

(1) Number of pages 8

(2) Date of repair 30.7.48

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. <u>1643</u>	<u>Press</u> <u>Mis.</u>
Dated <u>4-8</u> 1888.	

Miss. Original

Not to be folded or roughly handled

To. Viragapatam District Magistrate
Office July 1888.
CURRENT NO 225

From H. G. Turner Esqr
District Magistrate
Viragapatam.

To. J. F. Price Esqr
Ag. Chief Secretary to Government
Madras.

Sir,

The Assistant Superintendent of
Police, Parvatipur, has lately requested my
Senior Asst. Magistrate to obtain and
send him copies of judgments and depositions
in 8 Criminal cases which were disposed of
by the 2nd Class Magistrate of Parvatipur
Talug. The purpose for which these copies
are required was not stated by the Assistant
Superintendent in his application to the
Senior Assistant Magistrate, but the
Assistant Superintendent informs me,

my

my asking him, that he requires them for the purpose of satisfying himself as to whether there were sufficient grounds for the acquittals of the persons concerned, with reference to certain complaints made to him by the Police Inspector of the Division to the effect that the Taluk Magistrate was dismissing cases on grounds which the Police Inspector considered insufficient.

2 The Police, however, do not pay for such copies, and the Senior Assistant Magistrate refers the matter to me, observing that the already overworked Establishment of the Parvatipur Taluk Office cannot, in justice, be saddled with the additional labour of supplying free copies of the entire records of these cases for the use of the Police. The Senior Assistant Magistrate also complains that he is constantly being

troubled in this way by the Police for copies, and I understand a similar difficulty is felt in other divisions.

3. As I do not remember any orders or rules on the subject, I have the honor to request you will be so good as to inform me to what extent the requests of the Police in this direction are to be complied with.

4. The work of the Taluk Offices having these many years very much increased, I beg to suggest that Government may be pleased, should they see no objection, to sanction the payment of fees by the Police Department for copies furnished to them, to magisterial courts, as they have done in the case of Salt & Alkari cases. (G. O. 7th February 1887 no. 249 Judicial).

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your most obedient servant.

H. Turner
Dist. Magistrate.

Referred to the Inspector General of Police
for remarks
(by order)
A. J. Under Secretary

1888

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

FROM

District Magistrate
THE COLLECTOR OF

VIZAGAPATAM.

TO

The Chief Secretary to
Government, Madras.

Dated

Received

1888

No. 100

ENCLOSURES.

Representing that Government may
be pleased to sanction the payment
of fees to Magisterial Courts by the
Police Department for copies of
records and judgments in calendar
cases furnished to them.

* returned to PWD
see Note for orders

4 Aug/88 No 1643.

The Police Establishments are
hardly ^{such} adequate for their ordi-
nary work & the duty of copying
the complete record of 8 cases
on one requisition cannot possibly
be imposed on them. any reasonable
request from another Dept. should be
complied with as far as possible
but in such a case as the present
the Dept. must make his
own arrangements with the Magistrate
in the Office or send one of his own
subordinates to prepare the copies. If
some all reasonable facilities should be
given if he elects the latter course

7 PH
3 Aug

2nd. G. M. Police Dept 14/8

C.N. 3225.

From the D. Magt of Vizagm, no. 1657, dated
17 July 1888.

For Orders.

The objections to interdepartmental adjust-
ments of small sums (Civil acc. Code, vol. 1,
chap. 5, Rule 24) are provided out in the PWD
no. 1382, 8 May 88, read in G.O. 12 June 88 no 1312
and G.O. 19 July 88. No 1537. It seems undeniable
to extend the system.

The Police & Magistracy are ^{so} intimately connected
that to make the former pay for copies of the
latter's judgments would be anomalous.
With reference to para. 3 of the D. Magt's letter,
submitted that all requests of the Police for
copies should be complied with, subject of course
to reference to Govt. or the Dy. if the power to call
for copies is abused.

Alp.
31 July.

The making of copies for the use of the Police
should entail extra work upon already
overworked establishments. I should care
that there would not be the slightest
objection to giving them free but rather
as the reverse is the case I submit
that the Police should either pay for
the copies supplied or be told that
they may have the copies free if they
send a man to make & compare them.
Preparing copies of depositions in eight
cases is no mean addition to the work
of a Sub-Inspector.

11 Aug.

Handwritten text in the top left corner, possibly a title or header.

Handwritten text in the middle left section, possibly a list or notes.

Handwritten text in the bottom left section, possibly a list or notes.

Handwritten text in the bottom right section, possibly a list or notes.

Handwritten text in the bottom right corner, possibly a list or notes.

ORDINARY, MS.

<i>Judicial</i>
DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED <i>4/8/88.</i>
No. <i>1643.</i>

When given for copying

[Despatch Abstract.]

*For copies of records of Judges
furnished to the Police Dept.*