

14

(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 22

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 8-23

(3) ஆதாரங் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

Judicial துறை

அரசாணை எண்.	379	அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.		பலவகை
நாள் :	9. 2. 1885	199

மடிக்கலோ சுண்டப்படி கையாளலோ கூடாது

ஆவண. கா. 1-18,006-6-9-90.

377
1
REGISTRATION DEPARTMENT.

CIRCULAR.

No. 50, 22nd August 1881.

The following rules, extracted from the Standing Orders of the Board of Revenue and adapted to suit the Registration Department, are circulated for the information and guidance of all officers in the department.

2. No Registrar, Sub-Registrar, Gumastah, Clerk or Peon will be allowed to hold,—except in cases of ancestral property or of lands previously acquired,—or to acquire by purchase, bargain, mortgage, transfer by any means, directly or indirectly, any landed property within the district or sub-district in which he may be employed.

3. When persons holding land or having any interest in land are appointed Registrars, Sub-Registrars, Gumastahs, Clerks or Peons to any district or sub-district, they will immediately upon such appointment communicate to the Inspector-General, for record in his office, all necessary particulars of such landed interests, with a declaration as to their truthfulness.

4. Candidates for appointments must submit similar declarations of interest in land with their applications on pain of summary rejection.

GEO. HAMNETT,
Inspector-General of Registration.

To all Registering Officers.
„ the Collector of

372

REGISTRATION DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL.

*Stamp Vendor's
Certificate.*

READ letter from the Registrar of Chingleput, dated 23rd January 1883, No. 13, requesting to be informed whether it is incumbent on Registering Officers to compare the date of the Stamp Vendor's Certificate with the date of the execution of a document.

T. No. 115, 30th January 1883.

PODILI—NELLORE.

As documents are refused registration when the date of execution "is anterior to the date on which the stamp was sold," it is incumbent on the Registering Officer to compare the date of the document with the date of the Stamp Vendor's Certificate.

GEO. HAMNETT,
Inspector-General of Registration.

To the Registrar of Chingleput.
.. all Registration Officers.

Registration Department.

Proceedings of the Inspector-General.

Read the following letter from the Registrar of Calicut dated 15th May 1884. No 176. submitting for orders letter, dated 8th May 1884. No 56. received from the Sub-Registrar of Chavakkad, to the address of the Inspector-General with copies of two documents.

No 1770 dated 21st May 1884
Cotacamund.

The Registrar should have returned the letter to the Sub-Registrar in order that it may be addressed to him as being the official channel of communication between Sub-Registrar and the Inspector-General. Having failed to do so, he should at least have remarked upon the letter.

2. The circular about the acquisition of lands is dated 22nd August 1881. It distinctly states that no land should be acquired by purchase "within the district or sub-district" in which the officer is employed.

3. In the face of this order the Sub. Registrar acquired the lands in September 1881, and in 1884 reports having done so. He further asks permission to invest some money in acquiring landed property and holding such property in his jurisdiction.

4. The permission could not of course be given, and had the Inspector General been aware if the Sub-Registrar and his wife possessing landed property in the Chavakkad sub-district, he would not have recommended the transfer of the Sub-Registrar to that sub-district.

5. The Sub-Registrar will be required to furnish a statement in the accompanying form of the property which stands registered in his name, and state generally the extent of property belonging to his wife.

(Signed) Geo. Hammett
Supt. (Sub. Reg.)

To the Registrar of Calicut.

(Enc. Copy)

Geo. Hammett
Supt. (Sub. Reg.)

379
Registration Department.

Proceedings of the Inspector General.

Read the following letter from the Registrar of Calicut No. 10 dated the 14th December 1884. Submitting a letter received from the Sub-Registrar of Chavakkad regarding the refund of a certain sum of money which he paid out of his pocket.

G. No. 2414 dated 17th December 1884.

Madras.

The Inspector General regrets to find that the Acting Registrar of Calicut should have sent up this reference without any remark, or without any expression of his opinion on the Sub-Registrar's conduct in the matter.

2. In the first instance the Sub-Registrar, who is an officer of some years service in the department and may be supposed to be fully acquainted with the orders in force and the practice in registration offices generally, accepted the document for registration without

not

out comparing its date with the ^{side Proc.}
date on which the stamp was sold. 115 dated
3- In the 2nd instance when January 1888

the Sub-Registrar found that the
document was presented, admitted
to registration and copied into the
register, instead of reporting the
matter to the Registrar and obtaining
his orders, he took upon himself to
advance money to the party to pur-
chase a fresh stamp-paper with a
different date and to have the
document re-executed and re-
registered, i.e. registering two docu-
ments for the same purpose - to
evade any possible difficulties
which may arise hereafter in
regard to the first document exe-
cuted on a stamp bearing date
subsequent to the date of the
document.

