

(1) Number of pages 38(2) Date of repair 21-9-38(3) Initials of the Referencer 67

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 620	Press ~~Mis.~~
Dated 7-3-	1884.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

7TH MARCH 1884, No. 620, JUDICIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper:—

G.O., dated 6th February 1884, Mis. No. 341, Judicial.

Read—the following letter from G. BRUNTON, Esq., Chairman of the Chamber of Commerce, Cochin, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Cochin, 24th January 1884, No. 134:—

This chamber was much gratified by learning from G.O., dated 22nd December 1883, Mis. No. 3371, Judicial department, that Government had acceded to its request that subordinate judge's powers should be given to the deputy collector to be appointed to Cochin.

2. This chamber, however, regrets to find by a memorandum from the District Magistrate to the temporary deputy collector that it is contemplated to reduce his powers in Small Causes in future from Rs. 500 to Rs. 200. Against this, the chamber begs most respectfully to protest, as, in a mercantile community such as Cochin, the right to have Small Cause suits, to the amount of Rs. 500 at least, adjudicated in the local Small Cause Court, is of the greatest importance.

Order—dated 6th February 1884, Mis. No. 341, Judicial.

The Honorable the Judges of the High Court will be requested to state if they see any reason for reconsidering the suggestion which they made in paragraph 10 of the letter from the Acting Registrar, No. 3668, dated 23rd November 1883, as to the restriction of the jurisdiction of the sub-judge, Cochin.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) E. F. WEBSTER,
Chief Secretary.

To the Honorable the Judges of the High Court, with C.L.

READ—also the following papers:—

From H. M. WALKER, Esq., Editor and Managing Proprietor, *Western Star*, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Cochin, 24th January 1884, No. 183.

On behalf of the signatories of the enclosed memorial, I have the honor to forward same, and to request you will be so good as to duly place it, for early and favorable orders, before His Excellency the Governor in Council.

ENCLOSURE.

TO HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL,
Fort St. George, Madras.

Memorial of the undersigned inhabitants
of British Cochin and of the 7 amshoms
of Manapuram hitherto attached to the
subordinate court of South Malabar at
Cochin.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH,—That we have received with the greatest consternation and disappointment the report, that the Small Cause jurisdiction of the subordinate court of the deputy collector of Cochin is to be reduced to Rs. 200, and that the jurisdiction of the same court over the 7 amshoms of Manapuram is to be vested in the munsif's court at Chowghaut.

2. The former measure will entail upon the mercantile community of Cochin, which is strictly mercantile, endless inconvenience, delay, and expense, while it will result in no public advantage or civil safeguard of any nature whatsoever. It is exceedingly grievous that a community, which has enjoyed for the last 18 years with undisturbed freedom the privilege of laying Small Cause suits up to the value of Rs. 500, should now be restrained in the exercise of that privilege, and be told that their right has been curtailed by Rs. 300.

3. The latter action will entail upon the community the expense, trouble, inconvenience, and delay attendant upon pursuing their claims to their civil rights in the munsif's court at Chowghaut, and particularly so, when there is a judge at Cochin invested with the powers of a subordinate court. The Government is, perhaps, not aware that the mercantile community of Cochin have extensive dealings with the dealers at the 7 Manapuram amshoms in copprah, paddy, coir yarn, coir fibre, cocoanuts, and coconut-oil. The transfer of the Cochin sub-court's jurisdiction over these amshoms to the munsif's court at Chowghaut will drive the merchants of Cochin to seek civil redress against the Manapuram dealers in the Chowghaut munsif's court, when a court with superior civil powers exists at Cochin itself. This is a great grievance to memorialists importing to them pecuniary loss and grave humiliation.

4. It is a matter of extreme regret and disappointment to memorialists that, while the Dindigul-to-Palghat railway, the Mangalore harbour, the Coorg-to-Mysore railway, communications between Mangalore and Cannanore, are forming subjects for the serious, earnest, and energetic attention of the Government of your Excellency and Council, the wants of COCHIN should be treated with cold neglect, and that even the long cherished and useful civil institutions of Cochin should be reduced in their utility and cramped in their powers of public efficiency.

