1. Number of pages.
2. Date of repair.
3. Initials of Reference.

M.R.O. 1—20,000—16-10-37.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

JUDL. DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	586 -Press- Mis.
Dated	27-3- 1890.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

CURRENT No. 377.

[9] Judicial Department.

Telegram

From Kotaru Raja Venkata Krishnayya Guntur

No.

Dated 31 January
Received 1 Feb 99.
Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Stating that the Joint Magistrate prohibited Muthaswamisami's procession after 10 P.M. & praying that orders may be issued to cancel the order.

Encl 4. 2. 99

Transferred to the Dt Magistrate Kistna.

CURRENT No. 396 5

[9]

Judl DEPARTMENT.

Telegram

From K. Venkata Krishnayya
Guntur

No.

Dated 2 February 1890.

Received 2 — 2

Rep. 3 —

Enclosures two for one Rupee.

SUBJECT.

Requesting orders for the succession of Mullaswamikrami.

HM

Submitted.

A similar telegram was submitted on Satd. with a draft endorsement transferring it to the Dt Magt Kistna. If that is approved, this should be similarly treated.

A copy of the Madras Times of this date is just up: it contains on the first page a telegram on this matter.

ALP 3 Feb. JG 3/4

I have not seen the telegram of Saturday, but the disposal of it for this is correct.

Ills 4 Feb.

P.C. Rejr

R50 3.2.90

CURRENT No. 410 7

[•] Jud C Department.

From Telegram
Rakapalli Subbarayudu
Guntur

No.

Dated 3rd February 1890
Received 3rd
Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Stating that a memorial has been sent by post regarding the custom of processions of Mullaiswara swamivaru.

Lodged
To await receipt of memorial.
MJC 4 Feb 90

Jud C No 410 7

INDIAN TELEGRAPH. Local No. 12

To (Station) Madras Fort
52 3 12 13

From (Station) Guntur

OFFICE OF SECRETARY OF STATE
3 FEB 90
LIBRARY

To (Person) The Chief Secretary Govt of Madras

From (Person) Rakapalli Subbarayudu

Sent memorial by post public documents showing custom of processions of Mullaiswara swamivaru from long time joint magistrate refused permission ignoring documents because of notice served on him for his trespassing into temple premises great Kalyanotsavam to be stopped to night a serious damages.

Recd. at Hrs. Mts. By L.
Sent to At Hrs. Mts. By

MADRAS FORT 3-2-90

CURRENT No. 423

[9]

Jud (C) Department.

From

Katamraju Venkata Krishnayya and others.

Guntoor

No.

Dated —.

Received 3rd February 1890.

Enclosures. 2

SUBJECT.

Praying to cancel the order of the Joint Magistrate, Guntoor, and allow the procession of Mallikarjunaswami to take place as usual.

Hm.

For Orders

The enclosure shows that Petrs have gone to the Dt Magt & this reply is not submitted. This should, it is submitted be disposed of either under para. 2 of Madras Petn. Rules or by transfer to the Dt. Magt. The former seems the proper course.

A further memorial of a different nature seems to be coming. See C No 410.

RLC
5 Feb

I think it might be transmitted

JK
7/2

I would call the Dist Magt for a report on the matter. The last page of the memorial after 10 pm seems an unnecessary & unwarranted interference. Has the memorial referred to in the telegram of 4th Feb. not yet come.

Not yet rec'd
Asst 19 Feb.

19 Feb.

Dt 20th February 1890 Dt Magt
Referred to the Coll: & Dt Magt of Kistna for report.
RLC
19 Feb.

C. Sl.
R.o 1 Fly Dt Magts Petition & Cavaets } disp/d 21/2/90

Jud (C) Dec 1081

No. 68 Extra.

Kistna District Collector's (Sub Treasury) Office Masulipatam
8th March 1890.

From,

A. I. Arundel Esquire

Collector & District Magistrate,
Kistna District.

To,

The Chief Secretary
to Government
Judicial Department.

Sir,

In returning the enclosures to reference Nos. 396, 410, 423 of 1890, I have the honor to report that the petitioners K. V. Krishnayya and others requested permission of the Joint Magistrate to conduct the procession of Sri Mulleswaraswami with music through the streets of Guntur for twelve nights after 10 p. m.