4- The document now in
the possession of the party is not
the document which was first re-
gistered which registration is valid
in the books of the registration
department

department, and ³²⁷no action of
the party or of his charitable friends
the Sub-Registrar can make it in-
valid. without an order of a
Court. The Sub-Registrar, in the
opinion of the Inspector-General,
should be punished for his grossly
irregular and illegal proceedings.
The Registrar will submit his report
with as little delay as possible.

5- The cancelled document
will be secured for the present
in the iron safe in the Inspector-
General's office -

(signed) Geo. Hammett
Insp. Gen. of Reg.

To,
The Registrar, Calicut. with the
Sub-Registrar's letter to be returned.
(True Copy)

Geo. Hammett
Insp. Gen. of Reg.

Geo.

376

Inspection Notes on the Sub-Registry Office
at Pulghat on the 13th October 1884.

I did not find the condition of this office very satisfactory on my last inspection. It is, if any thing, worse now, although it has been in charge of the Senior Sub-Registrar of the whole department. The special or rather personal allowance allowed to the Sub-Registrar ought to be stopped, and he ought to be sent to a very small office. He is clearly not competent nor energetic enough to manage a large office like this. His clerks, or some of them, were inclined to be troublesome with the Asst. Sub-Registrar, but I soon stopped that.

(True Extract)

W. H. Barrett
Asst. Secy. to the Govt.

W. H. Barrett

Registration Department.

G. No. 367 (4)

37
69

From,

Ges. Thammatt Esqr.

Inspector General of Registration

Madras.

To,

The Hon: E. Forster Webster,
Chief Secretary to the Government,
Fort St. George.

Dated, Madras, 20th January 1885.

Sir,

Some time after I took charge of this department I learned that the registration officers and other servants were acquiring landed property in their districts and sub districts because there were no orders forbidding them to do so.

2. I at once issued a circular No. 50 dated 22nd August 1881. Copy enclosed.

3. The Sub Registrar of Chavakud
Mr. A. G. Thomas, a native Christian,
was at the time Sub registrar of Ponani.
He was afterwards sent to Nadapuram
and at his request transferred from

Let
11.32

thence to Chavakkad in October. 1883.

4. In defiance of the circular referred to he acquired landed property in September 1881 in the sub-district of Chavakkad, but made no report on the subject until 1884, when he asked for permission to acquire more landed property. The permission was not given, and I passed my Proceedings J. No. 1770 dated the 21st May 1884.

Copy enclosed - and called for a statement of the property which stood registered in his name, and for information as to the extent of property belonging to his wife.

5. The Subregistrar failed to supply the information. I had to call for it and received it only on the 17th January instant, with the sub-registrar's explanation which is far from satisfactory.

6. The Sub Registrar has, it will be seen, acquired in his wife's name landed property to the extent of acres 8-9-12 bearing an assessment of Rs. 22-8-5. In his own name

374
acres 2-1-9, and 2-15-8 bearing an assessment of Rs. 13-11-2.

7. Another illegal procedure of this subregistrar I have commented upon in the enclosed copy of my Proceedings J. No. 2414 dated the 17th December 1884. It relates to a document which bore a date anterior to the date of the stamp upon which it was written. In the ordinary course it is the duty of every registering officer to examine the dates on the stamp, and on the document, and if there be any irregularity to refuse registration. The Sub Registrar failed to examine the dates and admitted the document to registration. After doing so he discovered his mistake, and instead of reporting the matter and abiding by orders, he took upon himself to have the date of the document altered, to advance money to the party concerned to purchase another stamp bearing another date, to engross the document upon it, and to have it registered again.

8. The Sub Registrar deserves to be severely punished, but as the cases

reported appear to be the only ones against him, I think it will be sufficient if he were removed to a station at some distance from Chavakad and of less importance.

9. His removal necessarily involves others, and I avail myself of the opportunity to propose them.

10. The Sub Registrar of Koduvayur R. V. Subramaniam Aiyar has been at the station for about 10 years. Several petitions have reached against him, but I have been unable to bring home to him any of the charges preferred, because none of the writers of the petitions would come forward manfully to substantiate what they had to say. The Acting Registrar and Registrar both think that he should be removed from the place.

