

Local & Munl. (1895) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1439. M-

DATED 4th September 1895

[Despatch Abstract.]

Budget.

Requesting the President, Municipal Commission, Madras, to furnish, in future, either in the remark column of the budget statements, or in his covering letter, a full explanation of all proposals which are either new or unusual, as well as all material variations between the budget and revised estimates.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

INDEXED.

Miscellaneous.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPT.

G.O., DATED 4-9-95
No. 1489 M.

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Budget

Requesting the Presdt. M. C.
Madras to furnish in future either
in the remark column of the budget
stats. or in his covering letter, a full
explanation of all proposals which
are either new or unusual, as well as
all material variations between the
budget & revised estimates.

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189, No. MISCELLANEOUS.

BACK PAPER, No.

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 189

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not pagged).

N.B.-

- A -- Copy in full
- B -- Not to be copied.
- F -- Copy abstract only.
- Q -- Copy heading only.

Copied by *hug*

Examined by *R*

Genl. Dept.

G. No. 264

MUNICIPALITY OF MADRAS.
General Department.

Madras, 1st August 1894.

Local & Municipal

CURRENT No. 2469

LIEUT.-COL. G. M. J. MOORE, C. I. E.,

President, Municipal Commission.

From

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

Enclosure.

With reference to G.O. No. 1079 M. Mis dated 8th
July 1894, I have the honor to submit as follows-

2. The excess of expenditure in the Revised Estimate
over the Budget Grant is mainly due to a sum of Rs. 1,45,000
having to be provided for works sanctioned in the Revised
Estimate for 1893-94, either begun and not completed
at the end of 1893-94 or completed but not paid for.

3. This list of incomplete works was sanctioned
by the Standing Committee as usual at a meeting
held on 27th July 1894 and confirmed by the Com.
missioners at the General Meeting held on 27th August
1894.

4. It will be seen from this that the actual
excess in the Revised Estimate of 1894-95 over the Budget
allotment was only Rs. 69,400.

5. Under this explanation I would submit
for the consideration of Government whether
it will be necessary to prepare a Supplemental
Budget which under the provisions of Section 89
will

uripal
nades.

as
H. 7.
Ld
2.
an
is
te
2

1. 1000
 2. 1000
 3. 1000
 4. 1000
 5. 1000
 6. 1000
 7. 1000
 8. 1000
 9. 1000
 10. 1000
 11. 1000
 12. 1000
 13. 1000
 14. 1000
 15. 1000
 16. 1000
 17. 1000
 18. 1000
 19. 1000
 20. 1000
 21. 1000
 22. 1000
 23. 1000
 24. 1000
 25. 1000
 26. 1000
 27. 1000
 28. 1000
 29. 1000
 30. 1000
 31. 1000
 32. 1000
 33. 1000
 34. 1000
 35. 1000
 36. 1000
 37. 1000
 38. 1000
 39. 1000
 40. 1000
 41. 1000
 42. 1000
 43. 1000
 44. 1000
 45. 1000
 46. 1000
 47. 1000
 48. 1000
 49. 1000
 50. 1000
 51. 1000
 52. 1000
 53. 1000
 54. 1000
 55. 1000
 56. 1000
 57. 1000
 58. 1000
 59. 1000
 60. 1000
 61. 1000
 62. 1000
 63. 1000
 64. 1000
 65. 1000
 66. 1000
 67. 1000
 68. 1000
 69. 1000
 70. 1000
 71. 1000
 72. 1000
 73. 1000
 74. 1000
 75. 1000
 76. 1000
 77. 1000
 78. 1000
 79. 1000
 80. 1000
 81. 1000
 82. 1000
 83. 1000
 84. 1000
 85. 1000
 86. 1000
 87. 1000
 88. 1000
 89. 1000
 90. 1000
 91. 1000
 92. 1000
 93. 1000
 94. 1000
 95. 1000
 96. 1000
 97. 1000
 98. 1000
 99. 1000
 100. 1000

2000

Madras Municipality.

From

The President,

Municipal Commission,

MADRAS.

To

The Chief Secretary

to Government

at St. George.

G. No. 264

Dated

1st August 1895

Despd.

1st " 1895

Recd. 2 AUG. 95

Enc.

SUBJECT.

Stating that the excess expenditure in the Revised Estimate for 1894-95 over the Budget grant is mainly due to a sum of Rs. 1,45,525 provided on account of incomplete works sanctioned in the Revised Estimate for 1893-94 and that the actual excess is only Rs. 69,485 and under the explanation whether it will be necessary to prepare a Supplemental Budget under Section 89 which will entail considerable labor and delay

7/8. 2/19/8

Again G.O., dated

Current No. 2469 M. of 1895, dated

Current No.

of 189, dated

189, No.

1st August from the President, Municipal Commission, Madras.

from the

FOR ORDERS.

