L. & M.
9,000 3-3-96.

Local & Munl. (1895) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1884 M

DATED 13th November 1895

[Despatch Abstract.]

Petition.

Informing T.R.Srinivasa Aiyangar and others of Srirangam that the Government sees no reason to interfere in the matter of the encroachment complained of------.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

---

[7]

Miscellaneous.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPT.

G.O., DATED 13th November 1895
No. 1884 M

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Petition

Informing T. R. Srinivasa Aiyangar and others of Srirangam that the Government cannot interfere in the Sanitary arrangements in the new market near Kallaracherry.

Read again Order of Government, dated 189 , No. , Miscellaneous.

Back Paper, No. of 189

Further Paper, No. of 189

Enclosures.

Current No.

Statement with ditto.

Order of Government

Statement with ditto.

Papers not filed up, being meant for despatch in original (not paged).

N.B.—
A — Copy in full
B — Not to be copied
P — Copy abstract only
Q — Copy heading only

Copied by

Examined by

Local & Municipal Dept.
Current No. 1185 M
30 MAR 1895

2

To

The Governor in Council
Fort St George
Madras.

May it please your excellency.

We, the undersigned Mahajanams of Srirangam, beg to submit to your excellency that a new house is being built in the West Chitra street Srirangam, of the district of Trichinopoly, by Mr T. R. Kuppusawmy Aiyangar, a first grade pleader of Trichinopoly bar, and a divisional Councillor in the first ward of Srirangam Municipality. As this gentleman is the divisional Councillor of the same portion, and Mr. Kodialam Seshadri Aiyangar, a friend and good relative of him, is the Chairman, he has the boldness to build the house without the least consideration of the public convenience. This new house is being built equal in extent to the Southern house which projects about four feet from the extent of the Northern neighbouring house. But it was customary in this Municipality that if a certain limit for a new house, be wanted, it should be judged from the shorter one of the adjoining houses on both the sides. So, the gentleman should build the new house equal in extent to the Northern one, as it is plain that the latter is the shorter of

(2)

the two. On questioning the present Chairman of this, he excuses himself by saying that it was sanctioned by one of his predecessors. But we, the common people, had no reason to know when it was sanctioned and how it was. It was only after the house was begun to be built with such a heavy encroachment, we came to know by mere sight at it. The encroachment is too heavy and unjust.

(2) A house in the Southern Chitra street Srirangam was built by Mr. Thotta Ramaswamy Chettiar, a member of the Municipal Council, Trichinopoly, a year back. He petitioned to the then Municipal Chairman for building the house equal in extent to the Western house which was about three feet more than the Eastern one. But this T.R. Kuppusawmy Aiyangar, a divisional councillor of the ward, objected and ordered the same to be built equal in extent to the Eastern one, on the ground that if a limit be wanted for a new house, it should be judged from the shorter one of the adjoining houses on both the sides. This very gentleman, who had issued such an order, has (in our opinion) forgotten to do the same in his own affair. This T. R. Kuppusawmy Aiyangar's new house spot was a waste land which had no limit

whatever, some seven or eight months back.

(3) This unjust act of the Councillor excites a feeling of prejudice in the minds of the neighbours. It is likely to retard the hitherto undisturbed progress of the big car which runs through the four streets on the eve of the festival, which is visited by the people in thousands from distant parts of the country who throng here during the hot season of May. Another inconvenience to the public, resulting from this encroachment is that the rain water will not be let run freely, but will be allowed to accumulate which might give rise to some fatal disease to those who live in the vicinity. How badly it speaks of the character of the same councillor, who, on a previous occasion, stood against an action of the same nature!

(4) A stamped petition, dated 27th February 1895, for the same subscribed by one hundred and twenty leading men has been submitted to the District Magistrate, which yet remains without any reply. We are really at a loss to understand on what plea the District Magistrate Keeps silence about an affair so grave and important which seriously concerns the public convenience

This kind of indifference towards the serious question, we have not the slightest reason, to expect even from your excellency.

We earnestly pray your excellency to issue such orders to the local authorities, as are calculated to redress this grievance and make the Councillor return to his sense of duty, that he should also follow the same principles which he staunchly advocates to the general public.

We most humbly beg to remain, your excellency's most obedient and humble servants, the petitioners.

