

Local & Munl. (1895) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1642/11

DATED 12th October 1895

[Despatch Abstract.]

Accounts.

Recording, with remark, explanation in connection with
the audit report on the-----of the Trichinopoly Municipality
for 1893-94.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

INDEXED.
Miscellaneous.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPT.
G.O., DATED 12-10-95
No. 16427m.

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Accounts

Recording, with remark,
explanations in connection
with the audit report on
the ——— of the Trichinopoly
Municipality for 1893-94.

GRANT AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189 . No . MISCELLANEOUS.

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 119

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 189

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DISPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.-

- A - Copy in full
- B - Not to be copied.
- F - Copy abstract only.
- G - Copy heading only.

Copied by
Examined by

current no 2944 of 95

From

The CHAIRMAN

Municipal Council,
TRICHINOPOLY.

To,
The Chief Secretary to
Government,
Local and Municipal
Department,
FORT ST. GEORGE.

Through the Collector
of Trichinopoly.

Dated 5th
Despatched 6th Sept. 1895.
Received 10 SEP 25
No. 32.

ENCLOSURE.

SUBJECT.

G.O. 1255 M. Mis: Submitting
reply to — on the audit report
on the accounts of the mu-
nicipality for 1893-94.

Submitted
29/9/95

Submitted
29/9/95

29/9/95

Refer
Papers to be
submitted to the
time with the
written to
G.O. 29/9/95
29/9/95

(with order)

1255 M. 3-8-95 (Extra)
2734 22-2-95

1
Misc.

Order 12-10-95/1642 min

Recorded with the remark
that the explanations offered in
paras. 2, 3 and 4 of the Chair-
man's letter cannot be considered
satisfactory.

11/10/95 M. C. W. G. S.

To the Chairman, M. C. Trichinopoly.
Through the Collector.
Accountant General.

14/5. 29/10/95 29/10/95

the amounts misappropriated
have been made good from the
security deposited by the abscond-
ing offender.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. Rungaswami
Chairman.

now for the collection of the scavenging fees, five have furnished the required security of Rs. 25 each, but one has paid Rs. 7 only. This last is a poor Mussalman whom I have ordered to pay up at the rate of Rs. 2 per mensem.

5. The House tax bill-collector referred to in para 4 of the Government order under reply, is one Rungaswami Pillai. He was prosecuted for misappropriation and forgery along with another bill-collector — Ali Khan Sahib — who appeared to have abetted the offence. The former fled from the station and is still at large, while the latter is let on bail. The cases are pending before the Town Sub-Magistrate. In this case the

system was first introduced. Trustworthy peons are available but not trustworthy bill-collectors, as the latter must know to read and write. For these reasons, I had to employ any man who offered his services for the work. These men were not willing to furnish any security for their good conduct. With a view to secure a better stamp of servants for this kind of work, Council proposed an increase of pay of Re. 1 each, but Government was not pleased to sanction the recommendation. (Please vide para 4 of G. O. No. 2734 of 22nd Feb. 95.) Since January 1895, I have however been insisting upon obtaining security from these bill-collectors also. of the six men employed now

8
this practice of entrusting the bill-collectors with all bills was not commenced in my time, but has been in vogue since the collection of taxes was made by servants instead of by renters. This practice which was hitherto followed as a matter of customary rule has been entirely discontinued from April 1895.

4. Loss of nearly Rs. 400. The Private Scavenging Fees bill-collector of the Fourth ward - J. D. Ponnuswami Pillai - misappropriated Rs. 398-15-3 from the collections of the scavenging fees. This loss is not solely due to disregard of the rules. It is really due to the stamp of men the Council had to employ for the work. The salary of Rs. 7 per mensem is very low and the work of collecting scavengers fees is considered mean. Besides, collection-work was very difficult when the scavenging system

on the 11th June and at which it was passed. The report was accordingly despatched on the 12th June. Excluding the one month's time allowed, there has been a delay of about seven weeks. This delay was caused by the pressure of work in the office which generally arises in April and May when the statements for the administration report have to be prepared, in addition to the current business of the new official year.

3. Disregard of the standing rules.

I had stated in my last letter No. 17 dated the 12th June 1895, that "during the past years, it has been the practice to entrust with the bill-collector all the bills of his ward, so that the work of collection may be made easy." I now beg to assure Government that

this

1255-Sub
3-8-95

No. 32

MUNICIPAL COUNCILLORS' OFFICE,

TRICHINOPOLY, 5th September, 1895.

FROM, M. R. R. S. J. Rungaswami Aiyar, B.A., B.L.,
CHAIRMAN, Rao Bahadur,
Municipal Council,

Local & Municipal Dept.

TO, The Hon. The Chief Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Department,

FORT ST. GEORGE.

Through the Collector of Trichinopoly.

SIR,

With reference to paras 2 and 4 of G.O. No. 1255 M. Mis. dated the 3rd August 1895, I have the honor to submit the following for the favorable consideration of Government.

2. Delay in the Submission of the Audit report and reply. The audit report was received in the Municipal office on the 23rd March. The same with my reply was placed before a meeting of the Council on the 30th May, but was ordered to lie over for consideration at the next meeting, which was

on

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 189.
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189,

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH EXHIBITS.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. -

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.