5. Memorialists, therefore, pray that your Excellency in Council may graciously be pleased to take all these matters into the consideration of your Excellency and Council, and direct the High Court not to disturb the pecuniary or territorial jurisdiction, which has been vested hitherto in the Cochin sub-court, and from the enjoyment of which the public both in Cochin and in the 7 amshoms of Manapuram have derived nothing but advantage and contentment. For which act of generous consideration your Excellency's memorialists shall, as in duty bound, ever pray.

COCHIN,
22nd January 1884.

(Signed) H. M. WALKER,
Managing Proprietor, "Western Star,"
and others.

From J. A. DAVIES, Esq., Acting Registrar of the High Court of Judicature at Madras, Appellate side, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 23rd February 1884, No. 657.

With reference to your letter, dated 6th February 1884, forwarding Proceedings of the Madras Government of the same date, No. 341 (Miscellaneous), on a memorial dated 25th January 1884, No. 174, transferring several telegrams (herewith returned) from the inhabitants of Cochin, representing the inexpediency of reducing the territorial and pecuniary jurisdiction of the subordinate court at Cochin, I am directed to state that the questions have been fully considered by the High Court, and it sees no reason to think that any substantial inconvenience will be occasioned by the territorial re-arrangements which were resolved on for manifest advantages. As regards the reduction of the pecuniary jurisdiction of the subordinate judge, the High Court has ascertained that only 18 Small Cause suits in which the value exceeded Rs. 200 were filed from Cochin in 1883 and only 16 in 1882. No sufficient reason is afforded by

these figures to induce the Court to alter its previous recommendation, for there can be no hardship in requiring so small a number of suits to be tried regularly instead of as Small Cause cases. The only difference will be that the decisions in these 16 or 18 cases per annum will be subject to appeal. I may add, however, that if Mr. Subaraiyar is retained at Cochin as deputy collector, there can be no objection to his personally having the larger summary powers which he has heretofore exercised for some time. It was only in case the post was held by an officer of less judicial experience that the reduction in the pecuniary limit of the jurisdiction was proposed by the High Court.

ORDER—dated 7th March 1884, No. 620, Judicial.

The Government being advised that no substantial inconvenience will be occasioned by the territorial re-arrangement of the jurisdiction of the subordinate court at Cochin, sees no reason to re-open a question which has been decided after the fullest consideration.

2. As there is no immediate intention, however, of moving the present deputy collector, His Excellency the Governor in Council, accepting the suggestion made by the Honorable the Judges of the High Court, is pleased, in modification of the notification published in G.O., 2nd February 1884, No. 278, to invest H. Subaraiyar with the full powers of a Judge of a Court of Small Causes, such powers to be exercised only within the limits of Cochin. A revised notification will issue accordingly.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Chamber of Commerce, Cochin.
" Editor, *Western Star*.
" Honorable the Judges, with C.L.
" District Judge, Malabar.

Exd. J. Wright.

Gobt. of 1884 Madras.

JUDICIAL.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 7th March 1884, No. 620.

MARCH.

Deputy Collector of Cochin *qua*
Sub-Judge.

Declining to reconsider the G.O. regarding the re-arrangement of the territorial jurisdiction of the sub-judge of Cochin, but increasing his pecuniary jurisdiction to the full powers of a Judge of a Court of Small Causes.

7TH MARCH 1884, No. 620, JUDICIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper :—

G.O., dated 6th February 1884, Mis. No. 341, Judicial.

Read—the following letter from G. BRUNTON, Esq., Chairman of the Chamber of Commerce, Cochin, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Cochin, 24th January 1884, No. 134 :—

This chamber was much gratified by learning from G.O., dated 22nd December 1883, Mis. No. 3371, Judicial department, that Government had acceded to its request that subordinate judge's powers should be given to the deputy collector to be appointed to Cochin.