29th March 1895.
Srirangam

1 T. R. Srinivasaiyangar West chittra Street Srirangam
2. S. Sashaiyengar
3. R. S. Sesha Aiyangar
4 Ananthachari
5 Sundararajachary
6 Srinivasachary
7 கிருஷ்ணய்யங்கார்
8 [illegible]
9 [illegible]
10 [illegible]
11 [illegible]
12 [illegible]
13 வரதராஜய்யங்கார்

Enclosure

[9] LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Local & Municipal Dept.
PR CURRENT No. 1185 M

Petition
From T. R. Srinivasa Aiyangar + other Mahajanams Srirangam

No.

Dated 29-3-95

Received 1-4-95

Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Bringing to the notice of Govt. the encroachment made on the west Chitra street Srirangam by the erection of a house by T. R. Kuppuswami Aiyangar a councillor of the Srirangam Municipality

1 | with endorsement

Munl. Councillors Office
Sreerangam

Enclosure 1
to
Letter No 225
20.9.95

TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY

No. 527 of 10-4-95

Referred to the Municipal Council, Srirangam, for report, which should be submitted through the Collector.

| By order

W Francis

Ag Assistant Secretary

V.R.
7/4/95

Fort St George
9th April 1895 }

To,
The Chairman, Municipal Council,
Srirangam.

8/4 Ag/4.

Srirangam, 9th Aug 95

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 2616 M

To

His Excellancy the Governor in Councils
of Fort St. George.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT 14 AUG 95

May it please your Excellancy,

The humble petition of T. R. Srinivasa aiyengar resident of Srirangam, District Trichinopoly respectfully showeth,

1. A petition dated 29th march 1895 has been submitted to your Excellancy signed by himself with about twelve others concerning the encroachment in the koradu of the house of Mr. T. R. Kuppu Sawmy aiyengar which is in construction in the West Chitra street Srirangam, District Trichinopoly. This petition has been refered for report by the Assistant Secretary in the ~~letter~~ Endorsement No. 527 of 10th April 1895 to the Srirangam Municipal Chairman. The Councillors of the said municipality have transferred the petition for enquiry to a sub. committee consisting of the three councillors Messrs. B. Rama Sawmy Aiyah garoo, S. Ramanuja aiyengar avl. and the Revenue Divisional officer of this place, in their meeting held on the 25th April 1895. The majority of this sub. committee have personally visited this spot twice or thrice. As yet, they are not possessed of any information whether they have submited the report to your Excellancy or not. The address

having been given in the last petition dated 29th march 1895, the request of your Excellency's humble subject, is to make him acquainted through a letter with the order passed thereon by your Excellency.

2. A stamped petition for the same dated 27th February 1896 has been submitted to the District Collector of Trichinopoly signed by about 120 residents of Srirangam, including himself, which was forwarded to the Stationery Sub. Magistrate of Trichinopoly through the Deputy Magistrate. They hear it reported that the Stationery Sub. Magistrate who has personally visited this spot twice has submitted his report ~~but~~ on the point. But they have not obtained any knowledge of what the District Collector has ordered thereon.

3. The Stationery Sub. Magistrate with the three Municipal Councillors of the Sub-committee have ~~had~~, during their visits to this spot, publicly expressed their opinion that it is an encroachment. It is ever harassing in the minds of the Mahajananies, how far the influence of Mr. T. R. Kuppusawmy Aiyengar, in his capacity as a Municipal Councilor as well as a First Grade Pleader at Trichinopoly, has exerted in their reports.

This

3. 4 This T. R. Kuppusawmy aiyengar,
has first put in a petition in the year 1891
to the Srirangam Municipality to give
him permission to build his Koradu as
he has done now; and they had complied
with his request. This being so, a second
petition to the same purpose was put in
in the year 1893, and the same favourable
order was passed thereon; and, ~~as they~~
hear, a third one too was submitted
in the year 1894 to the same purpose with
the same result. This procedure on the
part of the Gentleman gives the Mahajanams
much room to doubt that he, counting
upon the influence during these half-
a-dozen years, entertained an Idea
to encroach much more upon the
public road. Considering that the
request of the gentleman was complied
with even in the year 1891 and that he is
building his Koradu only during the current
year, everyone is prone to believe that he
might have thought that this would
serve him as an excuse for the encroach-
ment in the Koradu, which the granting of his
request necessitates.

