

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 16
(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 1956
(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் கருக்கொப்பம் 1956

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

செ. சி. சி. சி. துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	179-00	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	20-1-1956	1956

1956
வா கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers:—

From GOLGONDA VENKATARAMA BHUPATI, Vizagapatam, dated 10th August 1885.

From GOLGONDA VENKATARAMA BHUPATI, Vizagapatam, dated 4th November 1885.

From SRI G. VENKATARAMA BHUPATI, Vizagapatam, dated 9th November 1885.

DAVUGARU, Vizagapatam, dated 10th November 1885, No. Ex-57.

From H. G. TURNER, Esq., Acting Chief Secretary to Government, dated 15th June 1885, No. 1571.

Referring to the Orders of Government, Judicial, I have the honor to report as under.
2. As directed by the Government in the order, the Tahsildar of Golconda has, under my instructions, taken possession of the villages of Srungavaram and Manyapuvuratla, with the exception of Golconda Sanyasi Bhupati's share in the latter village which is left in *statu quo*.
3. These two villages, as the Government are aware, were under our management up to the end of Fasli 1290. In the beginning of Fasli 1291 they were made over to Golconda Chinna Bhupati under orders of Mr. Carmichael, the Special Commissioner.
4. In Fasli 1290, the last year of our management, the demand of these two villages was Rs. 5,362-12-0, as shown below:—

	Rs.	A.	P.
Manyapuvuratla—			
Ryotwari	3,370	13	11
Other items	201	10	0
	3,572	7	11
Srungavaram—			
Ryotwari	1,190	5	11
Other items	599	14	2
	1,790	4	1
Total	5,362	12	0

Their demand in Fasli 1294, the year in which Chinna Bhupati died, is stated by his son, Venkatarama Bhupati, to be Rs. 5,660-9-8, as shown below:—

		RS.	A.	P.
Manyapuvuratla—				
Ryotwari	..	3,759	6	10
Other items	..	399	8	0
		4,158	14	10
Srungavaram—				
Ryotwari	..	1,183	13	1
Other items	..	317	13	9
		1,501	10	10
Total	..	5,660	9	8

Details of these demands are given in the statement enclosed. It will be seen that, after the villages were made over to him under Mr. Carmichael's orders, Chinna Bhupati made a considerable enhancement (Rs. 338) in the rents of the mamool pattah lands in Manyapuvuratla village. This raising of the rents was not done on any reasonable grounds, and Mr. Power, the Principal Assistant Collector, thinks that we should at once reduce the rents to the amounts charged in Fasli 1290, and I quite agree with him.

5. In estimating the income to be derived in future from these villages, we should, I think, be guided by the demand for Fasli 1290, when the villages were under Government management, as the figures for Fasli 1294, furnished by Chinna Bhupati's son, are of doubtful accuracy, as accounts do not appear to have been properly kept since the villages were made over to Chinna Bhupati. Of the items which make up the demand for Fasli 1290, only items that can safely be depended upon for management. Inam kattubadi and water-tax are the only items that can safely be depended upon, as constant, the other items, such as cultivation, &c., being of a fluctuating nature and liable to disappear at any time. T. and under the above three heads in Fasli 1290 was Rs. 4,771-6-5, as shown below:

		RS.	A.	P.
Manyapuvuratla		3,450	5	11
Srungavaram		1,321	0	6
Total	..	4,771	6	5

This amount of Rs. 4,771 should, in my opinion, be taken as the safe income of these two villages. The figures given above do not include the share Golconda Sanyasi Bhupati has in Manyapuvuratla; and the widows of the late Veera Bhupati have no claim whatever on either of these two villages.

6. As to expenditure to be incurred on account of the villages, the most important item is repair of irrigation works. I beg to enclose a statement giving description of these works, their ayacuts and the amounts considered necessary for their repairs. The largest and the most important of these works is a dam called Nimmakattu across the Tandava river which irrigates 304 acres of land assessed at Rs. 3,052 in Manyapuvuratla, besides supplying water to some Inams and Agraharam lands. This dam is a temporary structure of rough stones, earth and brush-wood, and requires to be repaired annually. The cost of its repairs is estimated at Rs. 200, of which a half is benefited by the dam. The other irrigation works are all small tanks with ayacuts of less than 100 acres. They are now in bad condition and require to be repaired, the cost of which is estimated at Rs. 1,045. Of this amount, half is proposed to be spent this year and the other half next year. From 1887-88 a provision of Rs. 200 on account of these tanks will be enough.

7. With regard to cost of collection, none need be incurred for Srungavaram, whose revenue is not much and in which all the village servants have service Inam

lands. For Manyapuvuratla there is a munsif who has a service Inam which is worth to him about Rs. 30 per annum. If an allowance of Rs. 42 is annually paid to him, in addition to his income from the service Inam, he can be employed to collect the revenue of the village. This would give him a salary of Rs. 6 per mensem which, considering the large demand of the village, cannot be thought to be too much.

8. To attend to the repairs of Nimmakattu promptly and to see that water thereof is properly distributed, an establishment is required, consisting of a gumastah on Rs. 10 and a peon on Rs. 4 per mensem. This will amount to Rs. 168 per annum. When Chinna Bhupati managed the villages he employed a similar establishment.

