

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 14
(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 9/9/1909
(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 10-9

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.


தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

Judicial துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	<u>213</u>	அச்சகம் பிலவகை
நாள் :	<u>29.1.1894</u>	198

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி அகையாளவோ கூடாது

99



912
1

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter;—

From the Inspector-General of Jails, dated

22/10/1913

ORDER 29th June 1913 No. 213

The Inspector-General of Jails will cause convict No 487 *Santhada Unathada alias Chinna Santhada* confined in the *Central* Jail at *Rajahmundry* to be informed that the Government declines to interfere with the sentence passed upon him.

(True Extract)
(Signature)

25/10/13

Chief Secretary

25/ To the Inspector-General of Jails
[Signature]

100-25-1-13
100-25-1-13
100-25-1-13

Ref. from Cawick.

CURRENT No. 118 of 1894.

Application for remission of sentence from ^a convict in the Central Jail, Rajahmundry Jail,

FOR ORDERS.

9/5

C.S.

(For orders)

This was a jury case disposed of on Nov 2, 1891. Name of the Convict - No 487. Rukada Perakadu alias Thama Enakadu.

The evidence was clear that the peti was caught in the act of housebreaking by night. He called no witnesses but said that he was arrested because when drunk he happened to knock up against the wall. Now he tells quite a different and much more detailed story - that of course there is nothing to prove it.

The sentence of his sentence is accounted for by frequent previous convictions.

I see no reason to interfere.

W.D.

20/1/94 No do I

W 21/1

23 Jan

Offence committed.

Housebreaking by night & larceny theft and theft in a building. Sec. 457, 480 & 75-22C.

Adjudicating Court.

Sessions Judge, Vizagapatam

Sentence imposed.

10 years R.L.

Result of appeal to High Court.

Appeal dismissed.

Previous Convictions.

5.

The High Court's order and the judgment has been submitted. May the latter be called for? The date of sentence is 2 Nov 1891.

There was probably no judgment recorded by the High Court in this case.

W.D. 18/1/94

Philosophy and Ethics

Page 1

Ausgabe

Handwritten text

హిందూ ధర్మమునకు ప్రతిపక్షముగా వచ్చినది
అది బౌద్ధ ధర్మమునకు వ్యతిరేకముగా వచ్చినది
అందుచేత బౌద్ధ ధర్మమునకు ప్రతిపక్షముగా వచ్చినది

ఇది బౌద్ధ ధర్మమునకు ప్రతిపక్షముగా వచ్చినది
అందుచేత బౌద్ధ ధర్మమునకు ప్రతిపక్షముగా వచ్చినది
అందుచేత బౌద్ధ ధర్మమునకు ప్రతిపక్షముగా వచ్చినది


అందుచేత బౌద్ధ ధర్మమునకు ప్రతిపక్షముగా వచ్చినది

అందుచేత బౌద్ధ ధర్మమునకు ప్రతిపక్షముగా వచ్చినది
అందుచేత బౌద్ధ ధర్మమునకు ప్రతిపక్షముగా వచ్చినది
అందుచేత బౌద్ధ ధర్మమునకు ప్రతిపక్షముగా వచ్చినది

అందుచేత బౌద్ధ ధర్మమునకు ప్రతిపక్షముగా వచ్చినది
అందుచేత బౌద్ధ ధర్మమునకు ప్రతిపక్షముగా వచ్చినది
అందుచేత బౌద్ధ ధర్మమునకు ప్రతిపక్షముగా వచ్చినది

అందుచేత బౌద్ధ ధర్మమునకు ప్రతిపక్షముగా వచ్చినది
అందుచేత బౌద్ధ ధర్మమునకు ప్రతిపక్షముగా వచ్చినది
అందుచేత బౌద్ధ ధర్మమునకు ప్రతిపక్షముగా వచ్చినది

213
1



No 5

His Excellency the Right Honourable
the Governor in Council
of Madras

The humble petition for pardon presented
by Council N° 487 Parthada Erakadu of
the Semboi Tondi Rajahmundry
Most Respectfully Sheweth

That your petitioner most respectfully
begs to submit that he did not commit the offence
of theft but that the Curnam who was also the
Village Incharge brought about your petitioner's
ruin.

2. The Curnam of the Village gave a piece of
his land for cult; to your petitioner for a certain
sum of money, which was raised by the Curnam
afterwards, and your petitioner was obliged
to leave this land and took for cult another
man's land; the Curnam arranged all this
detriment to your petitioner's ruin.

3. One day, when your humble petitioner was
returning from the weekly fair held at the
neighbouring village he passed by the Curnam's

Witness
J. S. S. S.
5

house and the Curram called your petitioner
was detained by him till late in the night, until
his servants had returned from his fields, when
he ordered them to tie your petitioner to a post
and to beat him. Your humble petitioner was
subjected to severe treatment the whole of that
night and the next morning, at 10 o'clock the
Police were sent for and your petitioner was
charged with theft.

4. Your petitioner begs to state that the Curram
after consulting with the Head Constable, brought a
pet containing alcohol and your petitioner was accused
of having robbed it from a domestic servant of the
Curram, and the servant and his wife were taught
to say that they had caught your petitioner in the
act of carrying the pet containing the alcohol from a
room; and the rest of the evidence was similarly
concocted.

5. Your petitioner respectfully begs to submit
that his previous convictions told against him
and the Judge sentenced him severely.

6. Your petitioner therefore, prays your
Excellency in Council will be pleased to
pardon him and order his release from
the

the Jail.

For which act of hindrance your humble
petitioner is on duty bound with ever loyal


27/8
The art of Dinkhabu Curram

Agalunaby Subal Jail }
20 December 1893 }

Superintendent Central Jail

No 947

Submitted to government for order.



Camp Bellary? S. J. Cardew
10 January 1894 Inspector General of Police
Recd 12
13 15 16

4 Enclaves

From
Venkataswami
(Convict No 42)
Cuddalore Jail
Rajahmundry

To
His Excellency the Right
Honorable the Governor
in Council,
Madras

Dated 20 December }
Received } 1898

No 323

Petition praying to transfer
from and to release from the Jail.

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
15, No. 1, MARCH 1894.

2/3/94

Content No.

SUBJECTS WITH FILE

Order of Government

A A

Order of Government

SUBJECTS WITH FILE

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED 15, MARCH 1894.

1898

1 - Copy to full.
2 - Not to be copied.
3 - Copy abstract only.
4 - Copy heading only.

27.8 90 RM

ORDINARY, 113.

G.O., DATED 29.1.79
 No. 213

INDEXED

Estimated price for carrying. 27/1.

1. 1990年12月15日

Becking interfused with the
 m. tissue passed on to the
 Brachda Brachda alba Brachda
 Brachda confused in the
 Brachda. Just Rajahmundry