2. The Joint Magistrate following the rule which he reports to be unbroken refused to allow music after 10 p. m. as it was a nuisance to a great part of the public. In his written order, however, by inadvertence he wrote "The procession cannot be carried on after 10 p. m. according

C 2-3

according to rules." In a subsequent order he allowed "procession with music" up to 11 p.m. on February 3rd as a special concession.

3. I think that if Mr Wolfe Murray had made it clear that there was no objection to the procession with palanquin, car, torches &c, but without music after 10 p.m, the petitioners would have accepted the position as others have done elsewhere. The terms of the first order gave occasion for a misconception which was not clearly removed in the subsequent one.

4. I enclose a copy of my proceedings in the case together with the Joint Magistrates two letters and two orders. It will be seen that through delay in making references owing to my absence in a distant part of the district I have only now issued the order.

5. The incident referred on the second page of the petition was an accident as noted by the petitioners themselves and has no bearing on the present case. The Joint Magistrate whose

2-4 13

on inspection was led by his peon through the gateway.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

A Arundel
Collector &
District Magistrate.

P.K.

65

No 17 Extra.

Proceedings of the District Magistrate, Kistna dated Krosur 8th March 1890.

Read Petition of K. V. Krishnayya and others of Guntur dated 23rd January 1890 against the order of the Joint Magistrate dated 21st January 1890 prohibiting a procession with music after 10 p. m.

Read also letters no 167 dated 6th February and no 256 dated 20th February from the Joint Magistrate on the same subjects.

Read also petition of K. V. Krishnayya dated 22 February 1890 requesting orders and a copy th- of.

On the 26th January Pleader Sunnishottam was heard in support of the first petition.

Order

Two distinct things appear to have been confused in the foregoing papers and this confusion has probably given rise to the protest raised in petition against the Joint Mag-

order. It appears to be the custom in Guntur for parties who desire to play music in the public streets after 10 p.m. to obtain the previous permission of the Magistrate. Permission was requested by K. V. Krishnayya to conduct the annual procession of Sri Mulleswaraswami for twelve nights after 10 p.m. with music accompaniment. The Joint Magistrate passed the following order dated 21st January on this petition no 148,

"The procession cannot be carried on after 10 p.m. according to rule." It appears from the Joint Magistrate's report that it is not the procession at night which is a nuisance to the public but the music with which it is ordinarily accompanied. The Joint Magistrate should therefore not have forbidden the procession but merely the use of music after 10 p.m. in connection with it. It is now no uncommon thing for

for the processions connected with Hindu festivals to take place at night with torches, cars and other accompaniments but without music. In the present instance the District Magistrate agrees that it would be a nuisance to a large section of the public if processions with music had gone on for twelve nights after 10 p.m. as desired by the petitioners. At the same time there could be no objection to the procession without the music. The Joint Magistrate is therefore requested to modify his order accordingly.

As to regulations of music in the streets is placed by law (sec 30 act V of 1861) primarily in the hands of the Police, who are of course under the Magistrate's control, the District Magistrate considers that it will be more convenient if applications of this nature are made in the first place to the Assistant Superintendent or other Superior Police officer following the custom

Act XXIV of 1859.

observed

observed in Masulipatam and requests the Joint Magistrate to issue orders accordingly.

/Signed/ A. J. Arundel
District Magistrate.

/True Copy/

District Magistrate.

P.K.

8.

Petition No. 48

Name of Petitioner. Kotamraju Venkata Krishnayya

Residence of do. Guntur.

The procession cannot be carried on after 10 P. M. according to rules.

Sub Collector's office, Kistna 21st January 1890. } /Sd/ C. Wolfe Murray
Sub Collector &
Joint Magistrate

/True Copy/

District Magistrate.

Kistna District Joint
No 167 Magistrate's office dated
Camp Chebrole 6th February
1890.

From,

C. Wolfe Murray Esquire,
Ag Joint Magistrate
Kistna District

To

The District Magistrate,
Kistna.

Sir,

With reference to the petition of appeal addressed to you by certain of the Hindu Public of Guntur and forwarded to me for report, I have the hon to say with regard to para 1 of the petition that since I have been in Guntur, it has been customary to have no violent music in the streets after 10 P. M.