9. According to my proposals enumerated above, the financial situation of the villages will be as under:—

		RS.
Demand	..	4,771
Expenditure—		
Permanent—		
Repairs to Nimmakattu	..	100
Establishment on account of Nimmakattu	..	168
Munsif's pay	..	42
		310
Repairs to tanks	..	525
Total	..	835

From the year 1887-88 the amount estimated for repair of tanks will be Rs. 200 as has been stated above.

10. From the above figures it will be seen that there will be a balance of Rs. 3,936, out of which provision will have to be made for the support of the heirs of the late Chinna Bhupati. I would make the provision as shown below:—

Allowance to the late Chinna Bhupati's
Maintenance of the Bhupati's family

		Annually, RS.
Inmate wife	..	250
	..	2,000
Total	..	2,250

Chinna Bhupati's family now consists of (wives of Chinna Bhupati). I think Rs. 2,250 considering the small strength of the family. approved of, there will be a balance of Rs. 1,686 of Government every year.

sons, one daughter and two widows a year will be a liberal allowance the provision I have made above is in round figures to go to the credit

11. In reference to the Order of Government, dated the 9th September last, No. 1604, on the petition of Golconda Venkataiah Bhupati and Venkatasanthia Bhupati, sons of the late Chinna Bhupati, I beg to state that the petitioners pray that the order of resumption of the villages conveyed in G.O., No. 1571, of the 15th June last, be cancelled and that the villages (Manyapuvuratla and Srungavaram) be restored to them. The nature of the grant of these villages to the Government in my letter of 1st May 1885 No. 554. It is true that, under clause 11 of the sanad by which the grant was made, the Government reserved to itself the right, on the demise of the original holders, to renew the grant to their heirs or to make such arrangements as may be deemed equitable but in a subsequent order, No. 364, dated the 24th March 1860, the Government acted that these villages be held under attachment and their proceeds paid to Chinna Bhupati for his support and that the villages be restored to his heirs after his death. It is on this order that the petitioners rely. I do not know whether, when passing the order, No. 1571, Judicial, dated the 15th June 1885, the Government had the petitioners under the spirit of this order, be continually proceeding against the view or not. If the villages be restored to the petitioners and having the rents thereof attached and sold in satisfaction of their claims

through the means of the ordinary civil courts and the object of the grant will thus be frustrated. In the event of Government being pleased to restore the villages to the petitioners as per their order of 1860, I beg to suggest that the villages be kept under Government management until such time as all the debts contracted by Chinna Bhupati, which may be declared by the court to be legitimate, are cleared and his eldest son, Venkatarama Bhupati, becomes able to manage them himself as proposed in paragraphs 15 and 17 of my letter, No. 554.

ENCLOSURES.

STATEMENT showing the Demand of the Villages of Manyapururatta and Srungavaram for 1290 and 1294.

Village.	Items.	Demand of Fasli 1290.	Demand of Fasli 1294 as given by the sons of the late Golconda Chinna Bhupati.	Difference.	
				Increase.	Decrease.
Manyapururatta.	Ryotwari, minus Rs. 651-10-3 the amount of Sanyasi Bhupati's share.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
	Land kattubadi	5,370 14 11	3,750 6 13	348 8 11	..
	Water-tax	67 5 0	68 0 0	0 5 0	..
	Charge on village-oto	12 0 0	66 0 0	44 0 0	..
	Grazing-tax	20 0 0	7 0 0	..	13 0 0
	Cultivation without darabha	0 8 0	..	..	0 8 0
	hill cultivation or kondapoli	97 10 0	185 8 0	87 14 0	..
	Fruit trees	2 0 0	11 0 0	9 0 0	..
	Sale of water	1 0 0	13 0 0	12 0 0	..
	Total	5,572 7 11	4,159 14 10	598 6 11	..
Srungavaram	Ryotwari	1,190 5 11	1,183 13 1	..	6 8 10
	Land kattubadi	28 0 0	12 0 0	..	16 0 0
	Water-tax	102 10 7	118 4 11	15 10 4	..
	Cultivation without darabha	435 3 7	162 10 4	..	272 9 3
	hill cultivation or kondapoli	34 0 0	5 8 0	..	28 8 0
	Fruit trees	..	19 6 6	19 6 6	..
Total		1,790 4 1	1,501 10 10	..	288 9 3
Grand Tot		5,362 12 0	5,660 9 8	297 13 8	..

* This is the amount paid by the owners of land from the Nimmakattu in Manyapururatta.

STATEMENT showing the Particulars of the

Name of village.	Name of irrigation source.
Manyapururatta	1. Nimmakattu
Srungavaram	2. Raju cheruvu
	3. Poda cheruvu
	4. Boddavani cheruvu
	5. Lingamaya do.
	6. Pedduma do.
	7. Jaggu Nayudu cheruvu
	8. Padulamma cheruvu
	9. Kalla Tammaya cheruvu
	10. Ialamvani cheruvu
	11. Bontavani do.
Total	

in Turi Estate, Godavari district, for water supplied to them

igation Works in the Villages of Manyapururatta and Srungavaram.