2. This chamber, however, regrets to find by a memorandum from the District Magistrate to the temporary deputy collector that it is contemplated to reduce his powers in Small Causes in future from Rs. 500 to Rs. 200. Against this, the chamber begs most respectfully to protest, as, in a mercantile community such as Cochin, the right to have Small Cause suits, to the amount of Rs. 500 at least, adjudicated in the local Small Cause Court, is of the greatest importance.

Order—dated 6th February 1884, Mis. No. 341, Judicial.

The Honorable the Judges of the High Court will be requested to state if they see any reason for reconsidering the suggestion which they made in paragraph 10 of the letter from the Acting Registrar, No. 3668, dated 23rd November 1883, as to the restriction of the jurisdiction of the sub-judge, Cochin.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) E. F. WEBSTER,
Chief Secretary.

To the Honorable the Judges of the High Court, with C.L.

READ—also the following papers :—

From H. M. WALKER, Esq., Editor and Managing Proprietor, *Western Star*, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Cochin, 24th January 1884, No. 183.

On behalf of the signatories of the enclosed memorial, I have the honor to forward same, and to request you will be so good as to duly place it, for early and favorable orders, before His Excellency the Governor in Council.

ENCLOSURE.

TO HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL,
Fort St. George, Madras.

Memorial of the undersigned inhabitants
of British Cochin and of the 7 amshoms
of Manapuram hitherto attached to the
subordinate court of South Malabar at
Cochin.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH,—That we have received with the greatest consternation and disappointment the report that the Small Cause jurisdiction of the subordinate court of the deputy collector of Cochin is to be reduced to Rs. 200, and that the jurisdiction of the same court over the 7 amshoms of Manapuram is to be vested in the munsif's court at Chowghaut.

2. The former measure will entail upon the mercantile community of Cochin, which is strictly mercantile, endless inconvenience, delay, and expense, while it will result in no public advantage or civil safeguard of any nature whatsoever. It is exceedingly grievous that a community, which has enjoyed for the last 18 years with undisturbed freedom the privilege of laying Small Cause suits up to the value of Rs. 500, should now be restrained in the exercise of that privilege, and be told that their right has been curtailed by Rs. 300.

3. The latter action will entail upon the community the expense, trouble, inconvenience, and delay attendant upon pursuing their claims to their civil rights in the munsif's court at Chowghaut, and particularly so, when there is a judge at Cochin invested with the powers of a subordinate court. The Government is, perhaps, not aware that the mercantile community of Cochin have extensive dealings with the dealers at the 7 Manapuram amshoms in copprah, paddy, coir yarn, coir fibre, cocoanuts, and coconut-oil. The transfer of the Cochin sub-court's jurisdiction over these amshoms to the munsif's court at Chowghaut will drive the merchants of Cochin to seek civil redress against the Manapuram dealers in the Chowghaut munsif's court, when a court with superior civil powers exists at Cochin itself. This is a great grievance to memorialists importing to them pecuniary loss and grave humiliation.

4. It is a matter of extreme regret and disappointment to memorialists that, while the Dindigul-to-Palghat railway, the Mangalore harbour, the Coorg-to-Mysore railway, communications between Mangalore and Cannanore, are forming subjects for the serious, earnest, and energetic attention of the Government of your Excellency and Council, the wants of COCHIN should be treated with cold neglect, and that even the long cherished and useful civil institutions of Cochin should be reduced in their utility and cramped in their powers of public efficiency.

5. Memorialists, therefore, pray that your Excellency in Council may graciously be pleased to take all these matters into the consideration of your Excellency and Council, and direct the High Court not to disturb the pecuniary or territorial jurisdiction, which has been vested hitherto in the Cochin sub-court, and from the enjoyment of which the public both in Cochin and in the 7 amshoms of Manapuram have derived nothing but advantage and contentment. For which act of generous consideration your Excellency's memorialists shall, as in duty bound, ever pray.

COCHIN,
22nd January 1884.

(Signed) H. M. WALKER,
Managing Proprietor, "Western Star,"
and others.

From J. A. DAVIES, Esq., Acting Registrar of the High Court of Judicature at
Madras, Appellate side, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras,
23rd February 1884, No. 657.

