

(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 10

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 9/9-0

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் மு

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

Jeptical துறை

அரசாணை எண்.
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.

924

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 28.4.1887

1987

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

The Governor in Council

Fort St. George

May it please your Excellency

98/1

That your Excellency's petitioner, is the late Mutadar of Lambasingi Patharidhi attached to the Agency of Vizagapatnam most respectfully and humbly pray to represent to your Excellency the following sketch for your Lordship's perusal and favourable consideration.

That the Mutah of Lambasingi was acquired by the petitioner's ancestors from the Zemindars and thenceforward were enjoying it as ¹²²Barra. Mookhava.

That such had been the case also in the British administration. Some time after Anantha-
bheupati and Jammadarumma, proprietors of Golugonda died. Then the Taluk was confiscated under Amari and at the same time puttahs were granted to all Mutadars, assigning proportioned rates to each and authorizing them to be in charge of a Mutah.

That the Agency puttah of Lambasingi originated in the name of the petitioner's father Bhimma Nadalu, who was forced to pay an annual Kattubasi of Rs 150.

That in the enjoyment of the said Mutah by the petitioner's father, Ponda Kannala Bhimathupati Malaya made a petition.

from them the stolen property. For his meritorious service Government awarded him a reward of Rs. 500.

That P. S. E. Subbarayya's Petitioner was a man who when his father died and so his uncle Sarasimha Nadalu usurped the Muttah. When the petitioner attained his Majority, he preferred a suit in the Court of the Governor's Agent, Vizagapatnam, who adjudged in final that the Muttah should be possessed by the petitioner.

That when Mr. D. F. Carmichael visited the Gudum Hill as special Commissioner to settle Darnas. Kondraji Choudhaya Titoori, he divided Lambasingi into two parts and nominated both the petitioner and his uncle to Lambasingi. Kottaridi & Putharidi Patharathi Muttah had to pay annually a kuttah of Rs. 125 and Kottaridi 100 and we had to render services to the Govt. whenever they require. With these conditions the petitioner and his uncle were favoured with puttahs.

That the petitioner received a reward of Rs. 50 from the Govt. for arresting and producing before the Authorities Pandu Viharada & who got and was concerned in it.

That in the distribution of Rs. 1000 he assisted K. V. Narayana Swami Nayudu. Police Inspector of Vizagapatnam in recovering the properties robbed by Gummie Kathari & likewise assisted Mr. Markes. Inspector of Police, Division of Vizagapatnam. Two years ago a common laborer's slight

3

Talug. It received them for some time and also came into Ellamanchili. After visiting the said Talug for upwards of a year, it at least walked into Sarabhapatnam, where the villagers worshipped the idols for some days. When their service was over, they first sent a notice to the head of the adjacent village to say that Ramulavaram was coming to their hamlet and that they should worship him and the next day the pictures of Anjanaiya were carried to the previously named villages, where natives received the bearers of the said pictures with hospitality. After worshipping the idols to the best of their ability as cited above, they despatched it to a second village.

That the petitioner also received a similar programme to which he replied that he would render homage within his power. In 10 or 12 days after he replied, the pictures "Rama's putam" and "Rama's Pandu" came into his village. Worshipping them, to the best of his ability, the petitioner followed the procession to the village of Sarabhapatnam. About 20 days after this, another notice was issued in the same manner and owing to the pressure of heavy work at that time, the petitioner could not reply it intending to worship it when would come. But after an lapse of some 3 or 4 days, the people who assembled at the Friday Market at Kondasani a village in his jurisdiction, apprised him of the incendiarious of the Gudum Station by the Rebels. Thereupon, he suspected that the

was then associated with Golugonda Fakhildar, they ordered him to
hunt the rebels jointly with the Inspector of Police, Vijayanagaram.
The petitioner immediately started and met the Inspector
and recovered the aforesaid property.

If your Excellency enquires the Fakhildars, "Sleek Insults"
of the above taluqs, as well as the heads of the villages concerned,
the notice which the petitioner presented tends to convince your
Lordship, that the petitioner was not guilty.

That while the petitioner rendered a meritorious service for a
period of 3 months till the suppression of the insurrection,
on the 11th Dec. 1856. the petitioner received an order from the
Agent to the Governor, stating that having co-operated with
the rebels in Kolate fitoori, the Government felt angry
towards the petitioner and consequently his muelah will be
annexed to the Government and he comes their control.
That this was proclaimed in G. O. No. 380 dated 8th Nov. 1856.

(Judicial) that henceforward the district of Lambasingi
Pattiwada will be managed as Amami, by the Golugonda
Fakhildar, neither the petitioner nor his Agents that
should in any way tamper with the collection of imposition
of rents and that I must severely be informed that I am
not to be legally punished if I fail to adhere in these instruc-
tions. This order was sent to the Agent by Magistrate,
Vijayanagaram, who sent for the petitioner and handed it
over to him. Afterwards he was ordered to reside at
Vijayanagaram, where he used to receive a diurnal allowance
of Rs 1 from the taluqdar as a reward.

4
Inspector For two days a police guard was at the
petitioner's house, after which he knows not why
ceased to do so.

92/3
The petitioner is a man who aided the Govt
who used to reward him in return. His property Kanyapriya
was taken from the petitioner with his attendance. It
is not just to deprive the petitioner of his muelah on the
more reports submitted against through suspension. Besides
it is not just to issue orders to the Dist. Magistrate to the
effect that he should receive allowance at Vijayanagaram
leaving his family far off.

In conclusion the petitioner humbly
prays your Lordship will be pleased to enquire into all
the above mentioned circumstances & restore him to
his former possessions.

For which act of justice the petitioner
shall feel extremely thankful and shall as indubitably
bound ever pray.

Vijayanagaram (Signed) B. Balayya Madala
17th March 1857

724
41

SECRET
24 MAR 57

20 3 47

March 27
This appears to relate to the
agreement

140

Judicial DEPARTMENT

From B. Balappa Fadnis
Vizianagram

No.

Dated 4th March/07

Received 25th March/87

Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Praying for restoration
 him of the mental
 of Lombasingi.

To Peter
- Agent to Gen. Vign
- Re. Sept.

2-10-1972 300/4

BACK PAPER, NO

Горный Роден, Ш.

CPD

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, dated
133, No. . MICHELLESON

ENCLOSURE

..CORRENT No

STATEMENT WITH DIITC

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH PHOTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING WAST FOR DISPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not pagged).

A. R. —

1. Copy in H.C.:

Not to be copied

11 - Copy abstract of

Copy heading on

T. 30 RH
520/125

[11]

ORDINARY, MS.

<i>Judicial</i>	DEPARTMENT.
G. O. DATED 28/4/87.	
No. 924.	

When given for copying 30/8/87

[Despatch Abstract.]

*Petition for restoration
of the mutak of claret
being rejected.*