5 The Mahajanams had no
reason to know that Mr. T. R. Kuppusawmy
Aiyengar had petitioned to the Municipality

to build his koradu in the year 1891 and that his request was granted in the same year. It is only after he has begun to erect his koradu that they came to understand that what he desired was sanctioned

6. Section 35 of act IV of 1884 entitles your Excellency and the District Collector to alter an order passed by ~~an~~ municipal council provided the execution of the said order gives room to obstruction, Breach of public peace or order etc. Here the building of this Koradu encroaches upon and so narrows the public street, forming thereby a hindrance to the passage of the annual Big temple Car. In spite of this it checks the free flow of rain-water which accumulates in the way of the neighbouring houses. It forms a breach of peace on this account that the requests of the neighbours to build their Koradus in a line with that of Mr. T. R. Kuppusawmy ayengar's were not granted by the municipality.

Under the above circumstances he humbly requests your Excellency to bring into consideration the undermentioned papers and pass an order to the District Collector of Trichinopoly to have a personal inspection over the spot and to order the encroached part of the koradu to be removed under Section 35 of the act IV of 1884.

Hoping a speedy justice rendered to the Mohajanams of Srirangam

The papers are:-

1. The petition to your Excellancy dated 29th march 1895
2. Report of the Municipal Councilors thereon
3. The petition to the District Collector of Trichinopoly dated 27th February 1895
4. The report of the Stationery Sub Magistrate thereon.

He begs to remain,
Your Excellency's most loyal and humble
subject,
V. R. Srinivasa Iyengar

[8]

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 2616 M

Petition
From

T. R. Srinivasa Aiyangar
Srirangam

COLLECTOR AND MAGISTRATE
7 OCT 95
TRICHINOPOLY

No.

Dated 9-8-95
Received 17-8-95
Enclosures

SUBJECT.

aying that the Collector of Trichinopoly may
ordered to have a personal inspection of
encroachment in the korodu of the
se of T. R. Kuppuswami Aiyangar
ated in the West Chitra street Srirangam
that the encroachment may be ordered
removed.

(with Endts)

Ext. 527/97

Fds 27.8.95

next meeting

R 806

Munl. Commissioner's Office Srirangam

Enclosure 2 to Let. No 225 / 20.9.95

TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY.

No 1204

Referred to the Municipal Council Srirangam, in continuation of Government Endorsement dated 10th April 1895 No 527

(By order)

W. Francis.

Ag Under Secretary

V.A.
22/8/95

Fort St George
26th August 1895

To
The Chairman Munl Council
Srirangam

a/22/8/95

B/12

List of Enclosures to letter No. 258 dated 7th October 1895 sent to Government—

1. Letter of the Revenue Dl. Officer dated 24th June 1895, expressing views on the Mahazarnama sent to him by the Council as one of the members of the Sub-Committee.

2. Letter dated 20th August 1895, sent by Messrs. B. Ramasawmiah and S. Ramanujaiyengar, the other members of the Sub Committee expressing their views on the above Mahazarnama.

3. Resolution of the Council dated 7th September 1895 on the above report.

4. Opinions of S. Kristnaiyengar, the Division Councillor and L. Kristnaiengar, the Chairman dated 8th & 16th March 1891 respectively on petition No $\frac{2012}{1890\cdot91}$ presented by T. R. Kuppusawmiengar to construct the house on his site No 1283

1233, West Chitra street.

5. Resolution of the Council on the above, dated 21st March 1891.

6. Endorsement given to T.R. Kuppusawmiengar in conformity with the above Resolution, with true translation

7. Report of the ward Inspector dated 23rd March 1893, complaining against the Construction of Thotta Ramasawmi Chettiar's house, with true translation and the opinion of the Dt. Councillor, T.R. Kuppusawmi aiyangar, thereon.

8. First letter of the Chairman to Government through Collector, dated 20th September 1895, No. 225.

9. Mahazarnama presented by the residents of the West Chitra Street bearing Government Endorsement No. 527 dated 10th April 1895.

10. Petition of one T.R. Sreenivasa aiyangar bearing Government Endorsement No. 1204 dated 26th August 1895.

T. Seshadriyengar

Chairman

List of Enclosures
to letter no 258 dated
7th October 1895

1/3391 no/95

Deputy Collector's Office
Trichinopoly
24th June 1895.