With reference to your letter, dated 6th February 1884, forwarding Proceedings of the Madras Government of the same date, No. 341 (Miscellaneous), on a memorial from the Chamber of Commerce at Cochin and to the endorsement of Government, dated 25th January 1884, No. 174, transferring several telegrams (herewith returned) from the inhabitants of Cochin, representing the inexpediency of reducing the territorial and pecuniary jurisdiction of the subordinate court at Cochin, I am directed to state that the questions have been fully considered by the High Court, and it sees no reason to think that any substantial inconvenience will be occasioned by the territorial re-arrangements which were resolved on for manifest advantages. As regards the reduction of the pecuniary jurisdiction of the subordinate judge, the High Court has ascertained that only 18 Small Cause suits in which the value exceeded Rs. 200 were filed from Cochin in 1883 and only 16 in 1882. No sufficient reason is afforded by

these figures to induce the Court to alter its previous recommendation, for there can be no hardship in requiring so small a number of suits to be tried regularly instead of as Small Cause cases. The only difference will be that the decisions in these 16 or 18 cases per annum will be subject to appeal. I may add, however, that if Mr. Subaraiyar is retained at Cochin as deputy collector, there can be no objection to his personally having the larger summary powers which he has heretofore exercised for some time. It was only in case the post was held by an officer of less judicial experience that the reduction in the pecuniary limit of the jurisdiction was proposed by the High Court.

ORDER—dated 7th March 1884, No. 620, Judicial.

The Government being advised that no substantial inconvenience will be occasioned by the territorial re-arrangement of the jurisdiction of the subordinate court at Cochin, sees no reason to re-open a question which has been decided after the fullest consideration.

2. As there is no immediate intention, however, of moving the present deputy collector, His Excellency the Governor in Council, accepting the suggestion made by the Honorable the Judges of the High Court, is pleased, in modification of the notification published in G.O., 2nd February 1884, No. 278, to invest H. Subaraiyar with the full powers of a Judge of a Court of Small Causes, such powers to be exercised only within the limits of Cochin. The following revised notification will issue accordingly:—

NOTIFICATION.

In modification of so much of the notification sanctioned by G.O., 2nd February 1884, No. 278, as invested the deputy collector of Cochin with the powers of a Judge of a Court of Small Causes for the trial of Small Cause cases up to Rs. 200, His Excellency in Council directs that the said deputy collector shall exercise the full powers of a Judge of a Court of Small Causes within the limits of the town of Cochin.

(True Extract.)

E. F. WEBSTER,
Chief Secretary

To the Chamber of Commerce, Cochin.
" Editor, *Western Star*.
" Honorable the Judges, with C.L.
" District Judge, Malabar.

Exd. J. Wright.

Govt. of (1884) Malabar.

JUDICIAL.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 7th March 1884, No. 620.

MARCH.

Deputy Collector of Cochin *qua*
Sub-Judge.

Declining to reconsider the G.O. regarding the re-arrangement of the territorial jurisdiction of the sub-judge of Cochin, but increasing his pecuniary jurisdiction to the full powers of a Judge of a Court of Small Causes.

WESTERN STAR

OFFICE.

Annual Subscription (advance) Rs. 13 10 0

NO. 3, ELPHINSTONE ROAD!

Do. (arrears) „ 16 10 0

Cochin, Malabar.

No. 183

24th January, 1884.

FROM

THE EDITOR & MANAGING PROPRIETOR

“WESTERN STAR.”

TO

Mr. Chief Secretary to the Government,
Fort St. George,
Madras.

SIR,

On behalf of the signatories of the enclosed Memorial I have the honour to forward same and to request you will be so good as to duly place it for early and favourable orders before His Excellency the Governor-in-Council.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant,
Kali Cheliah Nair

TO

His Excellency the Governor in Council,
FORT ST. GEORGE,
MADRAS.

Memorial of the undersigned Inhabitants of British Cochin and of the 7 Amshoms of Manapuram hitherto attached to the Subordinate Court of South Malabar at Cochin.

