

(1) Number of pages 10

(2) Date of repair 22.11.48

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. <u>428-26</u>	Press Mis.
Dated <u>7.3</u>	18 <u>90</u>

Original

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1887

C. No. 774

From

Joseph Stevenson Esquire
Registrar of the High Court
of Indigence at Madras
Original Side

To

The Acting Chief Secretary
to Government
Judicial Department
Fort Saint George

Honorable Sir,

Reminder

With reference to your letter
Judicial R. N. 186 dated 15th Instant
I have the honor to state that the
Judges have already recorded their
opinion that it is not desirable to
make any change in the duties
of the Sheriff with respect to auction
sales and service of Subpoenas. The
question of poundage was considered
when the Rules were changed in
1876 and the Judges see no reason
for reverting to the old system.

From the Sheriff's letter of
the

May 1889 and the statement
ended it appears that as the
number of sales now conducted
him is very small any gain
be derived from the introduction
poundages would be inconsiderable.

I have the honor to be
Honorables Sir

Your most obedient servant
Joseph Stevenson
Registrar

Registrar's Office
High Court Madras
Original Side
15th February 1890

Just. C. No 774
From

Joseph Stevenson Esquire Barrister at Law,
Registrar of the High Court
of Judicature at Madras
Original Side

2

The Acting Chief Secretary
to Government
Judicial Department
Fort Saint George

High Court of Judicature,
Madras, 1st August 1889

40
Registrar of the
High Court of Judicature,
Appellate Side,
Madras.

J. F. Price,
Chief Secretary
to Government,
Fort St. George.

Dated 25 February 1890
Received 25-2-1890

No 87



Stating that the Judges have
already recorded their opinions
and that it is not desirable
to make any change in the
duties of the Sheriff with
respect to auction sales and
service of Subpoenas and
that they see no reason
for reverting to the old system
of poundages

to G. O. Judicial Depart.
dated 20 December 1888
& 11 June 1889, I am
to that the Honorable the
Judges of the High Court,
in consideration, see no sufficient
reason for making any changes in
the service of the Sheriff with respect to
the old system, in the
Honorable the Judges, is
that to the satisfaction

Further hints.

Judicial {1889} Department.

No.

FROM

THE REGISTRAR OF THE HIGH COURT
OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS,
APPELLATE SIDE.

Dated

Received

1st August } 1889

CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
23 AUG 2
No. 1872.

Stating that the
Honble the Judges see no suf-
ficient reason for making
any changes in the duties
of the Sheriff with respect
to auction sales and service
of subpoenas.

ex-Sheriff, Sir Ramaswami
Ishayya but just at the same time
in these & earlier further home

Jud. Department. 13

CHD. 2837 & 2864

order

Copy of the foregoing letter for the Honble
the Judges of the High Court, Madras,
to be forwarded to the Govt.
of India.

Alf.
6 Aug. 1906

17.

Copy to the Govt. of India, Home Dept. with
reference to letter No. 1006, dated 24 July 1906.
Alf.

To be over pending
reply to Reference on
CHD 2837
Alf.
6 Aug.

Note: The draft reference on CHD 2837
has not yet issued, as CHD 2864 seems to
express the views of the Court on the points
raised by the Lake Sheriff, there seems the
object in sending again to the High Court.

Alf.

The High Ct with few changes
in the clauses, but have not
expressed any opinion on the
question of boundary. I think C
H 2837 should go to them & this be
over pending reply - 16

to the same time
further points.

Jud. Department. 13 7



PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read again G.O. 5 Aug: 1889. N^o 1335

Read the following paper:—

From High Ct. A.S. 1st Aug 1889. N^o 1892
 - - - O.S. 25 Feb 1890. N^o 87.

Order 7 March 190 N^o 425

Copy of the foregoing Letters from
 the Honble the Judges of the High
 Court will be forwarded to the G.O.
 of India.

116
 5 Mar

116
 5 Mar.

116
 5 Mar.

N^o 425

Copy to the Govt of India, Home Dept
 with reference to Home Dept Letter
 N^o 1006, dated 24 July 1889 +
 Subsequent reminder.

116
 5 Mar.

Note. The correspondence begins with the
 papers in G.O. 20 Dec 1888. N^o 2596. Information on the
 main question - as to the future position
 of the Sheriff - was fully laid before India in Letter N^o 2597
 of that date, but India having called for further information
 regarding facts (Letter 20 Feb 89. N^o 373). This was furnished
 in Letter 11 June 1889. N^o 1003.

Meantime the G.O. 20 Dec 88 N^o 2596 had asked the High Ct's
 opinion on certain suggestions made by the ex Sheriff, Sir Thomas
 Black. These were furnished in G.O. 1889: but just at the same time
 the High Ct. was asked to give their views on these & other points.

ORDINARY, MS.

Journal DEPARTMENT.

G.O., DATED 7-3-90

No. 425-26

When given for copying

[Despatch Abstract.]

Forwarding to the Secretary
 copies of letters from the Registrar
 of the High Court, original &
 appellate sides stating that the
 Honble the Judges all in agree-
 ment reason for making any
 changes in the duties of the
 staff with respect to auction sales
 and service of subpoenas.

PRINTED IN G.O., dated 26th

October 1892. No. 2017-

6/1/91

4-1-15

60.5.23 B