To:
The Chairman
Municipal Council
Srirangam

Sir.

In returning herewith the papers received with the copy of the resolution of the Council dated the 25th April 1895, I have the honor to state that I inspected the structure of Mr. P. R. Kuppusawmi Aiyangar the Koradu of which is complained of as an encroachment. The Koradu is in a line with that on the south and 3' 10" in excess of that in the north. Seeing that the house in the South is a projection and seeing also that no definite measurement is given of his manar it was to be expected of a Councillor like Mr. Kuppusawmi Aiyangar to have built his house in a line with that in the north especially as in a similar case (viz) Thotta Ramasawmi Chettiyar he strongly protested against the construction of a building in a line with an adjoining one which was a projection and had it removed.

It is a matter for regret that

he Should have chosen to build in a line with a projection and it is a matter for greater regret that the Council should have allowed the Construction. But as it is it will not inconvenience the passage of the car any more than the Southern house and the flow of water will not also be affected. Further the Structure is so built as not to admit of the removal of the Verandah wholly or in part without causing serious loss and inconvenience.

I take this opportunity for Stating that it will not be convenient to me to sit in a Sub Committee. If in any case my view or opinion is necessary I shall be glad to give it if I am addressed about it.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most obedient Servant
(Signed) A Khader Nawaz Khan
Rev. Dl. Officer

(True Copy)

K. Seshadriyengar
Chairman

he Should have chosen to build in a line with a projection and it is a matter for greater regret that the Council should have allowed the Construction. But as it is it will not inconvenience the passage of the car any more than the Southern house and the flow of water will not also be affected. Further the Structure is so built as not to admit of the removal of the Verandah wholly or in part without causing serious loss and inconvenience.

I take this opportunity for Stating that it will not be convenient to me to sit in a Sub Committee. If in any case my view or opinion is necessary I shall be glad to give it if I am addressed about it.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most obedient Servant
(Signed) A Khader Nawaz Khan
Rev. Dl. Officer

(True Copy)

Chairman

Enclosure No I

To

The Chairman
Municipal Council
Srirangam

Sir.

With reference to the resolution of the Council dated the 25th April 1895, referring for our enquiry and opinion, the Government petition No. 1185, the undersigned have the honor to state that we not only personally inspected the site alleged by the petitioner to have been encroached by T. R. Kuppusawmi Ayyangar, for the construction of a new building on it, but also made a thorough enquiry into each point specified in the petition as follows:—

(1) That T. R. Kuppusawmi Ayyangar has made encroachment on the public street when he himself was Division Councillor.

(2) That he would not allow Sholla Ramasawmi Chettiyar to construct Verandah of his house in a line with that of the western house whose extent is greater than that of eastern house whereas he has his construction in a line with that of his Southern house, the extent of which

is greater by 4 English feet and odd than that of his northern house.

(3) That the street in front of his house became so much narrowed that it would retard the progress of the car during festival occasions.

(4) That rain water would stagnate in front of the next northern house as the construction of T. R. Kuppu-sawmi Aiyangar is projecting 4 feet and odd to the northern house.

From our enquiry it is clearly in evidence that T. R. Kuppusawmi Aiyangar has made no encroachment on public street nor he did anything to the prejudice of the public interest and inconvenience as alleged by the petitioner. For it is proved beyond all doubt, that the site in question had formed a portion of the southern house whose owner had the possession and enjoyment of the site for a length of time, and sold it to the said T. R. Kuppusawmi Aiyangar. It is also proved satisfactorily that there existed a well just in the line with that of the western limit of the southern house which well is now now filled up for the construction of Korada on it. This evidence clearly shows that the tract on which the Korada was said to have been built by encroachment is for a long time in the occupation of the holders of the site; and that in consequence T. R. Kuppusawmi Aiyangar *who got permission by the Council long before he was made a division Councillor, commenced the building of his house. Further the parapet wall of the culvert of the public side channel on the line in which the said site is situated projects 3 English feet to the west of the southern limit of T. R. Kuppusawmi Aiyangar's and the width of the street just opposite to the said Kuppusawmi Aiyangar's house measures 43 English feet, whereas the width of the street between the two houses next of this is only 42½ English feet, and that of the street between the houses lying 17 or 18 north of this house is only 40¼ English feet. This refutes the truth of the 1st and 3rd points alleged in the petition.

