

(1) Number of pages 10

(2) Date of repair 9. 12. 1970

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1410	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	26. 8. -	1890 196

Not to be folded or roughly handled

14 July 1890.

FROM Colonel C. A. Cortlandt
at INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,

To THE Chief Secretary
to Government
Ostacumide

SIR,

Enclosures.

I have the honor to bring to the notice of Government that no separate provision has been made for guarding the Sub jails at the various places in the District, this duty has hitherto been performed by the men provided for Treasury on the rural strength of the District, this greatly to the detriment of the working of the force. I therefore beg to ask that Government may be pleased to sanction an addition to the District strength of 11 Constables for this purpose.

Cont. 3
ajirupfur 3
Kail 33
Kunttham 2
Cont. 11

Process servers Separately provided in messages for 1890-91 are maintained. The duty of Jail guarding, serving and processes etc. form part of the ordinary duties of the Force.

each of the Subj. stations follow—

i. Kokanikulam a very important Sub jail, all short sentenced prisoners from the Sub jails at ^{Tinnevely} ~~Tinnevely~~ ^{District No. 2} ~~Tinnevely~~—
Kulasekarapatam, Otapalaram, Satur, Vindupatti, Rangumeri &c are transferred here; all the industrial prisoners of the 4 Magistrates located at this station are detained in this Sub jail; escorts for conducting prisoners to and from the Magistrates Courts in addition to guard duties render the work here exceptionally onerous.

ii. Vattinagiri, a m. s. Sub jail—established in 1882 and for which no extra force has been sanctioned.

iii. Pankananam the Taluk Treasury and Sub jail are separately located and the entry into the Treasury building cannot guard the Sub jail. 3 men of the Treasury guard are detailed as a Sub jail guard and are leaving the Treasury guard liberty.

2. Kuntham the Head additional Sub Magistrate has his work. The duty of Criminal Courting & guarding and es. 3. On receipt of orders sanctioning the above proposal the usual statement will be submitted.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt Servt

Process servers separately provided in the Budget for 1890-91 are maintained. The duty of Jail guarding, serving court processes etc form part of the ordinary duties of the Force.

FROM

Col. C. A. Conleard,

at Inspector General

of Police.

Replied to the District
Magistrate Timorelly for
remarks.

By order

Under Secy.


Dated 14th

Received

No. 3979

July 1890.

No.



SUBJECT

Don't see much good in this reference. The Police
responsible for the guarding of Sub-Tails under
Rule 1524. Is there any reason that separate
provision has ever been made for such guarding?

Asks for an increase
of 11 Constables to the
Timorelly District strength
as no separate provision
was made for guarding of
the Sub-Tails at the
stated stations.

Jul 27/5.
F. J. of Police, No. 3979, dated 14th July 1890

For orders

On this letter the J. of Police asks for 11 additional
Constables for guarding the Sub-Tails at 4 places
in the Timorelly District. He says that "no separate
provision has been made" at these places, & the guarding
has been done by men provided for the Treasury Guards
from the rural strength of the District.

The Budget makes distinct
provision for subsidiary jail guards
& Treasury guards sent for
to the Executive Police -
At Page 52 of the Budget for 90/91
the following provision is made
for jail guards - 257 men - cost
24004 Rs. -
for Treasury guards - 1460 men - cost
140,000 Rs. -
He proposes 252 for the cost in the
is that for 89/90, & the cost in the
complete the year was 23026 Rs.
(Budget) the year was 23026 Rs.
J. J.

400 process - narrow are
all provided for in the
in the Budget - but are
there for? J. J.
15/7/90

The question seems by no means clear. Under Jail
Rule 1524, the duty of guarding Sub-Tails is one
appertaining to the Police. If so, why should any
"separate provision" be made? On the
other hand the Police Budget for 90-91 (Rs. 786
Fid - 11 Nov 1899) shows that 252 Constables
are provided for Subsidiary Jail Guards - at a
cost of over Rs. 22000.
But these men may have been specially sanctioned.
Pecuniary additions to establishments are always
objectionable, as being difficult to check and control.
In a recent order - (under disposal) ^{all Supts have been} ~~the J. of Police~~
asked to report to what extent the District Force is
undermanned. It is submitted that the District
Magistrate be told to deal with this case when forwarding
the Supt's reports - & to take care that other
wants of this kind are then provided for.

11/9/8. J. J. 12/2/8.

Read again G.O. 7 Aug. 1890. 10. 1308 J.D.
also C.N. 2775.

Order 26 August 1890 No. 1410.

The District Magistrate should deal with the increase asked for
in the foregoing letter when submitting the
proposed reports called for in G.O. 7 Aug. 1890. No.
1308. It should then be explained why
separate provision for subsidiary jail guards &
treasury guards is made in the Budget apart
from the District Executive Police, & what the 400
process services separately provided in the Budget
for 1890-91 are maintained. The duty of jail
guarding, serving civil processes etc. ^{for} ~~part~~
form part of the ordinary duties of the Force.

Timewell Police force

and it should be made clear on what
 principle separate establishments for
 these purposes are maintained, whether
 they exist everywhere or only in certain
 localities, whether they are employed
 solely on the duties indicated in the budget,
 whether they can be merged in the
 general body of the Police.

Alf 22 aug. 25 25

Put up the G.O. calling on all
 S. & S. to report insufficiencies
 in the Force
 11/12

10 June 1912
 DESPATCHED
 1 SEP 1912

14128
 17.6.2

ORDINARY, MS.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED 26-8-90
No. 1410.

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18, No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

When given for copying 29/9

CURRENT No. 2775

[Despatch Abstract.]

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT. 1410. A A

Passing order on letter from the
City Inspector General of Police
asking for an increase of 11
Constables to the Inner City Dis.
District strength as no separate
provision was made for
guarding the bus fairs at the
places noted.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not pagd).

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.

Dated 196

Not to be folded or roughly handled