2. As regards the alleged ancient and immemorial custom of conducting the procession alluded to with music throughout the night, I have been informed that neither in the time of Mr Rice nor in the

Fee Rs 10 1/4/90

of Mr Ch. Hough were such processions ever allowed after 10 P. M. As regards the general interference by the British Government with the really ancient and immemorial customs of the Hindu religion, many instances can be given, but the single one of the abolition of Suttee may suffice. I believe the British are still supposed to govern this Country

3. On para 2 I have already remarked about the limitation of such processions by my predecessors, and regarding any special reasons for passing such orde, there is no question: but that a large section of the public residing in the immediate vicinity and within very audible distance of the procession regard such nocturnal performances as an unmitigated nuisance, rendering night hideous and depriving very many of all chance of that sleep without which it is impossible for mankind to set about their daily tasks.

I also beg to refer you on this head to my endorsement dated 1st February 1890 no 281 given on a subsequent petition of a similar nature.

4. As to para 3 I know nothing of the great Moharam festival as conducted in Guntur, but if one party makes itself a nuisance, that is no reason why another party should; as far as I am aware, the Moharam is usually celebrated in the day time, which is reasonable.

5. Regarding para 4 I have already informed you of the incident alluded to, but why it is brought up in this petition, or what it has to do with my order regarding music at night I do not know, unless petitioners mean to delicately hint, but are not audacious enough to say outright, that this incident has affected my judgment in the matter: if so I can only say that long before this incident I had issued

precisely

precisely similar orders.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
(signed) C. Wolfe Murray
Ag- Joint Magistrate.

P.K

(illegible)

(illegible)

(illegible)

Petition no. 281.

Name of Petitioner : Kotamraju Venkata Krishnayya and others.

Residence of do : Guntur.

Inquiry shows that neither in the time of Mr Rice nor in that of Mr Kough formerly Joint Magistrates were processions with music allowed in the Town after 10 P. M. There is therefore no such immemorial and unbroken custom as alleged. The present Joint Magistrate has no wish to interfere with any one's religious rites, but Petitioners being educated men should bear in mind the legal maxim sic utre tuo et alienam non loedas; and when it is considered that 16 hours out of the 24 are now available for procession with music, that time may well be thought enough. Considering however the influential nature of the petition, the time may be extended on the night of February 3rd up to 11 P.M. as a special Concession. The ordinary hour of 10 P. M. which has been invariably en-

for

enforced since I have been here cannot be departed from on the other nights.

Petitioners are reminded that letting off explosive fireworks in the main streets is not allowed.

/Signed/ O. Wolfe Murray
Ag. Joint Magistrate

/True Copy/

District Magistrate.

7.R.

Kistna District Joint
Magistrate's office dated
Camp Jenali 20th February
1890.

No 256

From

O. Wolfe Murray Esquire,
Ag Joint Magistrate,
Kistna District.

To

The District Magistrate
Kistna.

Sir,

With reference to your Post card no 176 of 13th Instant there is no particular law or order that I know of except the orders that I have been passing on every petition submitted to me for leave to play music after 10 P.M, which orders are I believe valid for reasons given below. It appears to be the custom in Guntur to obtain the leave of the Magistrate for doing this, and, as far as I have enquired the gentlemen mentioned by me in my order used to refuse that permission.

2. There is no question whatever that I

as Joint Magistrate can under section 144. C.P.C. make such order; also under section 30 Act V of 1861, which empowers the Police to regulate music in the streets, and a fortiori the Divisional Magistrate who controls the Police, and who may be considered under section XXXIII of the same act to have that power, though the words used are "District Magistrate."

3. As to the necessity of the above order those who have lived for some weeks in Guntur where the tom-tom, fife and drum cease not day or night, except by order, between the hours of 10 P.M and 6 a.m. can very well judge.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
(Signed) C. Wolfenden
Ag Joint Magistrate.

(True Copy):

District Magistrate.

X. Judicial 1081 of 90
5

1st Jud. C. No 1081

From

The Collector and
District Magistrate,
Kistna,

To

The Chief Secretary
to Government,
Judicial Department
Madras

Dated 8th March 1890
Despd 10th —
Recd 15th —

No 68 Extra.

Enclosures. # 5 and
C. Nos 3196, 4104 & 4283 of 1889

CHIEF SECRETARY'S
15 MAR 90
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Reporting on the petition of
K. V. Krishnaiyya of Guntur
complaining against the Joint
Magistrate's order prohibiting
a Hindu procession with music
after 10 p. m. in Guntur.