Extent.	Ayacut.		Amount required for repairs.	Remarks.
	Assessment.			
4 57	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	* This is half the cost of repairs. The other half is by custom contributed by the owners of neighbouring Agraharam villages.
3 0	3,053 7 5	100 0 0	75 0 0	
1 0	121 0 0	300 0 0	300 0 0	
8 0	450 12 0	60 0 0	60 0 0	
0 0	23 14 0	30 0 0	30 0 0	
0 0	62 8 0	70 0 0	70 0 0	
0 0	89 0 0	30 0 0	30 0 0	
0 0	40 7 0	60 0 0	60 0 0	
0 87	81 1 6	20 0 0	20 0 0	
0 0	24 0 0	80 0 0	80 0 0	
0 13	119 10 0	40 0 0	40 0 0	
2 80	74 6 0	845 0 0	845 0 0	
27	4,109 1 11	845 0 0	845 0 0	

ORDER—dated 20th January 1886, No. 179, Jud

His Excellency the Governor in Council by the Agent in Vizagapatam, submitted with ref. No. 1571, Judicial, and resolves to sanction, sub. ment of India, the grant of an allowance of Rs. 2 the resumed villages for the support of the family consists of two sons, one daughter, two widows not legally married. The allowance will be Bhupati, for the support of the whole family, member, when the propriety of making a prop will be considered.

2. The Agent will address the Board o raised by him regarding the repair of irriga of the revenue in the resumed villages.

3. The following endorsement will be Bhupati and Venkatasanta Bhupati:—

"Petitioners are referred to the Agent who

(True Extr

fully considered the report of G.O., dated 15th June 1885, the confirmation of the Govern- er annum from the revenues of late Chinna Bhupati, which arried to him and one widow the eldest son, Venkatarama eport on the demise of each eduction from the allowance

in reference to the questions s and the cost of collection

to petitioners Venkatarama

orders on their case."

Acting Chief Secretary.

To the Agent to the Governor in Vizagapatam.

" Revenue department.

" Accountant-General.

Endorsement to petitioners.

Exd. P. L. Pereira.

Gobt. of (1886) ^{Madra}
JUDICIAL.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 20th January 1886, No. 179.

JANUARY.

Allowance to Chinna Bhupati's
Family.

Sanctioning, with instructions, the grant of an
— of Rs. 2,250 per annum from the revenues of
the resumed villages, and referring the petitioners
to the Government Agent, Vizagapatam.

Note for is. S. ^u ₅

Please say whether
it is necessary to print the
petitions. the first of them
Je C. 103025 has not been
returned by the job - said
that the petition is simply
not giving name & date -
but printing (do up at all)

Yes all _{16/1} _{16/1}

25/10/85
1-11-85
Buckley & Co

1.

His Excellency the Governor
in Council
Fort Saint George.

May it please your Excellency

The humble petition of Golconda
Venkata Rama Bhupati Deva Gar, And Venkata Santa
Bhupati Deva Gar, Sons of the late Sri Golconda
Chima Bhupati Deva Gar.

1. We presented a petition to your Excellency
on 12th August 1885, but no reply has yet been given;
and we are suffering very much from want of means
for our food.

2. In conformity with G. O. No. 364 dated 24th
March 1880, the Governor's Agent at Nagapatnam sent
a Hukam to the Tahsildar of Golconda that the two
villages of Manjapa Viratla and Springanaram be
taken out of the Head of Jerothi and classed under
the Head of Manjapa and sent also a copy of the
said Hukam to us for our information whose copy
is hereto attached for your Excellency's perusal.

3. While our father was alive, the Governor's
Agent at Nagapatnam issued a Hukam No. 98 dated
5th June 1881 to the effect that the Secretary to the Special
Commissioner, released the said villages from at-
tachment by Order No. 170 dated 4th June 1880, in
conformity with the Government Order No. 364 dated
24th March 1880. Ever since they had been under the
management of our father and after him under our

23.05.2023
H H.

మొదలు

3

౧. పుష్పకాశం దుగ్ధలూ, కఠాలూతాద్రుం శ్రీదాద్యుః
 మధుప్రిత్యకామదాసులం బం-

• అందిగూవనివరి: ఇ.ప్రతిభారము

90 అనంత దాసులు
 } 1. పాత బహుమతి
 } 2. కృష్ణానందం (గ) ముద్రా

1. సాక్షాత్తుడైతి — } 1. సూర్యునితో రక్షించుచుతితి సూర్యుని
సూర్యుని వంతుతాల్గుచుండును
2. నన్నవరం గా ముందునోరక్షించుచు
పంతుని గా ముందునోరక్షించుచు

26/11/00 కు పంపిస్తుంది

[illegible][illegible]

105 Lf. 13-11-85: 3066 Inc.
 9-2-85: 1602 Cat.
 15-6-85: 1571 Sy.

CURRENT NO.

11/11/85

From Polgonda Venkata Rama
 Thirupati etc.

No.

Dated 4-11-85

Received 12-11-85

Enclosures - one -

SUBJECT.

Praying for the restoration
 of lost villages to them

HRS
 15/11

For

Ex. 57

Visagapatnam Collector's
 Office, 14 November 1885.

From

H. G. Dummer Esquire

Acting Collector of Visagapatnam

The Honorable Mr. Wilson

Secy & Chief Secretary to Government,
 Madras.

Sir,

Referring to the orders of Government dated 15th
 June 1885 No. 1571 Judicial, I have the honor to report
 as under:

2. As directed by the Government in the above
 order the Tahildar of Golconda has under my instructions
 taken possession of the villages of Srungavarani and
 Manjapuram with the exception of Golconda Sany
 which belongs to the latter village which is left
 in statu quo.

3. These two villages, as the Government are aware
 were under our management up to the end of Tasli
 1290. In the beginning of Tasli 1291 they were made over
 to Golconda Chinnabhapati under orders of Mr. Col
 Michael the Special Commissioner.

