

(1) Number of pages 2
(2) Date of repair 20.7.18
(3) Initials of the Referee

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. <u>1312</u>	Press Mis.
Dated <u>12. 6</u>	1888

*Original*Not to be folded or roughly handled

Treasury Department.

Fort St. George,
Accountant-General's Office,
6th June 1888.

No. 1598.

From

E. W. Kellum Esq.
Off. ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL

17

TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Chief Secretary
to Government
Judicial Department

SIR,

In reply to your Endorsement dated the 14th of May 1888, on Public Works Accounts notes, regarding the payment of Cost of Copies of Judgments, in Department of Public Works Cases, I have the honor to report that, the charges should in accordance with the provisions of rule 24, Chapter 5, Civil Account Code, be borne by the Judicial Department; but as Government have so lately ruled that in Salt and Alkari Cases the Department affected is to bear the expense, their ruling of 14th February 1888 N: 399 Judicial, ordering the same course to be pursued in Department of Public Works Cases, may stand.

It is not a matter in which the regulation of the Codes need be strictly adhered to.

I have the honor to be,
Yours most obed^t Servant

E. W. Kellum

Off. Account General

W. C.

Judl.
C. No. 2105.

From P.W.D. no. 138 D. 8 May 1888.

For orders,

In his letter, 24 Jan 88, No 42 M., the D. Magt of Tanjore ^{asked that} would either rule that copies of judgment in criminal cases be by officers of the P.W.D. & in which the decision is apt the Dept, might be granted free of cost, or sanction the payment of fees by the P.W.D. to magt courts as had been done in regard to the Salt & Akbari Dept in S.O. No 249-7 Feb 87.

The L.O. 14 Feb 88, No 399, followed the order of 7 Feb 87, under which the cost of copies of judgments is first incurred by the magt & then commd by them to the Dept concerned for adjustment.

The Examiner. P.W. Accts now points out that under P.W. Code para 1886 & Civil Acct Code, vol 1, chap. 5, Rule 24. interdepartmental adjustments of under Rs. 50 are forbidden, & as the magt cases, in which copies of judgments are required by the P.W.D., are few, prob. each adjustment would be but for a rupee or two. He suggests that free copies should be granted. He seems to be right.

Rel.
9 May.

It seems to me that rule 24 of Ch. 5 Civ. Acct Code is not applicable at all, and that there is no objection to the Ex. Eng. charging the cost of the stamps for copying fees in his own contingent bill. There is no need for inter departmental adjustment at all.

W.S.
10 May

13/6

Indicial (1888) DEPARTMENT.

From
THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,
Madras,

To
THE *Chief Secy. Govt.*
Indicial Dept.

H.M.

Ami

No. 1598.

Dated *6th*
Received *1st June* 1888.

order. 13 June/88 & 13/12

The Acct'ant's letter will be commd
to the P.W.D. with reference to Endorsmt
in that Dept. no. 138 D, dated 8th May 88.

Agg. Secy.
11 June



Subject.

*Reporting that Charges for
Copies of judgments in de-
partment of P.W. Cases
should in accordance with the
provisions of Rule 24 Chapter
5 Civil Act Code be borne
by the Judicial Department.*

13/6

P.W. & M. C. No 2135-19/11/88