

(1) Number of pages 12(2) Date of repair 5-8-59(3) Initials of the Referencer RW

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	154	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	19th January	1885
		195

19-1-1885

Not to be folded or roughly handled

RW

21
For Gazette

Notifications

His Excellency the Governor
in Council directs that the
Several Presidency Magistrates
be graded, and in future when
necessary, officially designated,
as follows.—

Cob. J. Weldon - Chief Pres. Mag.

Mr. W. H. Scharlieb - Second "

J. V. Ponnuswami Pillai - Third "

Mr. Anasudin - Fourth "

Fort St. George

20 January 1884

20/1

No. 3.

Presidency Magistrates' Court,

Chennai, Madras, 6th January 1885.

Presidency Magistrate's Court,

Chennai, Madras.



6 January 1884

My dear Mr. Justice

I think a recognized gradation
of the magistrates would simplify much
that is now the source of confusion.
People write to me under the impression
that they are corresponding with Mr.
Scharlieb and vice versa and it
takes some time to understand and
re-adjust the correspondence. The
Post Office also never knows whether
to deliver a letter addressed to the
"Senior Magistrate" at the Black Town
or the Exchequer Court - When you have
to nominate someone to act as a ^{Pres.} Magistrate

of this Officer was altered to "Chief
Presidency Magistrate" and so continued
under the present Code of Criminal Procedure

No. 3.

Presidency Magistrates' Court,
Cymore, Madras, 6th January 1885.

3

The appointment is made to act
during absence of
so and so - and probably
his pay is to be calculated on that
of another, named, instead of
simply once for all making
a nomination to act as Chief
2nd 3rd or 4th Magistrate.

The practice of appointing to the
2nd Magistrate as Senior Pres. Magistrate
is a recent innovation but the
effect is becoming troublesome.

The Bombay Magistrates are termed
Chief - 2nd and 3rd Magistrates -
and there are two Courts as in Madras

For District
Court & Sessions
Maddur

referred
in
"trial
of the
town.
for
the
III of
ration.

of this Officer was altered to "Chief
Presidency Magistrate" and so continued
under the present Code of Criminal Procedure

2

dur
he
of
to
a
2
a
b
c
d
e
f
g
h
i
j
k
l
m
n
o
p
q
r
s
t
u
v
w
x
y
z

sent

No. 3.

4

Presidency Magistrates' Court,
Oymarc, Madras, 6th January 1835.

From

Colonel J. Welton
Chief Presidency Magistrate,
Madras.

To

The Honorable E. J. Webster
Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St. George

Sir,

Misapprehension and inconvenience
is caused by the adoption occasionally in
correspondence of the term "Deputy Magistrate"
to denote the Magistrate in charge of the
Northern Division Court in Black Town.
The title of "Deputy Magistrate" was for
many years that of the principal Police
Magistrate appointed under Act XIII of
1856. By Act IV of 1877 the designation
of this Officer was altered to "Chief
Presidency Magistrate" and so continued
under the present Code of Criminal Procedure

2 ——— Of the two Magistrates who ordinarily sit in the Court of the Northern Division one is necessarily Senior and in charge of the Court; he is thus a Senior Magistrate but not the Senior Magistrate as some correspondents suppose.

3 ——— I have the honour respectfully to suggest that following the practice in the small cause courts of the three Presidency Towns and that of the Presidency Magistrates Courts in Bombay where there are three Magistrates, the Magisterial Officers in this Town should be graded and officially designated "Chief" - ^{1st} 2nd, ^{2nd} 3rd and ^{3rd} 4th Presidency Magistrates respectively.

4. ——— This arrangement will prevent confusion and misdirection of correspondence; simplify the orders of Government when making appointments, temporary or permanent, to the Office of Presidency Magistrates; and determine the order of

of precedence when a Bench of Magistrates may assemble.

5. ——— The 2nd Magistrate will, as now, be ordinarily in charge of the Court of the Northern Division of the Town and be assisted by either the 3rd or 4th Magistrate as may from time to time be deemed expedient.

6. ——— Should Government be pleased to approve this proposal, then, taking the present Office holders - Subordinate to the Chief Magistrate - according to the period each has served on the Bench, the order will be :-

Mr. W. M. Scharlieb. 2nd Magistrate
" S. V. Ramaswami Pillai 3rd "
" Mr. Anandiah 4th "

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant.