Yrs

104. In Tasil 1290 the last year of our management the demands of these two villages was Rs 5362-8-0 as shown in the margin. Their demand in Tasil 1294 the year in which Chinnabhatta died is stated by his son Venkataramakhatta to be Rs 5660-9-8 as shown below.

Chinnabhatta	
Ryotwari	3759-6-10
Other items	299-8-0
	<u>4158-14-10</u>
Sungavaram	
Ryotwari	1183-13-1
Other items	217-13-9
	<u>1401-10-10</u>
Total	<u>5660-9-8</u>

Chinnabhatta	1290-13-11
Ryotwari	3759-6-10
Other items	299-8-0
	<u>4158-14-10</u>
Sungavaram	1290-13-11
Ryotwari	1183-13-1
Other items	217-13-9
	<u>1401-10-10</u>
Total	<u>5660-9-8</u>

Details of these demands are given in the statement enclosed. It will be seen that after the villages were made over to him under Mr. Carmichael's orders, Chinnabhatta made a considerable enhancement (Rs 300) in the rents of the Manned Taluk lands in Chinnabhatta village. This raising of the rents was not done on any reasonable grounds and Mr. Principal Assistant Collector thinks that we should at once reduce the rents to the amounts charged in 1290 and I quite agree with him.

Yrs

5. In estimating the income to be derived from these villages we should, I think, be guided by the demands for Tasil 1290 which the villages were in the Government management as the figures for 1294 furnished by Chinnabhatta's son are of doubtful accuracy as accounts do not appear to have been properly kept since the villages were made over to Chinnabhatta for management. Of the items which make up the demand for Tasil 1290 Ryotwari, Dham Shalubadi and water tax are the only items that are safely depended on as constants, the other items such as cultivation without Ranthambhor dapsoda cultivation and being of a fluctuating nature are liable to disappear at any time. The demand under the above three heads in Tasil 1290 was Rs 4771-6-5 as shown below.

Chinnabhatta	3450-5-11
Sungavaram	1321-0-6
	<u>4771-6-5</u>

This amount of Rs 4771 should in my opinion be taken as the safe income of these two villages. The figures given above do not include the other Goleys.

Chinnabhatta

any other village has in Alangapuram; and the
wells of the late Venkateswara have no claim whatever
as to either of these two villages.

6. As to expenditure to be incurred on account

of the villages the most important item is repair
of irrigation works. I beg to enclose a statement giving
description of these works their objects and the amounts
considered ^{necessary} for their repairs. The largest and the

most important of these works is a large fallen dam-
markattu across the Vandavayal river which

irrigates some 20% of land assessed at Rs 1052
in Alangapuram. It is supplying water to some
Irrigation and Agraharam lands. This dam is of

temporary structure of rough stones, mud and brush-
wood, and requires to be repaired annually. The

cost of its repairs is estimated at Rs 200 of which
a half is, according to custom, paid by the owners

of the Irrigation and Agraharam lands which are here-
tofore filled by the dam. The other irrigation works are

all small tanks with objects of less than 100.

They are now in bad condition and require

Rs. 200
178-11-157
15-6-05

to be repaired the cost of which is estimated at Rs 105.
Of this amount half is proposed to be spent this year
and the other half next year. From 1887-88. an
of Rs 200 on account of these tanks will be enough.

7. As to regard to cost of collection, none needed
incurred for Srungavarani whose revenue is not much
and in which all the village servants have service from
lands. For Alangapuram there is a Alangapuram who
has a service from which is worth to him about
Rs 30 per annum. If an allowance of Rs 40 is
annually paid to him in addition to his income from
the service from, he can be employed to collect the
revenue of the village. This would give him a
salary of Rs 6 per mensem which, considering the
large demand of the village cannot be thought to be
too much.

8. As to attend to the repairs of Nimmakallu prompt-
ly and to see that water thereof is properly distributed,
an establishment is required consisting of a Gunakallu
on Rs 10 and a Peon on Rs 4 per mensem. This
will amount to Rs 14 per annum. If there is
any other village

Chinnabhattapali - manager of the villages as employed
at similar establishment.

9. According to my proposals mentioned above
the financial situation of the villages will be as under:

Demand	4771.
Expenditure	
Repairs to Chinnabhattapali	150
Establishment exp around of Do	163
Muniffs pay	42
	310
Repairs to tanks	525
Total	835

From the year 1897-98 the amount estimated for repairs
of tanks will be Rs 200 as has been stated above.

10. From the above figures it will be seen
that there will be a balance of Rs 3936 out of which
provision will have to be made for the support
of the heirs of the late Chinnabhattapali. I would
make the provision as follows:

Allowance to the heirs Chinnabhattapali	250
Maintenance of the Chinnabhattapali family	2000
Total	2250

Main line
of the family

Chinnabhattapali's family now consists of two sons, one
daughter and two widows (wives of Chinnabhattapali).
I think Rs 2000 a year will be a liberal allowance
considering the small strength of the family. If
the provision made above is approved
of, there will be a balance of Rs 1600 per annum
figures to go to the credit of Government every year.

