

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

INDEXED.
Miscellaneous.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPT.

G.O., DATED 20 - 11 - 95

No. 1919/m

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Ptition

Informing P. Abdul Qadir,
Cannanore, that his request
to confirm his election as
Councillor of the Cannanore
Municipal Council, cannot be
complied with.

Haji

see

to the

HEAD AND ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189, No. MISCELLANEOUS.

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 119

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 189

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.-

- A - Copy in full
- B - Not to be copied.
- P - Copy abstract only.
- Q - Copy heading only.

Copied by

Examined by

AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Municipal Dept.

CURRENT No. 3522 M

When
When
When
When

Re

From

P. Abdul Qadir Sahib,
Cannanore.

No.

Dated 5-11-95

Received 12-11-95

Enclosures

SUBJECT

Praying that his election as councillor
of the Cannanore Municipal Council may
be confirmed.

G.N.
Wise

Ardu No 1919 Mins. No. 11-95

The petitioner's
request cannot be complied
with.

P.A.
18/11/95

W.D.
22/11/95

Haji

see

to the

The Petitioner. 18/11/95

with order

15/11

a. 18.11.95

petitioner that the first election in which he came out
-cessful, without any sufficient reason.

And that his election may be confirmed looking
into all the records connected with the said election
of the 10th January 1895

For which act of kindness the petitioner
shall, as in duty bound, ever feel grateful

5th November 1895 } The humble petitioner
Cammarac } Dall: Kanchi: M. J. K. K.

When
When
When
When

READ

Haji

see

to the

intimidation was practised at the election and ordered
a fresh election should be held.

But there was no other evidence to prove that intimidation
was practised in the said election except a petition
from the unsuccessful Candidate Mr. Pakkima Hajji,
in several past elections. Several persons used to complain
and the government used to reject such complaints, the
petition of said Mr. Pakkima Hajji was to be accepted, the
required evidence ought to have been taken from the 36 votes
of the petitioner in particular, where as there was no rea-
-son for intimidation and it is extremely sorrowful to
the petitioner to see that Mr. Pakkima Hajji's petition was
believed without enquiring into who practised intimidation
and how.

That although a similar petition of complaint like that
of Mr. Pakkima Hajji, was signed by certain voters in the
presence of local village muncif and submitted to go-
-vernment it did not accepted.

That in the second election as ordered by government Mr.
Pakkima Hajji came out successful simply because
he prevailed upon the voters through some means or other
and because booth was selected in a place of his
relative's possession and the Council rejected a
petition from some of the voters to change the place of
booth on the ground that the said Mr. Pakkima
might buy some foul means, if it is held that
that it is greatly disgraceful and sorrowful

Pakkima

His Excellency the Governor & Council of
Madras-

The humble petition of Pallikkandi Abdul Kader
a resident in the Cannanore Municipality-
Most humbly and respectfully sheweth

That the petitioner was elected as a councillor in
the C ward of the Cannanore Municipality on the 10th January
1895. and Mr. Pakkima Hajie having and Mr. Munjappa
Vaidier were also Candidates for the same Councillor-
ship but were unsuccessful, Mr. Pakkima Hajie-
having 23 votes less than the petitioner and the
latter having no vote at all.

That Mr. Mamookoya Hajie was also elected
as a councillor for the D. ward on the same date and his
appointment was been gazetted on the Government
having confirmed the election,

That the greatest disappointment of the
petitioner nothing about his confirmation was
mentioned in the gazett above referred to and
that he be petitioned to the collector of Malabar
on the same subject on the 31st May 1895 and the
reply thereon is herewith submitted for the perusal
of the honourable members in which it is
stated that Government in its order dated 4th
May 1895 No. 768. In. Inis as set aside the
collection for the C. ward on the ground that
intimidation.

CHIEF SECRETARY
11-NOV. 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

3522 M

Read petition presented by Pallikandy
 Abdul Khadir of Cannanore dated 31st
 May 1885 stating that he was the suc-
 cessful candidate at the late election
 of a Councillor for the C ward of
 the municipality and requesting that
 his election may be confirmed and
 his name published in the Fort St.
 George Gazette.

Order dated 21st June 1885 No. 91th
 Dis.

Petitioner is informed that Government
 in its order dated 4th May '95 No. 768
 M. No. has set aside the election for
 the C ward on the ground that
 intimidation was practised at the
 election and ordered that a fresh
 election should be held.

J. Parasharao

For Collector

To,
 Petitioner.
 S.H.
 K. Qu
 — 88.

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____ } 189.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____
 189 , DATED _____

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT. _____

STATEMENT WITH DITTO. _____

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. —
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.