Meldor

Chief Presidency Magistrate

4. 10. 00
7-11-00
18-1-05

From

The Chief Presidency Magistrate
Madras.

To

The Chief Secretary
to Government
Fort St. George

D. 6th }
26. } January 1885.

No. 3.

Suggesting the gradations
and official designations of the
Presidency Magistrates of Madras

Jan 2-8-9-87 1522

From

The Chief Presidency Magistrate
Madras

25/4/00

27/6/00

1-8-13

Order

W 17/11

The proposal of the Chief Pres.
Magt. is approved, and
A notification will be pub-
lished in the Fort St. George Gazette

The Chief Secretary to Government

Fort St. George

17/5

D. 6

Re

January 1885

was

12/1

He

No 3

To Col. F. Weldon,
Chief Magistrate
Dipoloy

Suggesting the graduation
and official designation of
the Presidency Magistrates of
Madras.

24/6/08U

1/1

30TH JANUARY 1885,

253, JUDICIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper:—

G.O., dated 18th December 1884, Mis. No. 3284, Judicial.

Read—the following letter from W. LOGAN, Esq., District Magistrate of Malabar, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Calicut, 5th December 1884, No. 5588:—

I have the honor to submit the further report called for in G.O., No. 1645, dated 5th July 1884, on the working of the special magistrates in the district.

2. The Joint Magistrate reports that since the last report the special magistrates have improved, but that the system has not had a sufficient trial and would prefer watching them another six months before expressing a definite opinion. Eighty-one cases were disposed of by the special magistrates in his division, and in only one case on the file of the special magistrate of Payóli the accused was acquitted on appeal.

3. There is only one special magistrate in the Head Assistant Magistrate's division, and he has disposed of sixty-eight cases since the last report. The Head Assistant Magistrate reports that he has performed his duties satisfactorily and that there were no appeals.

4. The Special Assistant Magistrate, while expressing himself satisfied with the work done by the special magistrates of Wandúr and Kundotti, reports that the special magistrate of Mannárgat is very zealous, but lacks discretion. He is, however, of opinion that the last-named magistrate is improving. Forty-three cases were disposed of by these special magistrates till the end of October.

5. The fines inflicted by the special magistrates varied from one anna to two rupees, except in the case of the special magistrate of Mannárgat, the fine imposed by whom varied from one anna to ten rupees.

6. The proceedings of these special magistrates are being carefully checked, and I am of opinion that the system has worked well and that the special magistrates should be allowed to continue to exercise the powers, unless the Inspector-General thinks that the system interferes with the legitimate duties of the sub-registrars concerned.

Order—dated 18th December 1884, Mis. No. 3284, Judicial.

The paper will be referred to the Inspector-General of Registration, who will report whether in his opinion the work of the registration department has suffered in consequence of the appointment of these officers as magistrates.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) E. F. WEBSTER,
Chief Secretary.

To the Inspector-General of Registration,
with original letter to be returned.

READ—also the following paper:—

From G. HAMNETT, Esq., Inspector-General of Registration, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 19th January 1885, G. No. 349.

With reference to G.O., Judicial, dated the 18th December 1884, Mis. No. 3284, calling upon me to report whether the work of the registration department has suffered in consequence of the appointment of certain sub-registrars in the district of Malabar as special magistrates, I have the honor to state that I am of opinion that the work has suffered, and that I see no reason for receding from the views expressed in paragraphs 4 and 5 of my letter, T. No. 2425, dated the 23rd June 1884.

2. There are seven sub-registrars in Malabar exercising special powers as magistrates. The number of cases tried by them, the number of persons tried, and the time occupied in trial during the second-half of 1884 are given in the annexed statement:—

Offices.		Number of cases tried.	Number of persons tried.	Time occupied in trial.
Tellicherry ..	Nadapuram	1	1	1 hour.
	Payóli	32	37	12½ hours.
	Payengati	13	13	16 hours.
	Kondotti	9	15	10 days.
Calicut ..	Koduvayur	71	71	34 hours.
	Mannárgat	19	21	1 hour 35 minutes.
	Wandúr	1	1	3 hours.