Petition No 281 — 1-2-90

Name of Petitioner Potamraju Venkata Krishnayya & 2 of Guntur

Inquiry shows that neither in the time of Mr. Rice nor in that of Mr. Keough formerly Joint Magistrates were processions with music allowed in the town after 10 P.M. There is therefore no such immemorial and unbroken custom as alleged. The present Joint Magistrate has no wish to interfere with any one's religious rites, but petitioners being educated men bear in mind the legal maxim Sic utere tuo ut alienum non laedas, and when it is considered that sixteen hours out of the twenty four are now available for the Procession with music that time may well be thought enough. Considering however the influential nature of the petition the time may be extended on the night of the February 3rd up to 11 P.M. as a special concession. The ordinary hour of 10 P.M. which has been invariably enforced since I have been here cannot be departed from on the other nights. Petitioners are reminded that letting off explosive fire works in the main streets is not allowed.

(Signed) O. Wolfenden
Ag. Sub. Collector

(True Copy)

83 73

To

A. F. Arundel Esquire

The District Magistrate

Kristna

June 90

The humble petition Submitted by Kotamraju Venkata Kristniah, Dharmakartha of Mullaswara Swami vgaru. Guntoor.

Most honored Sir

An appeal against the order of the Joint Magistrate Kristna on the postponement of the Rathnal of the said Swami was preferred to your honor on 23rd ultimo. About the same, I represented orally to your honor in Bezwada. Your honor was pleased to promise a final order after arrival at Masulipatam. About the same I mined to your honor on 13th & 15th of this month reply being prepaid. But no reply was received. The flag called Dhwajam raised in consquence of the procession has not yet been lowered for non-Completion of Swamie's procession. Flag not being lowered considerable amount of money was needed

to be spent daily thereby also great damage is accruing

The Joint Magistrate Mr. C. Wolf Murray was pleased to opine by his subsequent order that neither in the time of Mr. Rice nor in that of Mr. Keough, formerly Joint Magistrates, were processions with music allowed after 10 P.M. Notwithstanding the existence of which was refused although tendered for perusal. There is the public record to show that processions with music were allowed throughout the whole night in the time of the Joint Magistrates (Mr. Rice & Mr. Keough) and in that of the European & native Magistrates from time immemorial previous to that of the said two Magistrates down to the period of Mr. Russel predecessor of the C. Wolf Murray, the present Joint Magistrate who refused to admit them notwithstanding the petitioner's filing them. The same was represented to your honor at Bezwada. If your honor be pleased to think that a perusal of the said record is necessary copies of the same will be immediately submitted. Therefore under the above circumstances I most humbly and respectfully beg that your honor may be pleased to favour an early

order for my petition dated 23-1-90. & to favour Copies of the orders & petitions, As for an application for copies herewith submitted. Charges for preparation of Copies are this day sent by Money-Order.

I beg to remain

Most honored Sir

Your most obedient servant

(Signed) K. V. Krishniah

(Petition Copy)

60

C. N°1226

87.

To

A. J. Cordon Esquire,

Under secretary to

Govt. Public Department

Madras

Most honored Sir,

As directed by you, I herein enclosed Copies of documents (as for list attached) showing the manner of the celebration of the procession for several years) alluded to, in our memorial to Government dated 29th January 1890; also copy of the order given by the Sub-collector En 281 dated 1-2-90; also copy of our

60

68 petition to the collector Kristna Dt. dated
22-2-90

I humbly beg to remain

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

(Signed) Dottiem Venkat-appa

Triplicane, Madaneedhi street door
No 61. Madras

17-3-90.

89

CURRENT No. 1226

[9]

Judicial Department.

From

Potturu Venkat appaya,

Applicant

No.

Dated 17th
Received 18th
Registered - 25th
} March 1890

Enclosures 14

SUBJECT.

Forwards copies of certain documents showing the manual for the celebration of the procession alluded to in his Memorial to Govt 29th Janry: last

93

Judl.

CNos. 423, 396, 1081. + another

From Venkata Krishnappa - 3 Feb.
" R. Subbarayudu - 3 Feb.
" Dt. Magt. Kistna - 8 Mch.
" P. Venkatappa - 17 Mch.

