

Local & Munl. (1895) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. *1846 M*

DATED *6th November 1895*

[Despatch Abstract.]

Administration Report.

Recording, with remarks, the explanation of points noticed in the-----of the Rajahmundry Municipal Council for 1894-95.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

INDEXED.

Miscellaneous.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPT. G.O., DATED 6-11-95 No. 1846. In.
--

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Administration Report

Recording with remarks,
the explanation of points
noticed in the ——— of
the Rayahmundry Commu-
cipal Council for 1894-95.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

INDEXED.

Miscellaneous.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPT. G.O., DATED 6-11-95 No. 1846. In.
--

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Administration Report

Recording with remarks,
the explanation of points
noticed in the ——— of
the Rayahmundry Commu-
cipal Council for 1894-95.

BACK PAPER, No.

of 119

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189 , No , MISCELLANEOUS.

FURTHER PAPER, No.

of 189

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.-

- A - Copy in full
- B - Not to be copied.
- F - Copy abstract only.
- Q - Copy heading only.

Copied by
Examined by

MUNICIPAL OFFICE, RAJAHMUNDRY

No 280

DATED.

19th October 1895

From

19th Dec 1895

The Chairman, Municipal Council,
Rajahmundry.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government

Local & Municipal Department

MADRAS.

Through the Sub-Collector Godavery.

Sir,

With reference to Memorandum No. 4433
Local and Municipal Department, I have
the honor to submit the following explanation
regarding the printed books herein in
clauses 1, 2, 4, 7, 9, 10.

With regard to clause (1). - Rs 1-4- is the
amount of office fines collected from Clerks.
It does not come under "Fines under Mun-
icipal and other Acts" but comes under
"Miscellaneous receipts".

As there is no separate printed heading
in the form of Statement No I, the amount
was shown under a manuscript heading
"office fines".

(b) Rs 50 is the amount of subscriptions received from private gentlemen for the benefit of the Hospital. It is ^{fully under} only a "miscellaneous receipts."

As there is no separate printed heading for this in statement No. I, this was shown under a Manuscript heading: "Medical Subscriptions."

With regard to clause (2). — The rate at which tax on buildings is levied is 7 per Cent. House tax Registers were revised in 1891-92.

Total extent of land assessed is 23 acres.

With regard to clause (4): — In statement

II B. was shown only the cost of the Market Establishment sanctioned in the Budget for 1894-95.

Whereas the amount of Rs 64 shown in statement II is the cost of Market Establishment + the salary of the Cartstandee from for the month of March 1894 paid in April 1894.

As the Cartstand Establishment was sanctioned in 1894-95, the salary of the Cartstandee from for March 1894 was not shown in statement

II B.

II B., as a separate Establishment. Hence the difference.

With regard to clause VII: — The total cost originally sanctioned for the salaries of the Municipal Schools Establishment is Rs 1000.

A subsequent allotment of Rs 50 was sanctioned (Vide G.O. ¹²⁹⁹ 4-9-96) for the entertainment of an additional teacher on Rs 6 per mensem and for the increase of Rs 1 in the salary of the Head Master of the Pariah school. Hence the difference.

(b) The cost of the Hospital Establishment originally sanctioned is Rs 1692.

A subsequent allotment of Rs 15 was sanctioned for the salary of the substitute for the permanent Compounder during his absence on privilege leave (Vide Resolution dated 28-7-94). Hence the difference.

(c) Deduction of Rs 2-1-5 under fines and stoppages was by oversight not shown in the details of statement II. B. Hence the difference.

for 1894. 95.

[illegible]

18 1/2 Octobers 1895.

Shalimar.

59

With regard to Class IX, a revised statement to IX, of incidence of House Tax is herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Your most devoted servant

Chairman

of the head teacher of the parish school.

originally constructed in 1892.

I enclose the difference
 of my salary &
 the principal sum (with interest) of \$28.75
 the Government Commission during his absence
 from the salary of the substitute for
 a subsequent allotment of \$10 was made.

(c) The specimen of No. 2.1.2 was then found to be a paper was by weight but shown in the exhibit of photograph II. B. There is a difference

No. _____

MUNICIPAL OFFICE

RAJAHMUNDY.

DATE _____

Extract from the Proceedings of the Municipal Council,

Rajahmundry dated 28. 9. 94.

3. READ. Letter no 201.
12. 9. 94. from the District Surgeon,
requesting sanction for the extra salary of
Rs 15 to the acting Compounder appointed
in place of Ramakrishnaia on privilege
leave.

Res: The amount of Rs 15 is sanctioned

(True Extract)

[Signature]
Chairman
[Signature] NB

STATEMENT IX-A.—Showing the Incidence of the Tax on Arts.

ASSESSED IN

Name of the Municipality.

1	Class I.		Class II.		Class III.		Class IV.		Class V.		Class VI.		Class VII.		Class VIII.		Total.	
	Number.	Amount.	Number.	Amount.	Number.	Amount.	Number.	Amount.	Number.	Amount.	Number.	Amount.	Number.	Amount.	Number.	Amount.	Number.	Amount.
2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	

RS. A. P.

RS. A. P.

RS. A. P.

RS. A. P.

RS. A. P.

RS. A. P.

RS. A. P.

RS. A. P.

RS. A. P.

3220 Rs 95

Rajahmundry Municipality

189

From

The Chairman

Municipal Council

Rajahmundry.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government

Local & Municipal Department

MADRAS.

Through the Sub-Collector Godavery.

Dated 18th } October 1895.

Despatched 19th

Received

No.

Enclosures. 2.



The points noted in the Memorandum

No. 1103 Local and Municipal Dept.
7-10-95

n
ms

Order No. 1846 ms. 6. 11-95

Recorded with the following remarks:-

(1) The Sum of Rs 1-4-0, imposed as fines on office clerks, should have been deducted from the establishment charges & only the balance shown in statement II

(2) The Sum of Rs 4-0-0 paid as salary to the cart stand peon should have been shown separately in statements II & II B.

(3) The actual cost of the sanctioned allotment for establishment should have been shown in statement II B.

(4) The sanction of Government should have been obtained for the allotment of Rs 15 required for the salary of the substitute appointed for the permanent compounder during his absence on privilege leave.

18/10/95 low 6/11/95

When given for copying

When copied

When examined

When signed

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.-

A = Copy in full.

B = Not to be copied.

P = Copy abstract only.

Q = Copy heading only.

To the chairman, Municipal Council, Rajahmundry.

(with an order)

30/10/95

Order 8/11/95