

(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 8

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 18/5/93

(3) ஆதாரங் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 18/5/93

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

திரு. துறை

அரசாணை எண்.	1735	அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.		பலவகை
நாள் :	16-8-1888	199

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது.

No. 1989. High Court of Judicature,
Madras, 7th August 1888.

From

CURRENT LE.

3444

T. M. Horefall Esquire,
Acting Registrar of the
High Court of Judicature
at Madras.

To

1935

J. H. Price Esquire,
Acting Chief Secretary
to Government,
Fort Saint George.

Sir, I have the honor to acknow-
-ledge receipt of your letter, Judicial,
No. 1367 dated 21st June 1888, and
its enclosures (latter herewith returned).

2. I am directed to state, in reply,
that in view of the safeguards
proposed by Government, the
High Court are now willing to
withdraw their objection to the
abolition of the office of Coroner.

3. I am further directed to re-
quest that when Sections 174 to 176,
Code of Criminal Procedure, have
been made applicable to the

Madras

Madras Town Police the High
Court would be glad to be fur-
nished with the rules framed
thereunder for the conduct of
inquests by the Town Police, em-
bodying the above safeguards.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

J. N. S. S. S.

Acting Registrar,
Appellate Side.

1935

24 375/124
CURRENT 1938


1735

Judicial {1938} Department.

No.

FROM

Ag.
THE REGISTRAR OF THE HIGH COURT
OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS,
APPELLATE SIDE.



Dated

Received

7th August } 1938

Cover 209/2395

No. 1989. N. 10

Enc:

Stating that the High Court are now willing to withdraw their objection to the abolition of the office of Canonet.

It is requested that the following department and number may be quoted in the reply to this letter:

Ind No. 1735



Chief Secretary's Office,

Port of George, 16th August 1888.

Ind to the Chief Secy of the Port of George

SIR,

with reference to your letter, no. 772, dated 27th April 1888, on the subject the proposed abolition of the office Coroner in the Town of Madras, am directed to forward copies the marginally noted correspondence, from which it will be observed that the Honble the Judges of the High Court have withdrawn their objection to the proposed abolition in view of the ^{certain} safeguards ^{of this sort} proposed to be prepared to be adopted ~~specified in the letter~~ the Honble the Chief Justice, no. 1367, dated 21st June 88.

F. Comm. of Police - 29 May 88 No 37
To Chief Justice, 21st June 88 No 1367
F. Regt. High Ct. 7 Aug 88 No 1989

*8771
15 Aug.*

(Mention this matter in Council - but do not delay the despatch of the letter.)

Alp.
13 Aug.

to.

This seems to be all that need now be said to die. As a fact, Col. Weldon, in his proposals in connection with Mr. Giles report, has abandoned a scheme for 8 Dis. S. H. Officers (referred to in no. 3 of Letter to India - no. 1205 - dated 6 June 87) proposes to give the highest work mainly to a Chief Inspector. It is unnecessary to go into this now, we were to do so most very likely being a fresh avenue for details for the Home Dept.

Alp.

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, dated

188, No.

MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.-

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

1735

OF 188

27-10-88-2232

ORDINARY, MS.

Judson C DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED *16 August/88*
No. *1735*

When given for copying

[Despatch Abstract.]

*Regarding the abolition of the
Office of Counsel in the
Court of the S. S. and.*