3/3/10

L. & M.
9,000-3-3-95.

Local & Munl. (1895) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1044 L.

DATED 17th June 1895

[Despatch Abstract.]

Petition.

Informing petitioner M. Maria Susai Pillai, late teacher, Suranam, Madura district, that no appeal lies to Government in his case.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G O.	No.	Department.	Date.

7]

Miscellaneous.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPT.

G.O., DATED 17-6-95
No. 1044 L.

INDEXED.

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Petition

Informing petitioner, M. Maria Susai Pillai late teacher Sivánam, Madura Distt. that no appeal lies to Govt. in his case.

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189 , No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

BACK PAPER, NO. OF 189

FURTHER PAPER, NO. OF 189

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT NO.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

Copied by

Examined by

Local and Municipal Department.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ memorial dated

(Curr no 15822) from Maria Susai Pillai.

No. dated

ORDER— 17.6.95 No. 1044 Mis.

The petitioner is informed that under Local Fund rule No. 72, ~~73,~~ which is extracted below,

no appeal lies to Government in his case.
~~no appeal at present lies to Government in his case.~~
~~his appeal is barred by lapse of time.~~

~~(True Extract.)~~

V.R.
14/6/95

15.

~~*Assistant Secretary.*~~

"72. Subject to the provisions of section 42 (3) of the Act, the President of a Local Board shall have power to fine, suspend or dismiss any servant of such Board. But an appeal shall lie to the President of the District Board in the case of all servants of Taluk Boards fined in sums exceeding one rupee, suspended, or dismissed by the President of the Taluk Board; an appeal shall also lie to the District Board in the case of all servants of the District Board, and of all servants of a Taluk Board on Rs 50 and upwards, against suspension or dismissal inflicted or confirmed by the President of the District Board; and a further appeal shall lie to the Governor in Council against dismissal in the case of all servants, whose appointment requires the sanction of Government."

* * * * *

~~"73. No appeal under the foregoing rule will be entertained unless preferred within three months from the date of the order appealed against."~~

To
The Petitioner.

Despd. 21/6/95

[9]

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 1582 L

From

M. Mariasusai Pillai
late S.R. School teacher
Suranam
Madura Dt

No.

Dated 5/5
Received 7/6
Enclosures /

SUBJECT.

Bringing to the notice of Govt the injustice done in his case & praying that justice may be rendered by going through the records hidden by the officers mentioned within.

13th May

Fifth paragraph.

I have the honour to be,

Honoured Sir,

Your most obedient and

Poor Subject,

5th May 1896.

Late Headmaster

Local Fund Board

Upper Primary School

Talug Board

Madura District

When
When
When
W

13 May

President
I come to
favour
to the kind
Madura
concoction
signal
by the Bro
Christian
the kind notice
natural
rendered to me
humbly beg
kind
both
master of
and the office
Inspector of
kindly request
render me
perusal
by the
grounds stated
above in the fourth

When
When
When
When

12th page

Perceiving the order of the President
of Madura District I come to
know that the records in favour
of me are not sent to the kind
perusal of the President Madura
District. This is a pure concoction
against me by the Educational
Dept which is crowded by the Bra
hmans, to ruin a poor Christian.

Now I humbly beg to the kind notice
of the Government that I am unjust-
ly dismissed by the Educational
Dept. No justice is rendered to me
in the Sub courts. I humbly beg the
Government to make a kind peru-
sal of the records hidden both in
the office of the inspecting school master of
Puravadanai range and the office
of the Sub-Assistant Inspector of
Ramnad range.

I therefore humbly request
Your honour to render me jus-
tice by your kind perusal
of the records hidden by the
superiors and grounds stated
above in the fourth and

13th page

fifth paragraph.

I have the honour to be,
Honoured Sir,
Your most obedient and
poor subject,
M. Mariasoosai Pillai

5th May 1896;
Sooranum;
Elayangudi post;
Madura

Late Headmaster
Local fund Board
Upper Primary School
Sooranum, Ramnad
Talug Board
Madura District.

11th page

and urges that the inspecting school master afterwards sent a more favourable report about him. The report however can not be said to put his conduct in a more favourable light. Having gone through the papers and heard appellant I find that he was properly dismissed and reject the appeal.

(a) I wish to know on what grounds my petition is rejected by the President.

(b) If the case had been true then, what made the Assistant Inspector to be silent for 2 years?

(c) What did the Educational Dept suspend me for?

(d) If I was rightly suspended why did the Educational Dept re-instate me?

