

308/6/32/ABR.

(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 8

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 11/50

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் கருக்கொப்பம் 10/50

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

[JUDICIAL]

35

திறை

அரசாணை எண்.
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.

3124

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 18.4.94

198

4

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

7882

ஆவண. தா-1-18,006-15-6-37.

DEPARTMENT

No. 7264

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE

Madras, 11 December 1894.

FROM

Colonel C. H. Porters,

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE.

TO

The Chief Secretary
to Government,
Fort St. George.

SIR,

Enclosures

Nil

With reference to para 9 of G.O. No. 2180 dated 2 September 1894, I have the honor to state that the Superintendent of Police, Vizagapatam, reports as follows on the case referred to in para 4 of the said Assistant Secretary's letter No. 8 dated 25th May 1894 regarding a fight between the Police and the rangers of Krishna Deshpande about a piece of land.

The report of the 2nd Grade Constable Constable Men Singh, the then Station House Officer of Krishna Deshpande on received information that one K. Appigada, a pariah, was drunk and causing a disturbance in the

L. S. Deshpande

"Lakehanpur market, a mile from
"Brishnadeswara. The Head Constable
"accordingly departed two of his men
"to arrest him. They did so, but while
"taking him to the station were set upon
"by a large mob of persons who re-
"scued their prisoners by force."

"K. Appigada was subsequently
"charged before and convicted by the
"Taluk Magistrate of Sarasapatam."

"Ten persons including the Village
"Magistrate and Kurnam of Laksh-
"pur were charged under Sections 147
"and 332 of P.C. before the Head Assist-
"ant Magistrate who acquitted them.
"The evidence on the point as to which
"of the accused beat each constable
"not being conclusive."

"After this case had been disposed
"of, K. Appigada at the instigation
"of his village Magistrate and
"Kurnam brought a charge against
"the Taluk Magistrate Sections 333 and 336,
"P.C. before the Head Assistant Magistrate
"after going through into the case
"acquitted the Police of all blame
"and ordered the complainant to pay
"one rupee to each of the accused."

"(Prisoners) as compensation for the
"trouble they had been put to."
"With the exception of a statement
"made by Chintakala Subbarao, Village
"Magistrate of Lakehanpur, whose
"conduct in the affair is characterized
"by the Head Assistant Magistrate
"as disgraceful, there is no evidence
"that the Police fired off their carbines
"into the air or in any way intimidated
"the people."

"Head Constable Nenu Ling has since
"retired & the Constables who were
"mixed up in this affair have been
"removed to other stations."

2— With reference to para 12 of the Head
Assistant Magistrate's letter referred to
in para 10 supra, the Superintendent
reports—

"On the 3rd October 1893, the Mattadar
"of Dandurda sent a report to the
"Chintakallu Station that certain
"men who had been accustomed
"to trade in hill produce while returning
"ing to his Matta from the Mysore
"District had been stopped and
"their merchandise seized by
"men because they refused to pay

loyalty which they demand
The Chinapalli Police investigated
the complaint and charged the son
of accused before the Magistrate
who discharged them
as he found on his enquiry that
the accused had not acted with
any ill intention.

As a result, Assistant Super-
intendent, made a full enquiry
into the matter at Chinapalli
and came to the conclusion that
in arresting and charging the
accused the Police acted in good
faith and that no dishonest motive
could be assigned to the action
they took in the matter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt Servt.

W. J. Forrest, Colonel,
Inspector General of Police

WJF

[G
IV]
17-25-6-18

ORDINARY, MS.

<i>Supplemental</i>	DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED <i>18-12-76</i>	
No. <i>3124</i>	

When given for copying. *18/12/76*

[Despatch Abstract.]

*The following letter from the
General Secretary of the
on the case referred to in
of G.O. No 2180 dated 18/12/76
concerning the report on the
ministration of the
the 18/12/76.*