11. The Sub Registrar of Payoli. C. Ramasami Menon is another officer who has been a long time at the station and against whom there have been several petitions. His removal is also considered advisable.

Dist
no 23

12. Mr. Sankara Menon, the Sub Registrar of Palghat, appears from some physical defect to be incapable of managing the work of this large sub district. as will be seen from the concluding remarks in my notes of inspection of his office on the 13th October 1884. Copy enclosed. His transfer to a smaller sub district appears desirable.

13. Enclose a minute of the changes proposed for the approval of His Excellency the Right Hon^{ble} the Governor.

I have the honor to be,

Sr,

Your most obedient servant,

W. A. Munro
Inspector General of Revenue

W.

Dist
no 48

Dist
no 57

37/0
it will be sufficient to
reprimand the Sub. Registrar
for his disobedience of orders.
The enclosure to the J. G. letter
shows that the Sub Registrar's
fault was not a very grave one.

Has Mr. Hummel has
26/11 accordingly his powers
in issuing these rules with
respect to his acquiescence of
work by his subordinates.
S. O. 2928 contains the rules
on the subject which govern
all such cases. He sh^d know
I think he asked to explain
how he came to issue
these orders without having
previously obtained the
sanction of the J. G.

2/2/85 J. G. 3/15
at 3/2

At the same time
the draft minute
may I think be
passed as Mr. Ham-
mett is the best
judge of the require-
ments of the Dept.
4/11
3/12

For orders -

The J. G. of Regt. recommends that the Sub. Regt. of Chavakad Shd. be transferred as he holds about 12 acres of land, for the most part in his wife's name, in Chavakad. The J. G. issued a circular in August, 1881 forbidding the acquisition of land by Registration officers within their ranges. In Sept. 1881 the Sub. Regt. acquired this land, but he was then stationed at Nadapuram so he did ^{not} act in disobedience of orders. In 1883 he was at his own request transferred to Chavakad and in 1884 he brought to the notice of the Regt. that he owned this land and requested sanction for the purchase of more.

2. It is thought that there is no objection to officers of the Regt. Dept. holding land, and that the J. G. Shd. have submitted the question to Govt. before issuing his circular. In the present case submitted that

Judicial (1885) Sept 1

Registration

From
The Superintendent
of Registration
Muziris
The Chief Secretary
Government
Fort St. George

Dated 20th January 1885
Received 11th
G. No. 367 (b)

Enclosure
No.

Submitting for the opinion
of His Excellency the Right
Hon. the Governor or a
Committee of Transfer of
Sub-Registrars in
Malabar.

G. No. 367 (b)
131

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

37/4

MINUTE.

FORT ST. GEORGE, 14th Feby 1885 N^o 379 (this)THE PRESIDENT PROPOSES THE
FOLLOWING APPOINTMENT:-Malabar.

23

M.Ry. Mr. Sankara
Meion subregistrar 1st
grade. Palghat to be
subregistrar. same grade.
Cherpalecheri.

26

M.Ry. Mr. Ummi
Erati B.A. subregistrar
1st grade. Cherpalecheri
to be subregistrar. same
grade. Palghat.

32

Mr. A.G. Thomas
subregistrar 1st grade
Chavakad. to be sub
registrar same grade.
Koduvayur.

48

M.Ry. R.V. Subramania
anjai sub Registrar

579 (this)

3rd grade. Koduvayur
Calicut to be subregistras
same grade. Payoli.
Tellicherry.

M. R. Ky. C. Ramunni
Meunon B. A. subregistras
3rd grade. Payoli. Tellicherry
to be subregistras same
grade. Tiruvangadi.
Calicut.

M. R. Ky. J. R. Para-
meswaran Pillai
Sub Registras. 3rd grade
Tiruvangadi. to be sub
registras. same grade.
Chavakkad.

W. S. Paul

W. S. Paul

7/25/12

Publ. Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

Ann. H. Reg. of 20th Aug
1885, no. 367/61

Order of Aug 1885 or 379/61
The I. C. of Reg^{rs} is
requested to explain his
issue of rules relating to
the holding of ~~for~~ landed pro-
perty by officers in his
Dept. without ^{having} previously
obtained the sanction of
Govt. thereto.

Hlas
3/2

I. C. Registration
with enclosures

Cop 7/2

379/12

Minute by the Honorable the President.

148

DEPARTMENT.

148

6
9/22/85