not returned
in 1897
1898

11. In reference to the order of Government
dated the 9th September last No. 1604 on the petition
of Golegonder Borkhataramabhattapali and Borkhataramabhattapali
sons of the late Chinnabhattapali, I beg
to state that the petitioners pray that the order
of resumption of the villages conveyed by G.O. No. 157
of the 15th June last be cancelled and that the
villages (Mangapur and Jangapur) be put
to them. The nature of the grant of these villages
to the Bhattapali family was fully represented to the
Government by my letter of 1st May 1895 No. 554.
It is true that under clause 11 of the Sanad by
which the grant was made, the Government
reserved to itself the right, on the demise of the
original

original holders to renew the grant to their heirs or
to make such arrangements as may be deemed
equitable; but in a subsequent order O.C. 364
dated the 24th March 1860 the Government
directed that these villages be held under attach-
ment and their proceeds paid to Chinnabhapati
for his support and that the villages be restored
to his heirs after his death. It is on this order

that the petitioners rely. I do not know whether
before passing the order O.C. 1571 Judicial dated
the 15th June 1865 the Government had the order
of 24th March 1860/ O.C. 364 in their view or
not. If the villages be restored to the petitioners

under the spirit of this order, there can be no
doubt that the petitioners will be continually
proceeding against the petitioners and having the
rents thereof attached and sold in satisfaction
of their claims through the means of the ordinary
Civil Courts and the object of the grant will
thus be frustrated. In the event of Government
being

14 6P
being pleased to restore the villages to the petitioners, as
per their order of 1860, I beg to suggest that the villages
be kept under Government management until such
time as all the debts contracted by Chinnabhapati
which may be deemed by the Court to be legitimate
are cleared and his eldest son Venkataramabhapati
becomes able to manage them himself as proposed
in paras 15 and 17 of my letter O.C. 554.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedt Servant,
M. K. K. K.
Deputy District Collector.

M. K.

8 1/2

15

1/3

Ms. No. 12-1185:3568ms
Encl. 9-9-85:1604 docket.
(No. 4280/85 L4839)

CURRENT NO. 13/14

16

1885.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

FROM

THE COLLECTOR OF
VIZAGAPATAM.

TO

THE CHIEF SECRETARY
TO GOVERNMENT,
MADRAS.

Dated 14th

Received

} November 1885.

Ex. No. 57

ENCLOSURES. — two.

Submitting proposals in
connection with the resumption
of the villages held by the late
Golgonda Ghina Bhopati,
State Prisoner, and suggesting
the amount of allowances to
be granted to the members of
his family.

Statement showing the demand of the villages of Mangapur Uratta and Sungavararam for Fasli 1290 and 1294.

Village	Items	Demand of		Difference		Remarks
		Demand of Fasli 1294 as given by the sons of the late Golugunda Chinnabhapati.		Increase	Decrease	
Mangapur Uratta	Ryotwari minus (as 651:10:3) The amount of Sanyasibhupatis share	3370 13 11	3757 6 10	388 8 11		
	Inam kattubadi	67 8 .	68 . .	8 . .		
	Water tax	12 . .	56 . .	44 . .		
	Charge on village site	20 . .	7 . .		13 . .	
	Grassing tax	8 . .			8 . .	
	Cultivation without darkhast or Pallapollu	97 10 .	185 8 .	87 14 .		
	Hill cultivation or Hondapollu	3 . .	11 . .	8 . .		
	Fruit trees	. . .	13 . .	13 . .		
	State of water	1 . .	59 . .	58 . .		
	Total	3572 7 11	4158 14 10	586 6 11		This is the amount paid by the owners of certain land in Tuni Estate, Godavari District, for water supplied to them from the Minmakattu in Mangapur Uratta.
Sungavararam	Ryotwari	1170 5 11	1183 13 1		6 8 10	
	Inam kattubadi	28 . .	12 . .		16 . .	
	Water tax	102 10 7	118 4 11	15 10 4		
	Cultivation without darkhast or Pallapollu	135 3 7	162 10 4		272 9 3	
	Hill cultivation or Hondapollu	34 . .	5 8 .		28 8 .	
	Fruit trees	. . .	17 6 6	19 6 6		
	Total	1790 4 1	1501 10 10		288 9 3	
	Grand Total	5362 12 .	5660 9 8	297 13 8		

Vizagapatam Collector's Office 14th November 1885

Sub. K. K. K.
Secretary for Collector

188 .

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

ENCLOSURE No. 1

In a letter from the Collector of Vizagapatam to
 the Secretary to the Court of Wards dated 21 November 1835 No. 57 Extra.

PURPORT.

Statement showing the
 demand of the Villages of
 Mangayapur Usatta and
 Sanyavaram for the
 1270 and 1274 . . .

88/2

Statement showing the particulars of the migration works for the villages of Mangayapuratta and Sanyavaram.

Name of village	Demand	Demand		Demand	Remarks
		Actual.	Demanded.	Required for office.	
Mangayapuratta	1	301	57	3052	75
Sanyavaram	2	65	121	100	100
	3	50	450	12	200
	4	3	23	14	50
	5	7	52	8	20
	6	2	89	1	70
	7	2	20	7	30
	8	10	27	6	50
	9	4	21	1	20
	10	9	13	10	80
	11	12	20	6	40
Total		402	37	4109	11 845

Vizagapatam Collectors
 Office 14 December 1835
 Deputy to Ag. Collector.

8/10 20.17

188 :

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

Enclosure No. 2.

In a letter from the Collector of Vizagapatam to
the Secretary to the Court of Wards dated 17th November 1885 No. 37
S. 11.

PURPORT.

Statement showing
the particulars of the dis-
tribution of the lands in the village
of Pellam, near Waraka and
Pungar.

