

Local & Munl. (1895) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1381 L.

DATED 8th Augt 1895.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Petition.

Declining to interfere on behalf of Kaliyanna Mudali, contractor of the avenue tamarind trees, Bhavani, praying to confirm his bid for the above trees.

✓

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[7]

Miscellaneous.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPT.	
G.O., DATED	8-8-95
No.	13812

INDEXED.

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Petition

Declining to interfere on behalf of Kalijanna Mudali, Contractor of the avenue tamarind trees, Bhavani, praying to confirm his bid for the above trees.

✓

1381

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189, No. MISCELLANEOUS.

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 119

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 189

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DISPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.

- A = Copy in full
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.

Copied by

Examined by *Smt*

[7]
2,000-2-4-35.

Current No. *2218 L. S. Kumbh.*

DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT No. *2006 L*

From

Kajisanna Kundali,
Contractor of the avenue tamarind
trees, Bhavani Kasba (headquarters)
and taluk, Coimbatore district.

No.

Dated *10th July 1895.*

Received *13 July 95*

Enclosures

SUBJECT.

*Praying for the con-
firmation of his
bid for avenue
tamarind trees.*

N
wise

Order. *8/895-N. 138/1*

The Government cannot interfere on
behalf of the petitioner.

18

2/8/95

To

The Petitioner.

12/8/95

At the sale of the ave-
nue tamarind trees of Bhavani
taluk held on the 14th June
last, the petitioner bought
the lease for Rs. 260, i.e., 35%
over above his last year's bid
of Rs. 225. While so, the lease was
given away to a former renter for
the latter amount. Petitioner
questions the propriety of publishing
the sale notice in the district
gazette. He asks why government
overlooked a profit of Rs. 35 by
underselling the lease to a former
renter, simply because it appeared
an overseer or a revenue inspector
promised the grant of the lease to
him, as he took great care of the
avenue. Why was this concession
made? Is it because that the
renter waters the avenue, or plants
new tamarind tender trees? Did
any one write on these two points
being cognisant of the facts, or through
mistakes of friendship?

Prays that his bid may
be confirmed inasmuch as he
received no orders from the Coim-
batore Collector on his petition of
the 15th June last, requesting grant
of the lease for five years at the
enhanced rate of Rs. 260 per an-
num, taking the same amount
of care of the avenue as the
former renter bestowed on it.

(An abstract translation.)

A. S. Shaverdho Rao
Translator,
15.7.95.

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

[Faint handwritten notes and signatures, including a signature that appears to read "J. H. ..."]

When given f
When copied
When exami
When signe

READ AGAIN
18

[Handwritten text in a cursive script, possibly a letter or a list of items.]

[Faint handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

003

Only.