3. The acting registrar of Calicut, who is also registrar of Tellicherry, writes:

"The largest number of cases (71) was tried by the sub-registrar of Koduvayur, who took about an hour for each case. The largest number (32) in Tellicherry district was at Payóli, where the magisterial duties appropriated only 13 hours of the sub-registrar's time, though at Payengati the sub-registrar spent 16 hours over 13 cases. The Mannárgat Sub-Registrar makes short work of his cases (19) if, as he says, a case takes only five minutes for disposal.

"The duties have not directly interfered with registration, though the reports I had received in a few cases had roused in me a fear of its being affected indirectly."

4. The registrar omits to notice that the sub-registrar of Kondotti took 10 days to dispose of 9 cases.

5. The Registrar's "fear" is borne out by the annexed statement, which shows that, while registration has advanced generally in all sub-districts in Malabar, it declined in Nadapuram and Payóli, two old offices, and also in Wandúr, a new office, during the five months of the second half of 1884. The increase in the other offices would have been greater had not the sub-registrars been fettered with magisterial work.

	Nadapuram.		Payóli.		Payengati.		Kondotti.		Koduvayur.		Mannárgat.		Wandúr.	
	1883.	1884.	1883.	1884.	1883.	1884.	1883.	1884.	1883.	1884.	1883.	1884.	1883.	1884.
July ..	259	283	323	318	148	178	243	345	203	301	221	286	115	83
August ..	339	348	316	329	161	184	226	339	256	246	239	254	100	98
September ..	335	293	271	232	115	145	185	185	107	177	139	109	98	80
October ..	371	357	369	405	175	133	272	299	146	184	118	122	59	70
November ..	456	437	541	434	224	246	268	262	153	142	115	174	47	76
Total ..	1,760	1,718	1,820	1,738	823	886	1,194	1,430	865	1,020	832	955	419	405

6. The letter from the District Magistrate of Malabar is herewith returned.

ORDER—dated 30th January 1885, No. 253, Judicial.

The Government, having perused the foregoing reports on the result of the experiment of investing registration officers with magisterial powers, considers that the system has not as yet had a sufficiently long trial to enable the Government to judge as to its success or failure, and His Excellency the Governor in Council accordingly desires the District Magistrate of Malabar and the Inspector-General of Registration to make a further report after the lapse of another six months from the date of this order.

(True Extract.)

E. F. WEBSTER,
Chief Secretary.

To the District Magistrate, Malabar.
Inspector-General of Registration.

Ed. J. W. Fischer.

Govt. of Madras.

JUDICIAL.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 30th January 1885, No. 253.

JANUARY.

Special Magistrates.

Directing the District Magistrate of Malabar and the Inspector-General of Registration to make a further report as to the success or otherwise of appointing registration officers as special magistrates.

Malabar District Magistrate
Caceat 5th December 1884

W. Logan, Esquire,
District Magistrate of
Malabar.

The Honble. E. J. Webster
Chief Secretary to Govt.
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit
the further report called for in G.O.
No 1645 dated 5th July 1884 on the
working of the Special Magistrates
in the District.

2. The Joint Magistrate reports
that since the last report the Special
Magistrates have improved but that
the system has not had a sufficient
trial and would prefer watching
them another six months before
expressing a definite opinion. 81 cases
were disposed of by the Special
Magistrates in his Division, and
in only one case on the file of the

Special Magistrate of Pajelli, the accused was acquitted on appeal.

3. There is only one Special Magistrate in the Head Assistant Magistrates Division and he has disposed of 68 cases since the last report. The Head Assistant Magistrate reports that he has performed his duties satisfactorily and that there were no appeals.

4. The Special Assistant Magistrate while expressing himself satisfied with the work done by the Special Magistrates of Wandur and Kundalli, reports that the Special Magistrate of Mamasghat is very zealous, but lacks discretion. He is, however, of opinion that the last named Magistrate is improving. 43 cases were disposed of by these Special Magistrates till the end of October.

5. The fines inflicted by the Special Magistrates varied from our auma to Rupees two, except in the case of the Special Magistrate

28
of Mamasghat, the fine imposed by whom varied from one auma to Rupees ten.