(e) The giving of the 2nd report of the Assistant Inspector to the Sub-assistant Inspector be not a fact how could I have been re-instated?

(f) How is it I could get the bill from the Post man? No enquiry is made about it by the Educational Dept.

12th page

The 2nd report in favour of me & the order of the Assistant Inspector to the effect of my being reinstated and the bad reports of Arul Vella-chami pillai are hidden both in the office of the Inspecting School master of Tiruvadanai & in the office of the Sub Assistant Inspector of Ramnad range. Without seeing these records the President of Madura Dt Board has passed his order. If he had seen then full justice would have been rendered to me. The order passed in No 445 by the President, Madura 10-4-88 District Board is as follows.

Appellant S. R. schoolteacher was dismissed by the President, Ramnad Taluq Board, on 30-4-94 for having cashed and misappropriation of proceeds of a fellow teacher's pay bill. The case was a strong one but no prosecution could be instituted as there had been a delay of 2 years on the part of the Asst Inspector of schools in reporting upon the case. Appellant was accordingly dismissed simply. He appea

7. Though I am not guilty according to
the reasons stated in the 4th & 5th paras
I was dismissed both by the Educational
Dept & the President of Ramnad Taluq
I appealed on the 13th September 1894
to Mr. Miller the collector of Madura
District Board. While he was making
enquiry of my case he was trans-
ferred. The next President Mr. Duke
J. Twigg, without seeing the reasons
given in the 4th & 5th parras and
noticing only the statements given
both by the President of Ramnad
Taluq Board and the Educational
Dept, and without seeing the 2nd
report of the Inspecting school master
and the order to the effect of my being
re-instated, and without noticing
the bad report against Vellachami-
pillai and without considering
the reasons unjustly brought for-
ward against me by the Educa-
tional Dept has been keeping my
appeal for 8 months & has unjust-
ly dismissed the case. If the
full justice had been rendered
to me I would not have appealed
to Madura District Board

assistant Inspector's office by Mr.
Pallapuram pillai who wantonly
wanted to ruin me since Gregory
who was nominated by him to act
for me was not allowed by the Roman
Catholic missionary to work in the
school which building belongs to him.
These facts will plainly convince
your honour that I am not at all
guilty, and that a great injustice
has been done to me in the Educa-
tional Department. Your honour
I beg may also consider that I received
a great & urgent order from the President
Taluq Board, Ramnad where I am
me reinstated by the Assistant
Inspector of Schools, who I do not
know on what grounds again
recommended that I should be
dismissed. If this case had been
enquired either by the Sub. Assistant
Inspector or by any other higher
officers having me and my defendant
I would have never come to this
most miserable state

and requested the Educational Dept to give my pay for the days I was under suspension, for which the Sub-Assistant Inspector has in his No. 8717 / 29-12-93 endorsed that I was granted leave on loss of pay for the days I was under suspension.

6. In the meanwhile the Inspecting School master was asked by the Sub Assistant Inspector of schools to report the opinion of he has changed any, about my conduct; for which I think he would have submitted a report comparing my conduct and that of my defendant, Vellachami pillai, Teacher, S. R. School, Kodanur since he had had sufficient experience of the Board School teachers and the most miserable condition of the Kodanur School which was then almost closed by the negligence of the teacher who

was fined on several occasions by the President Taluq Board Ramnad on the reports of the Inspecting School master, Mr S. Seturamiyar. I further presume that the Inspecting School master, if he wants to submit real facts must have submitted in favour of me as I was discharging my duty without any room for unsatisfaction and producing satisfactory results in the Primary School. On the other hand the Kodanur teacher entirely neglected his duties and went away leaving the School without permission. A kind perusal of the reports submitted by the Inspecting School master to the Sub Assistant Inspector of Schools against the teacher of the S. R. School Kodanur, and these and report drawn by him about me and submitted to the Sub. Assistant Inspector, I think all these must have been hushed up in the Sub-

5. The first report drawn by the Inspecting School master who was then quite new to the range is to give permission to the Kodanur teacher to file the case in the Sub-magistrate's court as he suspected the writing of the reports produced by the teacher and several reports submitted by me to his office. Then on the Sub assistant Inspector's report drawn on the strength of Inspecting School master's letter, the Assistant Inspector in his no $\frac{2256}{19-8-93}$ suspended me. After I was suspended I went directly to the Assistant Inspector of Schools in person to Tiruvadanai; and represented all of my grounds. on the 16th September 1893. On hearing my grounds the Assistant Inspector of Schools was pleased to cancel the order in wh. he suspended me and passed his endorsement on my letter submitted to him to re-instate me. The Sub Assistant Inspector of Schools has in his No $\frac{2498}{20-9-93}$ ordered me to take charge of the School at once. I at once took the charge of the Day and Night Schools.