16

DEPARTMENT.

No. 4346.

From

The Collector of Vizag. of W.

Gov. 1885. No. 57.

also Cur. No. 3026.

4144. & 4230.

FOR ORDERS.

At the time the Collector
was directed by C.O. 15th
June 1885, No. 1571, to
renew the lands of the
late Messrs. Ramesh
he was also instructed
to consider the claim of
the children of C. W. to
have provision for their
support. His proposal
in this direction are now
submitted.

The

The demand of the villages
 of Sanyasaram and
 Mungapwaratta in Fasil
 is given as follows:

(1) - Sanyasaram - 3370. 13. 11.
 Other items - 201. 10. 0.
 3572. 7. 11

(2) Sanyasaram - 1190. 5. 11.
 Other items - 589. 14. 2
 1780. 4. 1.

or, together Rs. 5362. 12. 0.

These villages were under
 Govt. management up to
 Fasil 1290. In 1291 they
 were made over to the
 late Jinnu Bhupathi
 by Special Commission.
 Mr. S. F. Aswathappa.

The demand at the time
 of Ch. Bhupathi's death
 was Rs. 5000. 9. 5., but
 the Agent is of opinion
 that the increase in the
 rents was not made on
 any reasonable grounds.

* 1 Dec 1884
 Fasil 1291.

Notes. These figures do not in-
 clude the share of Sanyasi.
 Bhupathi in the joint village
 & the widows of the late Chari.
 Bhupathi have no claim on
 either of these villages.

Mungapwaratta - 3450. 5. 11.
 Sanyasaram - 1321. 0. 6.
 4771. 6. 5.

Out of this amount he
 proposes the folg. amount
 expenditure in account
 of repair to irrigation
 works, and cost of ch.
 Section of the rent.

Repair to iri. 625.
 Section works 210
 Establishment Rs. 835

The balance that will
 remain is Rs. 3936, out
 of which the Agent proposes

and that the demand
 should be reduced to the
 amount at which it stood
 in Fasil 1290.
 Taking the items which
 are to be depended on,
 such as ryotwari, inam,
 Khetabadi and the
 Tax of the 2 villages named,
 the Agent regards the
 safe income to be as follows.

To pay Ch. Whapatis
illegitimate wife — Rs. 250.

Maintenance of Ch.
Whapatis family
consist. of 2 sons.
one daughter, and 2
widows — Rs. 2000
Rs. 2250.

2 are aged, 20 years
the other, 14 years.
aged 5 years.

vide para 7 of letter fr.
agent in F.O. 15.6.85
FOR ORDERS. 1571.

The wife still remains a
balance of Rs. 1600 in
found monies which
the agent proposes to
place to the credit of
Govt. annuities.

The agent has not re-
turned the petition.
(Cst. No. 3026) —

referred to him by en-
closure, and since,
the Govt. are in receipt
of two other claims:
Nos. 4144 and 4230 fr.
the son of the late Ch.
Whapatis praying that
according to the terms

Sp. 15 June 1885
1571.

of G.O. 24.6.85, c860,
No. 364, the Govt. might
direct the charges to be
retained to them, or that
they might be kept
under arrangements for
3 years and then handed
over to them. As regards
the latter request, the
Johor. in para 4 of his
letter of 1st May 1885,
shows that it will take
at least 7 or 8 years to
clear the State debts,
and in his present
communication he suggests,
in the event of Govt. acceding
to the request of the son,
that the arrangements
should continue until such
time as all the debts of

the decided future
 and declared by the
 Court to be legitimate
 and cleared & the
 eldest son becomes
 able to manage.

FOR ORDERS.

11/11

179/80/20/11

11-11-15/12

DEPARTMENT

No.

From The Collector of Vizagapatnam 14th Nov.
 1885, No. 57.

and Just. Cur. Nos. 3026, 4144, 4230.

FOR OR FRS.

I am of opinion that the C.O. No 1571 of 15 June last, resuming these vil-
 lages, sh^d hold good. Ob-
 viously the G.O. of March
 1860 cannot override
 the grant as originally
 sanctioned by India.

As we submitted to India for approval the
 arrangements proposed
 in the cases of two of the
 four original grantees
 (vide letter of March 1878
 No 498 Judicial as good
 summary of the case) we
 should especially report
 what has been done
 as regards Vira Bluepati
 widows (C.O. 4 Dec 1883
 No 3187) and what is
 now considered appro-
 priate in the case
 of Anna Bluepati.

* Contained in the file of
 papers marked A.

sons -
 The have originally marked to para 6 of the
 contemplated as out note for orders mentioned
 able for Anna Blue-
 pati was No 2300 -

The Collector submits the re-
 port called for in G.O. 15th June
 1885, No 1571. The history of
 the grant of these villages
 (Kungavaram and Wooralla, called
 by the Collector Manyapuvaretha)
 is given in detail in paras 2
 to 7 inclusive of the note for
 orders filed with the original
 of the G.O. just quoted.
 * With reference to the ob-
 jection of Venkatarambhupati
 and Venkatasanthobhupati that
 the action of Govt. in resuming
 these villages (para 11 of the
 Collector's letter) was con-
 trary to the spirit of the
 order of Govt. of 24th Mar.
 1860, No 364, attention is

drawn to para 6 of the
 above. If it be held that

Q.O. of 24th March, 1860, No. 364 supersedes the original grant, Govt. would be placed in the strange position of having granted to China Bhupati and Langai Bhupati more favorable terms of tenure because they were convicted of rebellion! It is thought, therefore, that Govt. will not agree to the claims put forward by the sons of China Bhupati, and it only remains to consider what provision should be made for them and their relatives.