6. The proceedings of these Special Magistrates are being carefully checked and I am of opinion that the system has worked well and that the Special Magistrate should be allowed to continue to exercise the powers, unless the Inspector General thinks that the system interferes with the legitimate duties of the Sub Registrars concerned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. servant,

Whajur
District Magistrate

Read also the following paper: -

48

Registration Department.

G. No. 349.

From,

G. H. Hammett Esq.

Inspector General of Registrations

Madras

To,

The Hon. E. Forster Webster

Chief Secretary to the Government

Fort St. George

Dated: Madras, 19th January 1885.

Sir,

With reference to G.O. Judicial, dated the 18th December 1884. No. 3284. Invoking upon me to report whether the work of the registration department has suffered in consequence of the appointment of certain subregistrars in the district of Malabar as special magistrates, I have the honor to state that I am of opinion that the work has suffered, and that I see no reason for receding from the views expressed in paras. 4 and 5 of my letter J. No. 2425 dated the 23rd June 1884.

2.

There are seven subregistrars

CPM 221/85

in Malabar exercising special powers as Magistrates. The number of cases tried by them, the number of persons tried, and the time occupied in trial during the second half of 1854, are given in the annexed statement:-

Offices		No. of cases tried	No. of persons tried	Time occupied in trial
Tellicherry	Nadapuram	1	1	1 hour
	Payoli	32	37	12 1/2 hours
	Payengati	13	13	16 hours
	Koudotti	9	15	10 days
Calicut	Koduvayur	71	71	34 hours
	Mannarghat	19	21	1 hour 36 m.
	Wandur	1	1	3 hours
		116		

In trial work 116 cases
 116 persons

5. magister
 19 cases
 21 persons

3. The Acting Registrar of Calicut, who is also Registrar of Tellicherry, writes:-
 "The largest number of cases (71) was tried by the sub-registrar of Koduvayur who took about an hour for each case. The largest number (32) in Tellicherry district was at Payoli where the magisterial duties are appropriated

1/2 hour?
 R

only 13 hours of the sub-registrar's time, though at Payengati the sub-registrar spent 16 hours over 13 cases. The Mannarghat sub-registrar makes a short work of his cases (19) if, as he says, a case takes only 5 minutes for disposal."

"The duties have not directly interfered with registration though the reports I had received in a few cases had caused in me a fear of its being affected indirectly."

4. The Registrar omits to notice that the sub-registrar of Koudotti took 10 days to dispose of 9 cases.

5. The Registrar's "fear" is borne out by the annexed statement, which shows that while registration has advanced generally in all sub-districts in Malabar it declined in Nadapuram and Payoli, two old offices, and also in Wandur, a new office, during the five (5) months of the second half of 1854. The increase in the other offices would have been

only one case
 32 cases occupied
 13 1/2 hours
 13 persons
 19 cases
 21 persons
 1 case only

greater had not the sub-regional boards
fettered with jurisdictional work.

	Madagascar		Ryukyu		Singapore		Siam		Sulu		Thailand		Hainan		Hankow	
	1883	1884	1883	1884	1883	1884	1883	1884	1883	1884	1883	1884	1883	1884	1883	1884
July	257	283	323	315	148	173	240	345	208	301	221	296	115	83		
August	339	348	316	329	161	184	206	337	256	246	239	254	100	96		
September	335	273	271	232	115	145	185	185	107	177	137	129	93	80		
October	371	387	367	405	175	133	272	299	146	150	118	122	59	70		
November	456	437	541	434	224	246	258	262	153	142	115	174	47	76		
Total	1750	1718	1823	1738	823	886	1194	1080	868	1020	832	935	419	405		
	13		738			323		11				311	14			
	12		222			63		333		115		122				

b. The letter from the District
Magistrate of Malabar is herewith
returned.

Shave the hair to be.

To,

Your most obedient servant,

W. H. Amcotts

Inspector General of Registration.

W. H.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE

GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

mem. No. of 18 Dec.
Pr. No. 3284.

mem. No. of 19.

mem. No. 349.

U.C. 30 Jan/85 No 253.

For the having passed
for my reports
the result of the
perusal of the
spiritual officers of
the present power
evident that the
system has not been

to enable the first to judge
whether it is likely to
as to its success or failure

sufficiently to be
in the hands of
the Government

Upon after an
of another one
in this class of the work

11/11 11/11
29/11 30/11

D. M. Malabar
J. G. Regt

29/11

15

From

Ag. M. J.
Letter from

DEPARTMENT.

