

Local & Munl. (1895-) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1888 L.

DATED 11th Oct 1895.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Administration Report.

Recording explanation of points noticed in the ---
of Chingleput District Board for 1894-95.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[7]

Miscellaneous.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPT.	
G.O., DATED	11 - 10 - 95
No.	18882

INDEXED.

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Administration Report

Recording explanation of
points noticed in the _____
of the Chief Constable Sir B. B.
for 1894-95.

✓

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189 . No . MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.-

- A - Copy in full
- B - Not to be copied.
- P - Copy abstract only.
- Q - Copy heading only.

Copied by
Examined by

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 119

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 189

1895.

FROM

THE PRESIDENT OF THE

DISTRICT BOARD,

CHINGLEPUT.

To

THE *Chief Secretary to*
Government
Local and Municipal Dept.
Madras

Dated *10/12/95* *Sept 12/1895*
13 SEP 95

Dis No. *760* *995*

SUBJECT.

Explaining the discrepancies
noticed in the Chingleput
District Board Report for
1894-95.

9
mis.

Order 11-10-95. No 1888

Recorded

V.A.
7/10/95

W. A. G.

Note. The current had to be delayed pending the news
from the Press the Report & review.

be corrected accordingly.
(2) As regards the discrepancy noticed
in clause 14 of para 1 of the same
Memorandum, the figure given
in the report Rs 33,549 is a clerical
mistake, the correct figure being
Rs 33,525. I request that the necessary
correction may be made in this
case also.

I have the honour to be
Sir,

Your most obedient servant
CH. Moseley

President, District Board

CC

Saidapet, 10th September, 1895.No. 760
2 of 1895

From

C. E. Mounsey Esquire
President of the District Board,

Chingleput.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Local and Municipal Department
Madras

Sir,

In reply to para 2 of the memorandum of Government No 1238 dated 2nd September 1895, I have the honour to state as follows:-

(1) As regards the discrepancy pointed out in clause (8) para 1 of the above memorandum, the figure (41,627) given in para 37 of my report includes the number born in the Coimbatore Municipality while the figure (39,980) given in the District Surgeon's report excludes it. The latter figure being the correct one, the figure given in my report may

be

Points noticed in the Administration Report of the District Board for 1894-95.

N.
S.M.

to union funds was met by a corresponding contribution from Taluk funds, but according to Statement II-B this does not appear to be correct.

(19) The details of the expenditure on Sanitation, given under Results in para. 3 of the District Medical and Sanitary Officer's report differ from those furnished in Statement XIV and in para. 38 of the President's report.

2. The necessary corrections have been made with reference to clauses (1), (3), ~~(4)~~ to (7) and (9) of the preceding paragraph; the discrepancies noticed in clauses (8) and (14) should be explained as soon as possible.

V.R.
7/8/95

M. S. S. S.

To the President, District Board, Chingleput.

Dupl-2/9/95

Statement the reasons for the re-appointment of officials other than medical subordinates have not been given.

(14) In para. 9 of the President's report the amount for which the toll-farms were leased out in 1893-94 is given as Rs. 33,549 instead of Rs. 33,525, as in the report for that year and in Statement VIII submitted with it.

(15) In the same paragraph the average net income from tolls for each mile of road maintained in 1894-95 is given as Rs. 48-12-6 instead of Rs. 48-11-3.

(16) In para. 33 the receipts of the Chingleput hospital, and the Said a-pet Dispensary are given as Rs. 1,407 and Rs. 307 respectively instead of Rs. 1,710 and Rs. 807, according to Columns 4 to 7 of Statement VI.

(17) In para. 43 the cost of maintaining each light in 1893-94 is given as Rs. 8-4-^{instead of Rs. 8-13-5} ~~whereas~~ according to the details furnished in para. 35 of the report for that year it was Rs. 8-13-5.

(18) In the same para it is stated that the whole expenditure on lighting debited

(8) In column 33 of Statement XIII and in para. 37 of the President's report the number of births in Local Fund areas is given as 41,627, whereas according to the table in para. 2 of the District Medical and Sanitary Officer's report it was 39,980.

(9) In Statement XIV the total under column 7 for the Chingleput Taluk Board is given as Rs. 398 instead of Rs. 388.

(10) In Statement XVI the dates of retirement of the members of Local Boards who lost their seats during the year have not been given (except in two cases), as required by para. 4(4) of G.O. 28-5-90, No. 1273 I.

(11) In the last column of Statement XVI-B the particular clause of Section 126 of the Local Boards Act under which M. R. R. Bhaskiyakarlu Nayudu was removed from the Sri-perumbudur Panchayat should have also been given.

(12) In columns 8 and 10 of the same statement the occupation of the non-official members has not been given.

(13) In the last column of the same

(a) (3) Miscellaneous Public Improve-ments.

(4) The sum of Rs. 4, being cholera charges incurred by the Taluk Board of Saidapet, which from note (4) to Statement XII appears to have been included in the sum of Rs. 3 shown as "Cholera charges" under Grant III, a, Hospitals in Statement I-B, should have been debited to Grant III, c, 5 Other Sanitary outlay - vide addendum No. 130 to the Local Fund Account and Audit Rules communicated with G.O. 24-6-92, No. 1487 I.

(5) In ^{the footnote appended to} Statement II-B, the expenditure on Lighting and Rewards for killing dogs is shown as Rs. 2,438 and Rs. 60 respectively instead of Rs. 2,439 and Rs. 59, respectively as in col: 9 of Statement I-B.

(6) In Statement V, the refund of the license fees for Tolls amounting to Rs. 195 has not been shown as a charge on account of Tolls.

(7) Statement X need not show the statistics relating to such of the departments of Schools as were not aided from Local Funds - vide para 2 of G.O. 8-5-88, No. 859 I.

O.M. 1238
2/7/95

The following points are noticed in the President's and the medical officers reports and in the statements submitted with the former.

(1) In Statement I-A the fishery rents amounting to Rs. 920, which are stated in para. 14(h) of the President's report to have been realized from the P.W.D. shoul, with reference to clause (1) of G.O. 2-4-95, No. 617 L. Mis., have been shown under 5. Miscellaneous, - Fishery Rents and not under 6. Public Works.

(2) In the same statement, fines imposed on proprietors of lands for delay in submitting accounts of land-cess should have been credited under Land-cess and not under "Fees and Fines," as would appear to have been done from para. 14(f) of the President's report.

(3) In Statements I-B, II-B and III, the expenditure of Rs. 175 incurred on the construction of drainage works in the Saidapet union shoul, with reference to clause 6, No. 19 of the Local Fund rules, have been debited to Grant III, C. Sanitation, (2) Improvement of village-site and not to Grant I,

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189.

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189 ,

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.-

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.