As regards the 2nd point we perused all the papers accompanied the petition and also other records in the office. From which it appears that Kuppusawmi Aiyangar does not seem to have

* Vide Council's proceedings of 21-8-91 and order of the late Chairman on petition No 2012 of 1890-91.

have objected to Thotta Ramasami Chettiyar's building for any other ground than that the gentleman proceeded to build his house recently in front portion without previously obtaining permission as also against the repeated remonstrations of the ward Sanitary Inspector vide remarks on petition No. 2805 of 1892-93.

In forwarding herewith papers received for reference we wish to state that we very much regret the delay in submitting our views.

We have the honor to remain
Sir,
Your most obedient & humble servants.
(Signed) R. Ramasawmiah
M.C.
20-8-95.
(Signed) S. Ramanuja Aiyangar
M.C.
20-8-95.

(True copy)

K. Seshadri Aiyengar

Chairman

Enclosure to II

3/3391 M of 95

Extract from the Proceedings of the Municipal Council, Srirangam at the Meeting held on the 7th September 1895.

Present

M. R. Ry. K. Seshadriyengar avergal. Chairman
" " " S. Kuppusami Sarma avergal. Councillor
" " " S. Srinivasalu Naidu Garu "
" " " R. Viraraghava aiyar avergal "
" " " T. R. Kuppusami aiyangar avergal "
" " " M. Venkatakristna Naidu Garu "
" " " S. Ramanuja aiyangar avergal "
" " " S. Subramania Sastriar avergal "

× × × ×

Read Endorsement from the Assistant Secretary to Government No. 527 dated 10th April 1895 referring for report the Mahazarnama signed by the residents of the West Chittra Street, Srirangam, reporting to Government that Mr. T. R. Kuppusami aiyangar, a Councillor of this Municipality has encroached upon the public road by erecting a building and the opinion of the Sub Committee consisting of the Revenue Dt. Officer and Messrs. B. Ramasawmiah and S. Ramanuja aiyangar and the endorsement of the Under Secretary to Government No. 1204, referring, in continuation of Government endorsement dated 10th April 1895, No. 527, mentioned above, the petition of T. R. Sreenivasa aiyangar, resident of Srirangam complaining against the encroachment in question:—

The Council resolves that Mr. T. R. Kuppusawmi aiyangar has not encroached on Municipal ground. The reports of the Sub Committee on the subject and other

Connected

Connected papers were considered and the true copies thereof are to be submitted to Government.

× × × ×

(Signed) K. Seshadriyengar
Chairman

(True Extract)

K. Seshadriyengar
Chairman

Opinions of S. Kristniengar, the Division Councellor, and L. Kristniengar, the Chairman ~~dated~~ 8th & 16th March 1891 respectively on petition No. 2012/1890·91 presented by T. R. Kuppusami aiyangar to construct the house on his site No 1233, west Chitra street —

The construction of the new house can be sanctioned. The main wall of the house to be constructed should be in a line with that of the house on the South. So also the verandah etc for the bridge and the steps asked for, I don't think it proper to recommend. Temporary stones can be used. Materials can be allowed to be placed in the street for 3 months without any inconvenience to the public or to the processions of God that are to be had in connection with the coming Pangunni and Chittrai festivals. If the materials

could

4/3391 in of 95

could be placed somewhere inside the manai, that would be most convenient to every body. Otherwise the materials could be placed within a space of 8 or 10 ft from the manai. As the petitioner is Mr. Keshavarama aiyangar, he knows what inconvenience would there be if the materials should be placed in the street during the festival occasions and he will arrange in such a manner as not to cause any such inconvenience.

With these remarks I submit this for the opinion of the Chairman

(Signed) S. Kristnaiyar
Dt. Councillor
8.3.91

I fully concur in the above opinion. Let this be brought before next meeting.

(Initialled) L.K.
Chairman
16.3.91

(True Copy)
K. Seshadriyengar
Chairman

Enclosure No IV

Extract from the Proceedings of the Municipal Council, Srirangam, at the Meeting held on the 21st March 1891.