Chenna Bhupati left two sons, one daughter, two widows who were legally married to him, and one widow who was not legally married. ^{To the last} The Collector proposes ‡ to give ~~the latter~~ Rs 250 per annum, and to allow Rs 2000 per annum for the maintenance of the family property.

I think the probability
of the order of 1860
being rescinded the terms of
the loan. The order
of March 1860 was referred
to the committee on Finance
and the committee on Finance
of the 2d of 1860, the 2d of 1860
provided for the clearing of the
debt under the terms of the
loan. The terms of the
loan were not fulfilled. The
committee on Finance
of 1860 and the committee on
Finance of 1860 were
ordered to the original position.
The order of 1860 certainly gave
room for the belief that the loan
would not be resumed when
Blissat died.

† Note.
The note prepared in the office shows clearly the amount & nature of the income of the resumed villages.

This amount I
would contribute out
of the revenues of
the resumed villages
to the elder son
for the support of
the whole family
subject to report
on the demise of
each individual
when the propriety
of continuing a
portion of the pro-
portionate amount
will be considered.

The allowances
in the cases of Boda
Bhupatis sons &
of Vira Bhupatis
widows have virtu-
ally been declared
life grants so that
the arrangement
now proposed is
sufficiently liberal
O.C.C.

As the family consists of 5 individuals, the allowance per head is Rs 33 per mensem, and this seems ample. Submitted therefore that this proposal be accepted, and but that Govt. should expressly reserve to itself the right of decreasing the allowance on the death of any member of the family, and that it should be clearly stated that the pension of the widow who was not lawfully married is for life only.

Attention is invited to the note of the Hon. Mem. on the original draft of G.O. 15th June /85, no 1571, and orders are requested as to whether the proceedings of Govt. in this case & that of the widow of Vira Bhupati (G.O. 4th Dec. /83 no. 3187) should be for-

warded for the con-
firmation of the Govt. of
India. This was done in
the case of Lanyasi Bhupati,
apparently because the original grant
but the reason for each
of the villages was sanctioned by the Govt.
a course of action is not
of India only for the lives of the
grantees. Para 3 of Order
to India of 9th Mar. 1878, No. 497, post.

The Collector raises a num-
ber of questions respecting
the repair of tanks etc in
these resumed villages. As
the lands are now assessed
under ryotwari it is sub-
mitted that the Collector
should address the Board
of Revenue in ordinary
course on these points. They
cannot well be disposed of
in the post. Dept.

HAS
4/12

FOR ORDERS.

Yes
4/12

DEPARTMENT.

No. Just. Ann. No. 4344.

From Note for the Hon. Mr. Master

FOR ORDERS.

With reference to the remarks
of the Hon. Mr. Master on this
current that "the share of original
contemplated for Chinna Bhupati
was Rs 2,300", attention is
invited to the following facts.

In para 6 of his letter to
the Chief Secy. of 13th May, 1857,
No. 18, the then Agent to the
Governor, in Vizag. stated that
the actual settlement of the
three mocafiah villages for
the current year was Rs 4,500.

He goes on to say (para 7)
that with moderately pros-
perous seasons the gross
revenue should amount to
Rs 4,500.

* Letter of 23rd Sept. 1857, No. 40.
On the death of Krishna
Bhupati the Agent proposed
that the shares of the three

should be as follows:-

"Koda Bhupati who holds

"a mocassah from the Zemindar

"of Jeypore should receive

"of Rs 700 per annum, and Veera-

"of Bhupati Rs 1000, and that the

"rest should fall to the share

"of Chuina Bhupati in con-

"sideration of his having to

"pay the Cerear Pootobadi

"of Rs 500, to execute all

"repairs, and generally to bear

"all expenses attendant on

"the management of the mo-

"of Rs 600 Cassah. In these must-

"can be included considerable though

"in indirect charge, in hospitality

"to various visitors from the

"hills. Chuina Bhupati

"has also undertaken to support

"the family of his deceased

"brother although they are

"not duly acknowledged

"members of the Bhupati

"family."

Please see also letter of Agent
printed with G.O. 9th Mar. 1878,
no. 497; and letter to India of
same date no. 498.

There is a pencil note on
the margin of the letter
from which this passage is
quoted, giving the gross
revenue of the three villages
as Rs 4000; and Chuina Bhu-
pati's nett share (after payment
of Rs 500 as kattubadi) as
Rs 1800. No grounds for
taking the gross revenue as
Rs 4000 can be traced, but
even taking it at ~~Rs~~ the
Agent's most favorable estimate
— Rs 4500 — the nett income
of Chuina Bhupati would be
less than Rs 2300, for out
of this sum he had to pay
for all repairs, to entertain
visitors from the hills and
to support his brother's family.
The actual revenue of the
two villages (Warulla and Srunge-
varan) from which Chuina
Bhupati derived his income
was, in recent ^{years} ~~years~~, after deduct-
ing kattubadi, about Rs 5700
(Para 4 of the Current) but
the Agent considers that
the rents have been unduly

raised, and he estimates
China Bhupati's share as
Rs 4271-6-5, but certain
variable items of revenue are
not included in this estimate.