221.

D. C. 1884. No. 3284.

Reg. of 19 Aug. 1885.

49.

ORDERS.

J. G. of Regt. reports that
in opinion the registration
has suffered thro' the
men of certain sub-
in the Malabar Dt.
be Special Magtes. for
of trying officers

48

during the second half
 question. A comparison
 these statements do
 show that the D.C.'s estimate
 that the magistracy is
 as affected request
 The no. of requs. decre
 in three offices but
 Registrars of those of
 were only engaged for
 half year for 1 hour
 and 3 hours respective
 mag. work.
 On the other hand in 10
 when the Sub Regt. is
 engaged for 10 days on
 trial work, the no. of
 increased by 236.
 Now the no. of

Nadapuram - 42
 Payoli - 82
 Wandur - 5

17-
 In the case of the
 Sub Regt. who is
 as a very zealous
 but lacking dis-
 and who is also said
 given rise to opposi-
 in this office the no.
 requs. increased by 123
 in the half year.
 It is therefore submitted
 that the D.C. Magte's re-
 at to continue the ex-
 ment shd. be accorded

Mr. Hainworth has
 been appointed to
 simply official
 experiment, which
 never so far appear
 likely to be successful
 special benefits to
 work built on this which
 require to be increased

28/11/11

Statement A (Special Registrars)

Number of Districts	Number of Sub-Districts	Number of Villages	Population	Area in square miles	Class of Districts	Name of Districts	Scale proposed by Col. Mardonald.			Scale Sanctioned by Government in 1875.			Scale
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Salary	Commission (Maximum)	Total Remuneration	Salary	Commission	Total Remuneration	Salary
8	9	10	11	12	13	14							
1	1	16	397,552	27	I	Madras.	500	200	700	400	200	600	500
2	17	3935	19,73,731	3654	II	Tanjore	250	100	350	225	100	325	300
3	20	291	14,90,623	3423	III	Calicut	250	100	350	225	100	325	250
4	17	1637	16,93,959	5381		Tinnevelly	250	100	350	225	100	325	225
5	18	5465	22,66,615	9502		Madura	200	100	300	175	100	275	200
6	9	1288	9,18,362	3902	II	South Canara.	200	100	300	175	100	275	175
7	9	137	7,70,627	2773		Tellicherry	250	100	350	225	100	325	150
8	17	2462	16,20,634	7345		Godavari	150	100	250	125	100	225	175
9	14	1577	17,63,274	7432		Coimbatore	150	100	250	125	100	225	150
10	16	120,000	120,000	356		Tiruchirappalli	200	100	300	175	100	275	175
11	11	3197	17,55,817	4873		South Arcot.	200	100	300	175	100	275	150
12	14	5305	20,15,278	7139		North Arcot.	150	100	250	125	100	225	150
13	15	4021	19,66,995	7882		Salem.	150	100	250	125	100	225	150
14	13	2132	13,76,811	8739		Nellore	150	100	250	125	100	225	125
15	20	2565	16,53,010	10871		Bellary	100	100	200	87 8	100	187 8	100
16	8	2267	9,38,184	2842	II	Chingleput.	150	100	250	125	100	225	125
17	15	2102	14,52,374	8471		Kistna	100	100	200	87 8	100	187 8	87 8
18	13	3282	22,96,351	17380		Vizagapatnam	100	100	200	87 8	100	187 8	87 8
19	9	4714	15,20,088	8313		Ganjam.	100	100	200	87 8	100	187 8	87 8
20	15	1325	13,51,104	9150		Cuddalore	100	100	200	87 8	100	187 8	100
21	10	786	9,14,432	7533		Kurnool.	100	100	200	87 8	100	187 8	87 8
22	1	20	74,719	978	III	Nilgiris	50	100	150	50	100	150	75
Total.							3850	2300	6150	3325	2300	5625	3625

Office of Inspector General

of Actuals as per
 Terms for Six years. Scale proposed
 (Salary and Maximum Commission) Remarks.