Present

M.R.Ry. L. Kristnaiengar Avergal, Rao Sahib, Chairman.
,, S. Kristnamachariar Avergal. Rao Sahib. Councillor
,, T. R. Kuppusawmi Aiyangar Avergal. Rao Sahib ,,
,, T. A. Soondraaiyer Avergal Rao Sahib. Sub Assistant Inspector of Schools. ,,
,, R. Viraraghava Aiyer Avergal ,,
,, D. Subbier alias Subbarama Aiyer Avergal ,,
,, T. R. Vasudeva Rao Garu ,,
,, K. Singaraiengar Avergal ,,

x x x x

Para 11—. Read petition from T. R. Kuppusawmi Aiyangar, No 2012 of 1890-91 applying for license to erect a house with Korada in a line with those of the neighboring houses on the vacant site

5/91

Site No. 1233 in the West Chitra street with steps over the drain in front of the house according to the plan annexed & the Divisional Councillor's opinion thereon:—

The recommendation of the Divisional Councillor is approved and sanctioned.

× × × ×.

(Signed) L. Kristniengar
Chairman

/(True Extract)/

T. K. Seshadriyengar
Chairman.

Enclosure No V

6/3391

Endorsement given to Mr. T. R. Kuppuswami Aiyangar in Conformity with the resolution of the Council dated 21st March 1891 with true translation.

No 2012
1890-91.

ம-ள-ள-ஸ்ரீ தி. ரா. குப்புசாமி அய்யங்காரவர்கள்.

முனிசிபல் கௌன்சில் தீர்மானம்

௸ 1283 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] தெரியப்படுத்தலாயிற்று.

(Signed) L. Kristnaiyer.
Chairman
28.3.91.

True translation

Endorsement No 2012/1890-91 on Petition No 2012/90-91 to Mr. T. R. Kuppuswami Aiyangar.

The Council at its meeting held on the 21st Instant, agreeing with the recommendations of the Division Councillor, has sanctioned the Construction of your house in the vacant site in No 1233 mentioned in your petition in terms that the main wall and the Koradu (verandah) should be in lines with the main wall and Koradu of the next Southern house: but that Sanction for steps and a culvert over the side Channel could not be given.

(Signed) L. Srinivasiengar
Chairman
28.3.91

(True Copy)

H Seshadriyengar
Chairman

The Council at its meeting held on the 21st Instant, agreeing with the recommendations of the Division Councillor, has sanctioned the Construction of your house in the vacant site in No 1233 mentioned in your petition in terms that the main wall and the Koradu (verandah) should be in lines with the main wall and Koradu of the next Southern house: but that Sanction for steps and a culvert over the side Channel could not be given.

(Signed) L. Srinivasiengar
Chairman
28.3.91

(True Copy)

Enclosure No VI

The report of the ward Inspector of 23.3.93 Complaining against the Construction of Verandah of Kotta Ramasawmy Chetti's house with the true translation & the opinion of the Division Councillor Mr. T. A. Kuppusami Iyer thereon.

[illegible]

1311 [illegible]

23.3.93

(Signed) [illegible]

Translation

Special report of the 10th ward Inspe

I beg to report that Palagopuch

7/891 out of 95

agent of Shetta Ramanna Chettiar was without permission constructing a Koradu in line with that of the most western house measuring 2 ft & 9 inches in breadth encroaching upon public street to the house No. 1311 of the Chettiar this midday, seeing the construction I & the peon stopped the progress of the work and on the evening Ramanna Chettiar himself allowed the workmen to proceed on with the work, I again asked the Chettiyar to postpone it, he cared not of my request & continued to do his business; I therefore request to render necessary assistance to put a stop to the work & to sanction prosecution of the party.

23/3/93

(Sd) R. Thasai Pouler
Inspector

Submitted for orders of the Chairman. A gentleman in his position ought not to have done the way complained of. As far as I remember permission was given to build Koradu in line with that of the eastern house. Instead of that he has built the Thinnai itself to the line of that house. The Chairman also once observed & drew my attention to the fact that Mr. Chettiar was building steps encroaching too much on the road & that one or two of such steps ought to be removed & that I should inform party of the same. I remember I said in reply to the Chairman that Mr. Chettiyar would not act against orders & the steps put up might only be temporary ones. From what Inspector now reports the gentleman has gone against endorsement to build Pukka Koradu & build 3 steps over the same. I think an inch beyond the pyal already allowed to be constructed would be a clear encroachment. A petition was also put in by the Chettiyar & that is not disposed of as yet. I request that the Chairman would kindly inspect and pass immediate orders on the subject. Such things in my humble opinion would lead to bad examples if not properly attended to.