It will thus be seen that
the amount which Govt. pro-
posed to give to China Bhu-
pati was something less than
Rs 2,300 while the amount
actually received by him of
late years was over Rs 5000.
China Bhupati appears to have
totally neglected to repair any
of the irrigation works of the
villages.

With reference to the Hon.
Member's note quoted ad init.
and the explanation given
above, orders are now
solicited as to whether
China Bhupati's ^{family} is to receive
Rs 2,300 or more or less.

HAS
2/1

The figure of Rs 2300 as the
share of China Bhupati
was arrived at thus. In para 7

FOR ORDERS.

of the Agent's letter No. 18 dated
13th May 1850 the average per-
centage of the Kora Villages was then
estimated at Rs 4500 a year. From this
was to be deducted Rs 700 for Boda
Bhupati and Rs 1000 for Kera Bhu-
pati. This left Rs 2800 for China
Bhupati who was responsible for the
maintenance of Rs 500. Deducting this
also from the sum of Rs 2300 from
which all charges were to be met for
the repair of irrigation works, and the
maintenance of the family and
the site of hospitality. The sum
of the Kora Villages may now be
apportioned to be solely taken at
Rs 4771 a year. From this he
proposes to deduct Rs 835 for estate
liability and the maintenance
of irrigation works - a duty that
China Bhupati appears to have in-
totally neglected. From the balance
of Rs 3936 the Agent would assign
Rs 2250 for the maintenance of
the family viz, Rs 250 for the sup-

- poor family life
 and Rs. 2000 for the support
 of this illegitimate family con-
 sisting of 2 sons, 2 sons and a
 daughter. The balance of
 income viz, Rs. 1600 a
 year the Agent proposes to credit
 to Govt.

The Agent proposes give
 the family Rs. 50 a month less
 than the Rs. 2000 estimated as
 the sum that should fall
 to Krishna Bhupati, his most
 income has been considerably
 less than the net income he
 would have had if he had performed
 his duty. The investigation works
 submitted therefore that the
 agent's proposals are sufficiently
 liberal. Orders are expected as
 to whether the pension of the
 illegitimate widow should be
 as proposed by the [?] or separate
 independent or whether the

this
 5/1

this
 5/1

while pension is not to be paid
 in lump sum to the widow
 leaving the maintenance of
 the family to him
 4-1-86

F. O. S. S.

Thiruv. Chinn

Regulation

Village

30

25

Just

Department.

98

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

Pl. No. 3026 - 444 - 4230 and
4344.

Order of 20/1/86, No. 179

At the Government in
Council has carefully
considered the report
of the Agent in Vengal-
patam, submitted with
reference to G.O. of 15th June
1885 No. 1571, Serial,
and resolves to sanction,
subject to the confirma-
tion of the Government
of India, the grant of
an allowance of Rs.
2250 per annum from
the revenues of the reserved
villages for the support

14/1

11/4/86
11/5/86

of the family of the late
Chinna Shimpata, which
consists of two sons, one
daughter, two widows legally
married to him and one
widow not legally married.
The allowance will be
paid to the eldest son
Venkatarama Shimpata
for the support of the whole
family, subject to report
on the demise of each
member when the propriety
of making a ^{proportionate} reduction
from the allowance
will be considered.

2. The Agent will
address the Board of
Revenue in reference
to the question raised by
them regarding the repair
of irrigation works
and

31 26 10 P

and the cost of collection
of the revenue in the
resumed villages.

3. The following endorse-
ment will be furnished
to Petitioners Venkatarama
Shimpata and Venkata
Santappa Shimpata.

"Petitioners are
referred to the Agent
who has received orders
on their case."

W
9-1-86

Rep
8.1.86

To the Agent to the Govt
in Mysore
— Revenue Dept
— Secy to General
— Endorsement to Petn. Recd 2/1

Handwritten notes on the left page, mostly illegible due to fading and bleed-through.

With the papers quoted. 32
Deposited to 4. 80. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33. 34. 35. 36. 37. 38. 39. 40. 41. 42. 43. 44. 45. 46. 47. 48. 49. 50. 51. 52. 53. 54. 55. 56. 57. 58. 59. 60. 61. 62. 63. 64. 65. 66. 67. 68. 69. 70. 71. 72. 73. 74. 75. 76. 77. 78. 79. 80. 81. 82. 83. 84. 85. 86. 87. 88. 89. 90. 91. 92. 93. 94. 95. 96. 97. 98. 99. 100.
 No. 130 7/20/1/86

To
 The Secretary to the Government
 of India,
 Home Department.

Sir,

I am directed to
 forward for the conforma-
 tion of the Govt of India
 copies of the Proceedings
 of the Government, mainly
 ally voted, resuming
 the lands of the late
 Chunia Wampati of the
 Gologonda family, and
 sanctioning the grant
 of an allowance of Rs 2250
 per annum for the
 support of the deceased
 family. For a brief
 summary of the history
 of the Wampati family
 I am to write attention

* by 15 June 1885 No. 1571
 27 Jan 1886 "

Handwritten initials or mark.

Handwritten notes at the bottom left corner.

to the letter from the
Government of the 9th
March 1870. No 1898.

I am also directed
to submit for approval
the arrangements made
in 1863 for the support
of the widows of Vera
Chunpate another member
of the Golconda family
to him

+ Despatch of 22 June 1873. No
1660/1873
— 4 Dec 1873. No 5187/1873

249
87.67.

188

DEPARTMENT

No.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of

Department.

Dated

188