Council to decide.

I request therefore that Government will be pleased to refer to the Council the

1887-88. 4-9-94
proposal of the Council to raise the rate of tax on carts and tolls and thereby contribute Rs. 10,000 for the bridge.

I am, Sir, very respectfully,
Your most obedient servant,
J. S. Chatterjee

I am about to make a representation to the Council regarding the repair of the bridges very shortly as President of the District Board and meantime would ask that the construction of the bridge be

Forwarded to the Council for consideration and approval. I am, Sir, very respectfully,
Your most obedient servant,
J. S. Chatterjee

CHITRAL No 4123 of 1895
 Date 30-9-95

The Chairman
 Municipal Council
 Pudukkottai

To
 The Chief Secretary
 to Government
 of Madras
 Local & Ind. Deptt

Through The Sub Collector
 & Collector
 of Madura

No 215
 Dated 26-1-
 Dispd so / September
 said 1895

Enclosed are - and

28 SEP 95
 SUB COLLECTOR

3 Bridges

Requesting sanction to
 repair the ruins and
 tolls & tax on carts
 and thereby contribute
 Rs 10,000 for the construction
 of a masonry bridge
 over the Varahanada
 river above its junction
 with the Pambas river

Communication and if
 the Municipal Council
 presents proposals of
 sufficient funds being
 sanctioned I strongly
 recommend that the
 two bridges may be
 built. But the cheaper
 alternative scheme would
 be a great deal better
 than the present state of
 things. I believe there is
 another proposal under
 consideration of the
 Executive Engineer, which
 is to have a causeway on
 brick bridge instead of
 the suspension bridge.

M. S. S. S.

By Sub Collector
 30-9-95

200 4250 of 1895

Forwarded.

2. So far as I am aware the sub-
 stitution of one bridge for two
 has been arranged by the Public

Works Department solely on the
 ground of economy without con-
 sulting the Local Authorities.

I am about to make a representation
 with regard to these and the
 Tinian Bridges very shortly as
 President of the District Board,
 and meantime would ask that
 the construction of the Bridge should
 be postponed.

Madura }
 26.10.95 }
 Dy. Secy.
 Ag. Collector.

main road and the bridge over the Varahanadi would be in the heart of the town and of the maximum use. I understand however that the cost of the bridge over the Varahanadi would be very great as there are special difficulties in construction. I believe the proposal of the Public works department authorities is to build a single bridge outside the town below the junction of the two rivers. Such a bridge would prevent the main road being blocked but would be of much less use to connect the two halves of the town than a direct bridge over the Varahanadi at the present ford.

4. For the convenience of the people of Periyakulam I believe the Public works department officers propose to construct a suspension bridge for foot passengers over the Varahanadi to connect Tenkarai & Vadagurai. During floods carts would have to go round to the bridge below the junction. But floods do not last long and at other times carts could cross the ford as at present.

5. The two masonry bridges over the present fords would undoubtedly be the best means of communication.

3. 10. 95

Forwarded

2. The correspondence alluded to in the Chairman's letter is not before me. But I believe the circumstances to be as follows. The bridge or bridges are to serve a double purpose. They are primarily to prevent the main road from Summaya near Keer & Ballagundu through Periyakulam to Koduvakur and other places in the Kambam Valley being blocked in the wet season by the Pambay & Varahanadi rivers.

The bridge over the Varahanadi is also to connect Tenkarai & Vadagurai the two halves of Periyakulam town which lie on opposite sides of the Varahanadi & which have no means of communication with each other when the river is in flood.

3. Undoubtedly the best plan would be to build two masonry bridges at the two places where the road now crosses the river. It is the shortest route for the main

Section 19

Local & Municipal Dept.
DEPARTMENT.
CURRENT No. 3243M

FROM
THE COLLECTOR, of
Madura

Encl on C. No. 4258 of 95
gent
ENCLOSURE 1.

Dated 26th }
Received " } October 1895.

No. 4
CHIEF SECRETARY
30 OCT. 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
SUBJECT.

Submitting, with remarks,
letter No 215 dated 26 September
1895 from the Chairman, Municipal
Council, Periyakulam, requesting
sanction to raise the rates on tolls
etc. to contribute Rs 10,000 for the
construction of a masonry bridge
over the Varahanadi river above
its junction with the Pambar River.

To, The Chairman, M.C. Periyakulam
" through the Collector of Madura
" Public Works Department
Sept 23/1895

Order No. 1924 Min. 21-11-95
The report promised by
the Collector in his endorse-
ment will be awaited.

V.R.
19/11/95
W.S.
26/11/95

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 189.
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTERS OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DIIGO.

PAPERS NOT FILD UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.