

Library - 14
lane

Page - 602 Judicial dt 20.3.1892.

40

1
D

A5

B5

A4

B4

A3

L

L

L

L

B5

A4

No. 1274

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,

Madras 10 March 1893

FROM

Colonel C. A. Perkins,

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,

602

TO

The Chief Secretary

to Government,

Fort St George.

SIR,

Enclosure.
One.

I have the honor to submit the following explanation on certain points noticed in L.O. dated 23 August 1892, H. 1536, J.D., reviewing the Police Administration Report for 1891.

2. Para 8. Last sentence of sub para 1 of the L.O.

The decrease in Coimbatore in the number of known depredators is ascribed by the Superintendent to the revision of known depredators registers which necessitated the elimination of those who in the opinion of the Police were regarded as leading honest lives.

3. Para 11. Sub para 1.

I have to explain that undetected cases - whether final reports have been submitted to Magistrates under Section 17, Cr. P. C. or not - are not removed from

from the Police Returns but stand included under reported cases. Column 4 of Statement A of the Appendix to the Administration Report. In the annual returns submitted by districts to this office these cases besides being included in the above Column (4 of Statement A) are shown separately in an additional column headed "undetected".

ii. Until a final report is submitted on an undetected case, it will appear only in Column 4 of Statement A but after the report is sent in, it will be shown also in Columns 12, 13 or 14 of that statement as "investigated by Police".

iii. Although in all cases final reports have been required to be submitted to Magistrates, still in some districts, cases in which final reports had not been submitted before the close of the year to which they related, were not subsequently taken notice of if the detection proved impossible.

iv. As this practice was objectionable, I put a stop to it the result of which being that a number of cases in which final reports had not been submitted before the end of 1890 were shown in Column 6 of Statement A of 1891 as brought under enquiry during this year though they were undetected. In this way the total number of cases

for the year 1891 was augmented 602

4. With reference to para 10 of the L.O. I beg to submit copy of a letter No 1492, dated 30th September from the Inspector General of Jails, in which he states that he considers it is not possible to reconcile the Police and Jail department figures of habitual offenders received. I would point out that Column 6 of the statement at page 28 of the Police Administration Report for 1891 is intended to show the number of habitual offenders identified by the Jail as well as by the Police departments after trial, and the reasons urged in the above letter would not therefore hold good. The real cause of discrepancy appears to me to be the absence of a common rule as to who should be classed as habitual offenders. As explained in my letter No 639, dated 4th February 1892, I am of opinion that on the provisions regarding habitual offenders contained in the proposed Habitual Offenders' Act coming into force the cause of discrepancy ought to disappear.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your Most Obedt. Servant.
W. S. M. J.
Inspector General of Jails

Office of Inspector
Madras, 20 Sept 1892

From
A. J. Cardew, M. A. C. S.
To
Inspector General of Jails
The Inspector General of Police
Madras.

Referring to paragraph 10 of No. 23 of 1892, I have the honor to state that it seems to be impossible to make the Police and Jail figures relating to real and fictitious prisoners agree. As regards the details of districts, it is clear that reconciliation is impracticable, because many prisoners from outside the districts in which they are convicted are distributed between the Vollore Central and the Female prisoners convicted in Tanjore and those convicted in Srirangapatna and those convicted in Coimbatore.

3. The figures given in Col. 6 of the statement in the Jail Report corresponds with the statement annexed to his statement. It includes all prisoners who are found to have been previously convicted.

convicted. As
include the full
these figures can
Col. 1 above was
sent in paragraph
was found nearly
were it not the
while the former
from Sub-jails &
for distribution
Statement in par.

4. If you agree
hitherto on this
request that you
accordingly.

5. I may add
draw the direct
of the Jail Report
authorities proper.

figures in the Police Report do not
those identified after trial as old offenders
be expected to agree with those in-
red). The figures in Col 3 of the State
16 of the Jail Report ought to cor-
to those returned by the Police,
the latter include Sub-jail figures,
not, and the returns received
to furnish the information requisite
figures of this class of jails in the
the 16.

that the Police and Jail sta-
cannot be made to agree; I
kind of proper Government

that the Government has with-
at the statement in paragraph
the future relate as to ha-

I have the honor to
Sgt. J. L. Cardew.
Super. Genl of Jails

Super. Genl of Jails

Auth.

Judl (1893) DEPARTMENT.

1536 : 23/8/92 orig.
Police Report & Jail Report
1891.
1792, 8 24/9/92 mis.
44/81 7.3.92 mis 3 97: 19.11.87 SC
1724: 28.8.91 SC 263/4: 19.8.85 SC

FROM
Col L. A. Portman.
INSPECTOR GENERAL
OF POLICE.

Wgt 20.3-93 10/02
Ami H.W. 18/11/92

Recorded.
2 Para. 4 of Col. Portman's letter
will be sent to the Lg of Jail.

He
H.W.
17/11/92

No. 1274

Dated 10/11/92
Received 10/11/92

To H. W. (200)
Lg of Jail
3/3/93

No. 11 MAR 93
CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVERNMENT OF N.W.

SUBJECT.

Submitting explanation on
certain points noticed in G.O.
N 1536 of 23rd August 1892, J.D.
concerning the Police Administration.
Jail Report for 1891

C-17/3
A-203

BACK PAPER, No. 1792: 24.9.92 OF 13

602

FURTHER PAPER, No. 3811/94. OF 18

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18, No. MISCELLANEOUS

ENCLOSURE

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DUTY.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DUTY.

PAPER NOT FILED UP, HAD TO BE RE-FILED FOR DISPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (NOT RE-FILED)

A - Copy in full.
B - Not to be copied.
C - Copy abstract only.
Q - Copy leading only.

2302
22.7.94

[9]

ORDINARY, MS.

26.5.04

Journal DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED 20-1-83
No. 602

INDEXED

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

According to the letter from the Secy
Genl of Police submitted to the Secy
Genl of Police dated 23 August 1978 regarding
the Police Administration Report
for 1977-78, copy of the letter
regarding the Police Administration Report
is being sent to the Secy Genl of Police.