(Initialled) T. A. L.
Dy Councillor
23/3/93

(True copy)
H. Seshadri Iyengar
Chairman

8 / 291

Municipal Councillor's Office
Sreerangam 20 September 1895.

No. 225

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. ....

From

M. R. Ry. K. Seshadriyengar Avergal
Chairman,
Municipal Council
Sreerangam.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
of Madras
Fort Saint George
through the Collector
of
Trichinopoly.

Sir,

Adverting to the Endorsements No. 527 dated 10th April 1895 and 1204 dated 26th August 1895 of the Assistant and Under Secretaries of Government appended to the Mahazarnama signed by the residents of West Chittra street, Sreerangam complaining that Mr. T. R. Kuppusawmi Aiyangar has encroached upon public street by constructing a house. I have the honor to inform you that the Mahazarnama in question was referred by the Council at the meeting held on the 25th April 1895 to a Sub-Committee consisting of the Revenue Divisional Officer, Trichinopoly, Ex-officio Councillor and Messrs B. Ramasawmiah and S. Ramanuja aiyangar, Councillors, for inspection of the building complained of and to give their opinion. The Revenue Dl. Officer and Messrs B. Ramasawmiah and S. Ramanuja aiyangar have given their views, the former separately and the latter two jointly. The Mahazarnamas and views of the Sub-Committee have been fully discussed at the meeting held on the 7th Instant, and resolved that Mr T. R. Kuppusawmi aiyangar has not encroached upon the Municipal ground.

The copies of reports of the Sub Committee, the petition of T. R. Kuppusawmi aiyangar for constructing the house with the permission of the Council thereon with other connected papers and the resolution of the Council are herewith submitted for the information of Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,
K. Seshadri iyangar
Chairman.

1895
Current No. 3116/Rev of 95
Mul Councillors Office
Srirangam

From
The Chairman
Mul Council
Srirangam

To The Chief Secretary
to
Govt of Madras
Fort Saint George
thro the Collector
of Trichinopoly

No. 225

Dated 20th }
Despd 21st } September
Recd } 1895

Enclosures. 8.

Submitting report on the Mahazarnama of the town people complaining that Mr. T R Kuppusawmy Iyengar has encroached upon public street by constructing a house

COLLECTOR AND MAGISTRATE
22 SEP. 95
TRICHINOPOLY.

9/3391 M of 95

Municipal Councillor's Office

No. 258 Srirangam, 7th October 1895

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 3391 M

From

M. R. Ry. K. Seshadri Iyengar Avergal,
Chairman,
Municipal Council,
Srirangam,

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
of Madras
Fort Saint George
through the Collector of
Trichinopoly

Sir,

Adverting to the endorsements No. 527 dated 10th April 1895 and 1204 dated 26th August 1895, of the Assistant and Under Secretaries of Government appended to the Mahazarnama signed by the residents of West Chittra Street, Srirangam, and to the petition of one T. R. Sreenivassa aiyangar respectively, I have the honor to state that the Mahazarnama in question was referred by the Municipal Council at its meeting held on the 25th April 1895, to a Sub Committee consisting of the Revenue D^l Officer, ex officio Councillor and Messrs B. Ramasawmiah and S. Ramanujiengar, Councillors.

The items of Complaint are: That — ~~Mr~~ MRR T. R. Kuppuswami Aiyangar has made encroachment on the public street when he himself was a Division Councillor and against the custom of the Council and his own principle which he has expressed in connection with Thotta Ramaswami Chettiar's house, viz. that permission should be granted only in a line with lesser projection (2) that the street in front of his house became so much narrowed that it would retard the progress of the Car during festival occasions, & (3) that rain water would stagnate in front of the next northern house.

The Revenue D^l Officer to whom the papers were first sent, in his letter dated 24th June 1895 while expressing his inconvenience to sit in the Sub Committee, has stated that the Construction will not inconvenience the passage of the car any more than the Southern house and the flow of water will not also be affected, regretting at the same time that ~~Mr~~ MRR Kuppuswamiengar should have chosen to build in a line with projection and the Council should have allowed the Construction.