produced by my defendant (Kodanur teacher) and the report as well as the endorsement in the bill do tally. The grandfather of the Kodanur teacher who is protestant in religion and I Roman Catholic are always hatred in opinion of religion. The case was intentionally brought against me by the Kodanur teacher with the instigation of certain catechists and native clergymen of Protestants creating reports as that I have written and also forging the endorsement on the bill as that of my writing and thus brought up a case against. The distance from Kodanur to Sooranam is more than 10 miles. It is not at all in my way to Tiruvadanai nor Kodanur teacher has occasion to come to Sooranum.

2nd page

to hand over the records of the Day & Night Schools to the second master & to report to his office that I have duly obeyed his orders.

2. I accordingly obeyed his orders & reported the same to his office.

3. Since I was quiet ignorent of the charge made against me except some correspondance and enquiries made by the Inspecting School master, Mr S. Seturamyar in the affair and no further enquiries made either by the Sub assistant Inspector of Schools or by any other officers; but received all of a sudden a dismissal order. I represented the injustice done to me in the Educational Dept to the president Taluq Board Ramnad requesting him to enquire the case in person and render me justice. This I made on the 14th July 1894 to which I received an endorsement ~~No~~ 85 30-8-94 from the office of the President Taluq Board Ramnad ordering therein that the present President declines to reconsider the ~~order~~ passed once by his predecessor.

4. I have no other go but to bring the matter

3rd page

to your kind notice & receive justice. I have herein under briefly stated the nature of my case, and the injustice done to me in the Educational Dept. The case is that I have fradulently cashed a bill passed for Rs 10 to the teacher S. R. School, Kodanur. My grounds are that Kodnur belongs to Rajasingamangalum Post office and my place Sooranum belongs to Ilayangudi post office. The Post man going to Kodanur has no business to come over to Sooranum and hand over the bill of Kodanur teacher to me. The post man has given a statement stating therein that he should have handed over the bill only to the addressee concerned, but never used to give the tapals of others to the third person. The Inspecting School master says that my writing and the writings of certain letters

CHIEF SECRETARY
6 JUN. 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 1582 L

1st page.

From
M. Mariasusai Pillai,
Late Headmaster, Local fund Board
Upper primary School;
Sooranudi, Ramnad Taluq Board
Madura D.t.

To
The Government of Madras.

Most Honoured Sir,

I beg to bring to your kind notice that I am a trained and Certificated teacher for the Primary grade & was discharging my duty very satisfactorily to my superiors as a Headmaster in the Board Upper Primary School till first May 1894. To my great thunderstruck I received an order No 218/20-5-94 from the office of the inspecting School master Tiruvadanai Subrange stating therein that for the charge brought against me by the teacher S. R. School Kodanoor I have fraudulently cashed his pay bill which was passed for Rs for the months of January & February 1892.; and that for the same the President Taluq Board Ramnad had in No 387/5-6-94 dismissed me as recommended by the Assistant Inspector of Schools 7th Dn. in his no 2374/19-4-94. The Inspecting School master further directed me

President

Proceedings of the District Board, Madura.

Dated 8th April 1895.

~~Proceedings No.~~ ~~of 189~~.

Read:— Petition from M. Mariasusai Pillai, late S.R. school teacher, Süränam, appeal against the order of dismissal passed by the President of the Ramnad Tq Boar Also papers connected with the subjec

Order

Appellant a S.R. school teacher, was dismissed by the President Ramnad Taluk Board, on 30-4-94 for having cashe and misappropriation of proceeds of a fellow teacher's pay bill. The case wa a strong one, but no prosecution could be instituted as there had been a dela of 2 years o[illegible] part of the Asst. Inspect of schools in reporting upon the case. Appellant was accordingly dismissed simply. He appeals and urges that the Inspecting school master afterward sent a more favorable report about him. The report, however cannot be said to put his conduct in a more favorable light. Having gone through the papers and heard appellant I find that he was properly dismissed and reject the appeal.

Resolution:

(Signed) J. Twigg
President

(True copy)

for President

1245/95
10.4

10
The Petitioner.

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed
189 .

Read again G.O., No. dated 189 ,

Current No.

Enclosures to C. No.

Order of Government .. .. .. A A A A A A A

Letter of Government.

Statement with ditto.

Papers not filed up, being meant for despatch in original.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.