For orders.

In CNos. 377 + 396 telegrams fr. a certain Krishnaya, of Guntur, were received, complaining that the Joint Magt. has prohibited the procession of the Malleswaraswami temple after 10 p.m. These were transferred to the Dt. Magt by Endorsemt. of the 4th Feb.

CNos. 410 + 423 - were a telegram + Memorial referring to the same matter. Under H.M.'s orders of the 9 Feb. these were referred to the Dt. Magt. for full report.

On the 14th inst. a man named Venkappaya presented himself at the Govt. office. Under the Ch: Secy.'s orders, he was seen by the Undersecy. + a note (just up) made of what he said. Subsequently he has sent in copies of a number of documents, the originals of which he produced, & these have been translated.

In CNo. 1081 - will be found the Dt. Magt's Report. The matter seems to have been badly handled both by the Dt. Magt & Joint Magt. The Joint Magt's order of the 21st January 1890 prohibits the carrying of the Procession at all after 10 p.m. The Dt. Magt says that this was due to inadvertence, & that the Jt. Magt meant only to interdict the carrying of the procession with music. If so, the Jt. Magt was extremely careless in drafting an important order. The Dt. Magt. further says that the Joint Magt. "followed the rule which he reports to be unbroken". It will hardly be believed that a District Magt. disposing of criml. matters on appeal could be so careless as to

I agree in the view taken in the Dt's letter. Both Mr. Wolfe Murray & Mr. Arundel have been very careless in dealing with this matter, even so far as to ascertain what (Act XV of 1861) is & is not in force in this Presidency. Mr. Wolfe Murray claims to have power to act as he did under sec 144 of the Criminal Proc. Code. I doubt very much whether the section applies but supposing it does, it is certain that music at temples at night causes annoyance only to a fraction of the community - those to which Mr. Wolfe Murray himself belongs; and that any such annoyance is as nothing compared with that caused by a prohibitory order such as he framed, which has the effect of frustrating observances to which the natives attach importance. It is clear from this that it has been customary to have music throughout the night on one or more nights of this festival, and that the innovation or custom was Mr. Wolfe Murray's arbitrary selfish order. I am disgusted at the flippancy & heartlessness of the latter half of his letter No 167. Then of his kidney being objectionable as the British name.

Govt. has always set its face against restrictive bye-laws framed by municipal

misread the Jt Magt's Letters but it is as clear as possible that the rule referred to by the Jt Magt as unbroken is one of his own introduction + invention, + dates only from October last. when Mr Wolfe Murray went to Guntur! The Jt magt evidently thought that the Jt Magt's rule was a longstanding custom of which generations of Joint Magts

The exhibits now filed by the Petr. Venkapayya show beyond doubt that it has been the custom for 20 years to license the carrying of the Procession with music until 12 pm. for 5 nights, + all night on the bday of the feast.

The Jt Magt says in his 2nd order that in the time of Messrs Rice + Krough. processions with music were not allowed after 10 pm. Mr. Krough was eg. Jt Magt Kistna. from 19 Feb to 17 Oct 1878 - so that he could only have had to deal with one of these Processions. Mr. Rice was eg Jt Magt Kistna from July 1879 to June 1880, and possibly from the 22 Feb 1880 to 22 Feb 1881. In Feb. 1880 - permission was given for carrying the procession - with music + fireworks, throughout days + nights" for 5 days: in March 1881 a similar permission was given. Thus, the only precedent on wh. Mr. Wolfe Murray relies is proved to be against him.

The Jt magt's orders were made under (no) provision of law. They were contrary to long continued custom. They were exceedingly arbitrary + improper. + they were liable to provoke a grave contest betn the processionaires + the police, wh has only been avoided by the good sense + forbearance of the former. Mr. Wolfe Murray's point of view is clear fr. the foolish sentence at the end of para. 2 of his letter of the 6 Feb.

The Jt magt seems to have failed to examine [illegible] the Petr. + to have misread

Councils for the regulation of native music and I think that without express disapproval of Mr Wolfe Murray's proceedings and direct him to avoid repetition of them in future or elsewhere. The Petr. claimed he told that Mr Wolfe Murray's order had been dispensed & that the magistracy had been in future in accordance with custom & precedent.