Commission total remuneration (actuals)	Salary	Commission total remuneration (maximum)	19.	20.
183 15 1	683 15 1	400 " "	100 " "	200 " "
72 9 4	372 9 4	300 " "	50 " "	350 " "
79 14 7	329 14 7	250 " "	50 " "	300 " "
100 " "	325 " "	250 " "	50 " "	300 " "
100 " "	300 " "	250 " "	50 " "	300 " "
100 " "	275 " "	200 " "	50 " "	250 " "
100 " "	250 " "	200 " "	50 " "	250 " "
22 1 " "	197 1 " "	200 " "	50 " "	250 " "
72 5 8	222 5 8	200 " "	50 " "	250 " "
100 " "	275 " "	175 " "	50 " "	225 " "
58 5 6	208 5 6	175 " "	50 " "	225 " "
15 7 2	165 7 2	175 " "	50 " "	225 " "
31 13 5	181 13 5	175 " "	50 " "	225 " "
45 1 7	170 1 7	175 " "	50 " "	225 " "
23 8 7	123 8 7	175 " "	50 " "	225 " "
31 15 8	156 15 8	150 " "	50 " "	200 " "
33 14 8	121 6 8	150 " "	50 " "	200 " "
16 13 1	104 5 1	150 " "	50 " "	200 " "
10 10 1	98 2 1	150 " "	50 " "	200 " "
22 2 5	122 2 5	150 " "	50 " "	200 " "
9 10 11	97 2 11	150 " "	50 " "	200 " "
25 12 4	100 12 4	100 " "	50 " "	150 " "
1256 1 1	4881 1 1	4300 " "	1150 " "	5450 " "

W. F. Farnsworth
 Inspector General of
 Registration

Statement B.
(Special Sub. Registrars)

Col. Macdonald's Scale									Present Scale.									Proposed Scale.								
Class.	Number.	Pay	Commission (actuals)	Total	Commission	Total	Commission	Total	Number	Pay	Commission (actuals)	Total	Commission	Total	Number	Pay	Commission (actuals)	Total	Commission	Total	Number	Pay	Commission (actuals)	Total	Commission	Total
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
		Rs	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p
1	6 on 100	600	573	9	1173	9	1200	-	2 on 100	200	200	-	400	-	400	-	10 on 75	750	500	-	1250	-	1250	-	-	-
2	6 on 75	450	465	71	915	71	1050	-	6 on 75	450	501	3 11	951	3 11	1050	-	10 on 60	600	500	-	1100	-	1100	-	-	-
3	8 on 60	480	467	2	947	2	1280	-	8 on 60	480	590	1	1070	1	1280	-	20 on 50	1000	981	137	1981	137	2000	-	-	-
4	6 on 50	300	200	93	500	93	900	-	3 on 50	150	153	159	303	159	450	-	20 on 45	900	762	62	1662	62	1900	-	-	-
5	18 on 45	810	749	56	1559	56	2610	-	20 on 45	900	1089	31	1989	31	2900	-	30 on 40	1200	696	21	1896	21	2700	-	-	-
6	36 on 30	1080	677	14	1757	14	4680	-	141 on 30	4230	2293	119	6523	119	18330	-	30 on 35	1050	395	91	1445	91	2550	-	-	-
7																	60 on 30	1800	334	5	2134	5	4800	-	-	-
Total	80.	3720	3132	9 11	6852	9 11	11720	-	180.	6410	4828	36	11238	36	24419	-	180.	7300	4170	3 11	11470	3 11	16300	-	-	-

Office of Inspector-General
of Registration, Madras.
17th January 1882

H. A. Smith
Inspector-General
of Registration

1-9-83: 2229
 29-10-83: 2885 1/2
 1-3-84: 532
 23-4-84: 1008 1/2
 7-7-84: 1392 1/2
 5-7-84: 1625 1/2
 5-7-84: 1647
 14-7-84: 1728 1/2
 18-12-84: 3284 1/2

Judicial (1885) Dept
 Registration

From

The Inspector General
 Registration
 Madras

To
 The Chief Secretary to the
 Government
 Fort St George

Dated 19th }
 Received } January 1885
 J. No 349
 Enclosure - one -
 No

Submitting report with
 reference to G.O. Judicial
 No 3284. viz. dated the
 18 Dec 84 - as to whether
 registration has suffered
 by the appointment of certain
 Sub Registrars in Malabar
 as special magistrates -