The papers which were received from him were then sent to the remaining members of the Sub Committee, who in forwarding the remarks on 20-8-95 have expressed their regret for the delay in submitting their views. They have found and the Council has agreed with them that it is not clearly in evidence that it was not ~~Mr~~ MRR T. R. Kuppuswamiengar but S. Krishniengar who was the D^l Councillor at the time (2) That T. R. Kuppuswamiengar's objection to Ramaswami Chettiar's house was on the ground that it was constructed without permission (3) The Customary principle of the Council to grant in a line with lesser projection is when the intervening site is not a private property (4) That the site in question is T.R. Kuppusawmiengar's property (5) That the construction was duly petitioned and permission granted, & (6) that it will not obstruct the progress of the Car nor cause stagnation of water.

I reproduce here the important portion of the Sub Committee's Report, submitted by ~~Messrs~~ M.R.Rys B. Ramasawmiah and S. Ramanjengar, for information.

" From our enquiry, it is clearly in evidence that T.R. Kuppusawmiengar has made no encroachment on public street nor he did anything to the prejudice of the public interest and inconvenience as alleged by the petitioner. For it is proved beyond all doubt that the site in question had formed a portion of the Southern house whose owner had the possession and enjoyment of the site for

for a length of time, and sold it to the said T.R. Kuppusawmiengar. It is also proved satisfactorily that there existed a well just in the line with that of the western limit of the Southern house which well is now filled up for the construction of Koradu on it. This evidence clearly shows that the tract on which the Koradu was said to have been built by encroachment is for a long time in the occupation of the holders of the site; and that in consequence T.R. Kuppusawmiengar who got × permission by the Council long before he was made a division Councillor, commenced the building of his house. Further the parapet wall of the culvert of the public side channel on the line in which the said site is situated projects 3 English feet to the west of the Southern limit of T.R. Kuppusawmiengar's and the width of the street just opposite to the said Kuppusawmiengar's house measures 43 English feet, whereas the width of the

× vide Council's proceedings 21-3-91 and order of the late Chairman on petition No 2012 of 1890-91.

the street between the two houses next of this is only 42 1/2 English feet, and that of the street between the houses lying 17 or 18 north of this house is only 40 1/4 English feet!"

The copies of papers considered and submitted by the Sub Committee and the resolution of the Council with a list of the same are herewith sent.

The Mahazarnama and the petition with my first letter No. 225 dated 20th September 1895 addressed to Government through the Collector but sent back by him, are returned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

H. Seshadriyengar

Chairman

Local & Municipal Dept.
1895 Current No. 3391 M

Municipal Councillor's office
Srirangam

COLLECTOR & MAGISTRATE
7 OCT 95
TRICHINOPOLY

From

The Chairman,
Municipal Council,
Srirangam

To

The Chief Secretary to
Government of Madras,
Fort Saint George
through the Collector
of Trichinopoly.

No. 2658

CHIEF SECRETARY
31 OCT. 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Dated 7th
Despd Do.
Received
October 1895

Enclosures. to G.O.
C. Nos. 1185 & 2606 M recd.

Submitting report on the Mahazarnama of the town's people complaining that Mr. T. R. Kuppusawmi Iyer has encroached upon public street by constructing

---

Fde 1-11-95

Recd 30.10.95.

Endorsement on cur. 3116/Rev of 95 Circle 10

Submitted.

2. The alleged encroachment recently made was permitted by the Council in 1891 and it causes no public inconvenience.

3. It has been made, as permitted by the Council, in a line with the house on the South side. It is just possible that there or later may have been an encroachment years ago, but there is no proof that there was so, & proof would now be impossible. I think that the petition may be dismissed.

4. I delayed forwarding this, as I wished first to inspect the place, & having done so I found it necessary to call for some further information in order to see if the Council had in 1891 permitted the erection of the verandah in the actual place in which it has been erected.

A. Collector.

Tr. Municipal
29.10.95

Cur Nos 1185, 2616 & 3391
cs / mis Order No. 1884 Mun 1
The Petitioners will be inform[ed] that the Govt sees no reason to interfere in this matter.

V.R. 9/11/95 W.S. 11/11/95 Fd 12/11/95.

To The Petitioners.

[illegible]

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed
189 .

AD AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT NO.

ENCLOSURES TO C. NO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.