I am surprised at Mr Crosthwaite

lcs
21 June.

I concur with my Hon Colleague HHG

22/3/90

[illegible] Jt Magt Kistna. Said by Mr Rice himself but presumably with in Knowledge.

The Police Act granted in order only to music in the streets. Whereas Mr Wolfe Murray's order prohibits it absolutely. It cannot only be framed under Sec 144 & I doubt whether that law is applicable. Application to the Supreme Government be appointed in such a case as this.

lcs

the Joint Magt's reports. The whole affair seems thoroughly mismanaged by all district authorities.

Sfl
20 mar

20/3

Note Mr Arundel is going away on leave on the 3rd April & Mr Wolfe Murray has been appointed to act for him

HG

Jud. Department. 97 87

CHIEF SECRETARIAT MADRAS

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following paper :—

C.P.Nos 396, 423, 1081 & another.

Order, 27 March 1890 No 586

The copies of documents filed by the Petr - P. Venkatappaya - with the last of the foregoing papers will be forwarded to the Coll: & Dt: Magt: Kistna, for perusal and return. They show clearly that ~~the~~ ~~accused~~ ~~were~~ ~~engaged~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~act~~ ~~for~~ ~~which~~ ~~they~~ ~~were~~ ~~charged~~ ~~with~~ ~~the~~ ~~offence~~ ~~of~~ ~~which~~ ~~they~~ ~~were~~ ~~convicted~~ ~~by~~ ~~the~~ ~~Joint~~ ~~Magistrate~~ ~~and~~ ~~that~~ ~~the~~ ~~conviction~~ ~~was~~ ~~illegal~~ ~~and~~ ~~should~~ ~~be~~ ~~quashed~~ ~~and~~ ~~the~~ ~~fine~~ ~~refunded~~ the Proceedings of the acting Joint Magt: dated the 21 January on Petn: No 148 and those without date on Petn: No 281 were a contravention of a long existent custom, extending over 30 years, during which ~~the~~ leave has been constantly granted to have music throughout the night on one or

nights of the festival in question and until 12 o'clock or later on other nights. One of the documents produced, that of February 1880, shows that even during the tenure of office of Mr Rice, to whom the acting Joint Magt appeal refers, this customary permission was granted by the Submagt, music being allowed at the temple & in the streets throughout the 8 days & nights of the festival. The acting Joint Magtb ~~correspondent~~ in his Letter of the 20 Feb 1890. No 25b, contends that ~~he~~ he was empowered to take the action he did by sec 144 of the Crim. Procedure Code amended by act V of 1861. The Act (Last named) is not in force in this Presidency at all. and even supposing that sec. 144 of act V of 1882 authorises such action as the acting Jt Magt has taken in this matter it is evident that his Proceedings do not comply with the requirements of the section, and that the nuisance caused by music at night to a fraction of the community is insignificant to the bulk of the inhabitants compared with that produced by the prohibitory order passed.

The Govt wholly disapproves of the (arbitrary and injudicious) proceedings of the acting Joint Magistrate, and he will avoid any repetition

of them in Guntoor or elsewhere. HE in Council is surprised to find that the Dt Magt should have dealt so superficially with such a question, even so far as to quote ~~an~~ an act in his Proc^s of the 8th March 1890 an act that is not in force in the Madras Presidency.

From orders.
ISJC
25 Mar

3 The following endorsement will be furnished to the Petrs: —

Petrs will be informed that the Govt has expressed disapproval of the orders of the Jt Magt. Kistna. appealed against & has instructed the magistracy to act in future in accordance with custom & precedent.

JG
25/3

To the Dt Magte Kistna with the Petitioner's Letter to the address
— Petitioner. Encl

Further Paper, No. of 188 .

Further Paper, No. of 188 .

Read again Order of Government, dated
188 , No. , Miscellaneous.

Enclosures.

Current No.

Statement with ditto.

Order of Government	A	A
Statement with ditto.		
Papers not filed up, being meant for despatch in original (not paged).		

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

ORDINARY, MS.

Judicial Department.
G.O., dated 27-3-90
No. 586

When given for copying

[Despatch Abstract.]

Passing orders on the appeal of the arbitrary & unjustifiable Proceedings of the Acting Joint Magistrate, Krishna relative to the prohibition of a hindu procession ... music after 10 P.M. ...