In reviewing the Madras Municipal Budget for 1895-96, the H.M. remarked that some explanation should be obtained for the increase in the Revised Estimate for 1894-95, of more than two lakhs of rupees in the expenditure under Grant I, as compared with the Budget. The President who was accordingly called upon to explain the increase under the several items in Grant I, stated in reply that the increases were explained in Statement II.A, pages 14 to 30, and were mostly due to funds not being available to provide for works in the Budget for 1894-95, which on the actuals of 1893-94 being known, were sanctioned (by the Commissioners evidently) to be included in the Revised Estimate. The Government was not, however, satisfied with this explanation and ordered in G.O. dated 8th July 1895, No. 1079 M. that

that some further explanation than that afforded in Statement II. A was required in the case of large increases of expenditure in the Revised Estimate over the Budget, as in this instance, where the excess under Grant I amounted to two lakhs, and pointed out that it would be best provided for by a supplemental budget under Section 89, which the Commissioners were directed to submit in case of future increases of the kind.

2. The President now states that the excess of expenditure in the Revised Estimate for 1894-95 over the Budget, was mainly due to a sum of Rs 1,45,525 having to be provided for works sanctioned in the Revised Estimate for 1893-94, which were either begun and not completed at the end of that year, or completed and not paid for, that this list of incomplete works was as usual sanctioned by the Standing Committee and confirmed by the Commissioners and that the actual excess in the Revised Estimate was therefore only Rs 69,485. As under Section 89, a supplemental budget will have to be submitted to the Standing Committee, published in the Gazette, and considered by the Commissioners at a meeting before

before final submission to Government, involving considerable labour and delay, the President enquires, whether under the explanation above given, it will be necessary to submit one.

3. The H. M. has notes on the budget for 1895-96 as follows:—

"The idea is that the Commissioners are bound by the budget approved by Government. In fact, the Act (S. 88) distinctly lays so and provides for a supplemental budget which also requires Government sanction and is binding on the Commissioners. If the Commissioners can increase their total expenditure by more than two lakhs without the sanction of Government, what is the use of the budget system and of Sections 88-90 of the Act."

It is therefore submitted that the President should be required to conform strictly to the provisions of the Act in this matter.

Another matter upon which orders are requested is the following:— When the President sends up his budget or revised estimates he gives no explanations of variations or proposals newly made except the short notes in col. 7. of the statements. His

Yes: for the future
S.P. 28/8/5

29/9/5

Covering letter merely says "I send the Budget & Revised Estimates" - encloses 80 pages of figures. It is impossible for the office to know the reasons for proposals & variations or whether these are good & sufficient or not. It is also impossible to tell which of the proposals are due to small changes among the Commrs & motives not of the best, which have the President's own approval. The consequence in the last two years has been that Govt. has attempted to cut the more ^{apparently} heedless extravagant proposals in the Budget & has then given way after words on receipt of proper protests & explanations. It is submitted that the Pres. may be asked to send a full covering letter like Chairman of Refusal Councils; otherwise it will seem necessary to pass everything proposed without comment. That this wd. be dangerous is shown, it is submitted, in the note dated 23.3.95 ^{in Budget} sent up with the draft of the Pres. G.O.

at least to very largely amplify the explanatory notes. I think it sh^d be laid down that all variations sh^d be fully explained either in the remark column or separately in the forwarding letter where ^{the explanation} ~~the explanation~~ cannot conveniently be given in the remark col.

8/2 23/

N.M.

Preparation of supplementary
Budgets & amendments

2469m
FOR ORDERS.

[G.
24.]
500-23-7-95.

L.M. DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated 8th July 1895, No. 1029m.
Again G.O., dated 189 , No.
Current No. 2469m of 1895, dated , from
" " " 189 , " " "
" " " 189 , " " "

ORDER. 4-9-95. No 1439m

The Govt. does not see any sufficient reason to modify the instructions already given in para. 1. of G.O. dated 8th July 1895 - No. 1029m., & considers it essential that the Act should be complied with.

2. The notes now furnished in the remark column of the budget statements are ~~too few~~ ^{very few} in too little detail to enable the Govt. to readily to judge of the expediency of the proposals made in the Budget. In submitting to Govt. future Budgets the President will accordingly be requested ^{to be good enough to} furnish, either in the remark column of the statements, or where this is inconvenient in his covering letter, a full explanation of all proposals which are either

7/8. 24/11/0

new or unusual; as well as all
material variations between the budget & revised
estd (from orders)

8/2
31/8 for C.S.

True
H

Madras Munt-
Budgets
2469 m
(from orders)

By S. Forbes Esqre. J. C. S.
1439 m

To,
The President M.C. Madras.
9/9/95

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 189.
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____
189 , DATED _____

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. -
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.