

Library - 14
lane

Page - 602 Judicial dt 20.3.1892.

10

1
D

A5

B5

A4

B4

A3

L

L

L

L

B5

A4

No. 1274

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,

Madras 10 March 1893

FROM

Colonel C. A. Perkins,

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,

602

TO

The Chief Secretary

to Government,

Fort St George.

SIR,

Enclosure.
One.

I have the honor to submit the following explanation on certain points noticed in L.O. dated 23 August 1892, H. 1536, J.D., reviewing the Police Administration Report for 1891.

2. Para 8. Last sentence of sub para 1 of the L.O.

The decrease in Coimbatore in the number of known defrauders is ascribed by the Superintendent to the revision of known defrauders registers which necessitated the elimination of those who in the opinion of the Police were regarded as leading honest lives.

3. Para 11. Sub para 1.

I have to explain that in selected cases - whether final reports have been submitted to Magistrates under Section 17, Cr. P. C. or not - are not returned from

from the Police Returns but stand included under reported cases. Column 4 of Statement A of the Appendix to the Administration Report. In the annual returns submitted by districts to this office these cases besides being included in the above Column (4 of Statement A) are shown separately in an additional column headed "undetected".

ii. Until a final report is submitted on an undetected case, it will appear only in Column 4 of Statement A but after the report is sent in, it will be shown also in Columns 12, 13 or 14 of that statement as "investigated by Police".

iii. Although in all cases final reports have been required to be submitted to Magistrates, still in some districts, cases in which final reports had not been submitted before the close of the year to which they related, were not subsequently taken notice of if the detection proved impossible.

iv. As this practice was objectionable, I put a stop to it the result of which being that a number of cases in which final reports had not been submitted before the end of 1890 were shown in Column 6 of Statement A of 1891 as brought under enquiry during this year though they were undetected. In this way the total number of cases

for the year 1891 was augmented 602

4. With reference to para 10 of the L.O. I beg to submit copy of a letter No 1492, dated 30th September from the Inspector General of Jails, in which he states that he considers it is not possible to reconcile the Police and Jail department figures of habitual offenders reviewed. I would point out that Column 6 of the statement at page 28 of the Police Administration Report for 1891 is intended to show the number of habitual offenders identified by the Jail as well as by the Police departments after trial, and the reasons urged in the above letter would not therefore hold good. The real cause of discrepancy appears to me to be the absence of a common rule as to who should be classed as habitual offenders. As explained in my letter No 639, dated 4th February 1892, I am of opinion that on the provisions regarding habitual offenders contained in the proposed Habitual Offenders' Act coming into force the cause of discrepancy ought to disappear.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your Most Obedt. Servant.
M. J. M.
Inspector General of Police

Office of Inspector
Madras, 23 Sept 1892

From
A. J. Cardew, M. A. C. S.
To
Inspector General of Jails
The Inspector General of Police
Madras.

Referring to paragraph 10 of No. 23 Aug 92
No 1234, I have the honor to state that it seems to me
to be impossible to make the Police and Jail figures
relative to real and actual prisoners agree.
As regards the details of districts, it is clear
that records of Jails now record
whether they are in the District
or in the District Jail
and I am sorry to find
Salem.

3. The
graph is
contains
report of
before me
I given in Col 6 of the statement in
the Jail Report corresponds with the
Statement 4 annexed to the same
includes all prisoners who are found
reconvicted, to have been previously
convicted.

convicted. As
include the full
these figures can
Col. 1 above men-
tioned in paragraph
was found nearly
were it not that
while the former
from Sub-jails &
for distribution
Statement in par-

4. If you agree
hereto on this
request that you
accordingly.

5. I may add
draw the direct
of the Jail Report
authorities proper.

figures in the Police Report do not
those identified after trial as old offenders
be expected to agree with those in-
cluded. The figures in Col 3 of the State
16 of the Jail Report ought to cor-
respond to those returned by the Police,
the latter include Sub-jail figures,
not, and the returns received
to furnish the information requisite
figures of this class of jails in the
State 16.

that the Police and Jail sta-
tistics cannot be made to agree, I
trust your Government

that the Government has with-
drawn the statement in paragraph
the future relate only to ha-

I have the honor to
Sgt. J. L. Cardew.
Super. Genl of Jails

Super. Genl of Jails

Auth.

Judl (1893) DEPARTMENT.

1536 : 23/8/92 orig.
Police Report & Jail Report
1891.
1792, 8 24/9/92 mis.
44/81 7.3.92 mis 3 97: 19.11.87 SC
1721: 28.8.91 SC 263/4: 19.8.85 SC

FROM
Col L. A. Portman.
INSPECTOR GENERAL
OF POLICE.

Wgt 20.3-93 10/02
Ami H.W. 18/11/92

Recorded.
2 Para. 4 of Col. Portman's letter
will be sent to the Lg of Jail.

He
H.W.
17/11/92

No. 1274

Dated 10/11/92
Received
See on

No. 11 MAR 93
CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVERNMENT OF N.W.

SUBJECT.

Submitting explanation on
certain points noticed in G.O.
No. 1536 of 23rd August 1892, J.D.
concerning the Police Administration.
Jail Report for 1891

To the Lg of Jail (200)
3/3 - Lg of Jail
Wgt

C-17/3
A-203

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18, No. MISCELLANEOUS

ENCLOSURE

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DUTY.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DUTY.

PAPER NOT FILLED UP, HAD TO BE REPEATED
IN ORIGINAL (NOT USED)

A - Copy in full.
B - Not to be copied.
C - Copy abstract only.
Q - Copy leading only.

BACK PAPER, No. 1792: 24.9.92 OF 13
602
FURTHER PAPER, No. 3811/94. OF 18

2302
22.7.8.4

[9]

ORDINARY, MS.

26.5.04

Journal DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED 20-1-83
No. 602

INDEXED

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

According to the letter from the Secy
Genl of Police submitted to the Secy
Genl of Police dated 23 August 1978 regarding
the Police Administration Report
for 1977-78, copy of the letter
regarding the Police Administration Report
is being sent to the Secy Genl of Police.