

Local & Munl. (1895) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1903 m

DATED 18th November 1895.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Main Intercepting Sewer.

Requesting the Sanitary Engineer, with remarks, to submit explanation for the faulty construction of the-----in the Cotacamund Municipality.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. 3055

Ootacamund Municipal Office,

31st October 1895.
Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT 3409 M

FROM

THE CHAIRMAN,

MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,

OOTACAMUND.

Enclosures nil

TO

The Honorable J. R. Price C.S.I.,
Chief Secretary to the Government,
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

With reference to Memorandum dated
the 29th October 1895, No. 1535, I have the honor to
report that Mr. T. V. V. the late Municipal
Engineer, is the officer under whose immediate
supervision the existing intercepting sewer was laid.

2. I am informed that Mr. V. V. is at
present employed under the Local Fund Board,
in South Arcot.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,
K. Ramaswami
Chairman.

all

1754 M. 25-10-95

Local & Municipal Dept. 1895.

PR. CURRENT No. 3409 M

From THE CHAIRMAN, Municipal Council, Ootacamund,

To The Chief Secretary to Government Local & Municipal Department Fort Saint George

No. 3055

Dated 31/10 Despatched 31/10 Received 31/10 October 1895.

ENCLOSURE.

CHIEF SECRETARY GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS 2 NOV. 1895

SUBJECT.

Main Intercepting Sewers.

Reporting that Mr. Philip Key, the late Municipal Engineer, is the officer under whose immediate supervision the existing was laid.

H. M. misc

Order. Recorded. 15/11/95

1. B 11/11/95

Note for orders.

Please see the notes and orders in Nos 1390 M d/24 August 1895 and 1754 M d/25 October 1895.

1- Mr. Key having left the service of the Municipality, it is submitted that no action can be taken with regard to his bad work. From the resolution of the M.C. of 24/9/95 in the linked file it appears that Mr. Key was working under the supervision of the San. Eng. who would therefore appear to be responsible.

W.D. 12/11/95

The real man responsible appears to have been Mr. Jones, who designed the work and from time to time supervised it: how was it that he did not find out what was wrong? He was the Engineering and not the Mechanical that was in

M 15/11/95

(with order) 7/11

2/8/11/95 13.1.26/95

Read again C. No 3409 M 31-10-95 from C.M.C. Ootacamund

M. H. Saint

rebo

From orders

Order No 1903 min. 18-11-95 Recorded.

The person who appears to have been really responsible for the faulty construction of the main Intercepting Sewer, is the Sanitary Engineer, Mr. Jones, who designed the work, & from time to time supervised it. He will now be requested to explain how it was that he did not find out what was wrong.

(from orders)

W.D. 16/11/95

16/11/95

To, The Sanitary Engineer

13.1.26/95

Obalaumund main Intercepting
Sewer.

3409m

From Orders.

C/S

1903m

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189.

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.