Most Respectfully Sheweth,

1. That we have received with the greatest consternation and disappointment the report that the Small Cause jurisdiction of the Subordinate Court of the Deputy Collector of Cochin is to be reduced to Rupees 200, and that the jurisdiction of the same Court over the seven Amshoms of Manapuram is to be vested in the Munsiff's Court at Chowghaut.

2. The former measure will entail upon the mercantile community of Cochin, which is strictly mercantile, endless inconvenience, delay, and expense, while it will result in no public advantage or civil safeguard of any nature whatsoever. It is exceedingly grievous that a community, which has enjoyed for the last eighteen years with undisturbed freedom the privilege of laying Small Cause Suits up to the value of Rupees 500, should now be restrained in the exercise of that privilege, and be told that their right has been curtailed by Rupees 300.

3. The latter action will entail upon the community the expense, trouble, inconvenience, and delay attendant upon pursuing their claims to their civil rights in the Munsiff's Court at Chowghaut and particularly so, when there is a Judge at Cochin invested with the powers of a Subordinate Court. The Government is, perhaps, not aware that the mercantile community of Cochin have extensive dealings with the dealers at the seven Manapuram Amshoms in coprah, paddy, coir yarn, coir fibre, cocoanuts, and coconut oil. The transfer of the Cochin Sub Court's jurisdiction over these Amshoms to the Munsiff's Court at Chowghaut will drive the merchants of Cochin to seek civil redress against the Manapuram dealers in the Chowghaut Munsiff's Court, when a Court with superior civil powers exists at Cochin itself. This is a great grievance to Memorialists importing to them pecuniary loss and grave humiliation.

4. It is a matter of extreme regret and disappointment to Memorialists that, while the Dindigul to Palghat Railway, the Mangalore Harbour, the Coorg-to-Mysore Railway, communications between Mangalore and Cannanore are forming subjects for the serious, earnest, and energetic attention of the Government of Your Excellency and Council, the wants of COCHIN should be treated with cold neglect, and that even the long cherished and useful civil institutions of Cochin should be reduced in their utility and cramped in their powers of public efficiency.

5. Memorialists, therefore, pray that your Excellency in Council may graciously be pleased to take all these matters into the considerations of your Excellency and Council and direct the High Court not to disturb the pecuniary or territorial jurisdiction, which has been vested hitherto in the Cochin Sub Court, and from the enjoyment of which the Public both in Cochin and in the seven Amshoms of Manapuram have derived nothing but advantage and contentment. For which act of generous consideration Your Excellency's Memorialists shall, as in duty bound,

EVER PRAY,

COCHIN,
22nd January, 1884.

Memorial 1 Page
Signature 13 3/4 Pages.

H. M. Walker,
Managing Proprietor,
"Western Star"
J. J. Ferreira,
Managing Proprietor,
Cochin Advertiser

S. J. Mani Mani,
S. J. Mani Mani,
S. J. Mani Mani

7

Radmanabha Chari

Madam de la Harpe

P.

W. A. Wm anott

[Handwritten signature]

[illegible]

ஆ. எஸ். ரா. ரெகிஸ்டர்
சென்னை

എല്ലാ വർഷവും ഏപ്രിൽ 1 നു, മലയാളം ദിനമായി ആചരിക്കുന്നു.

[Handwritten signature]

അതിനാൽ ഏകദേശം 1000
 കി. മീ. വരെ നീളം ഉണ്ടാകുന്ന
 ഒരു നദിയാണിത്.

[Handwritten signature]

புதிதான கவிதைகள்

பு: 2 ல க க ள ள க ள ள
பு: 3 ல க க ள ள க ள ள
பு: 4 ல க க ள ள க ள ள

Handwritten signature and date: 08.09.2017

[illegible]

൧൯൯൯
 ൧൯൯൯
 ൧൯൯൯

നല്ലതന്നെ ചെയ്തതുകൊണ്ട് അതിനുള്ള
 വെളിച്ചം എന്തെന്നു പറയുക.

1. മുഖ്യമന്ത്രി
 2. മന്ത്രിമാർ

Zusammenfassung

உள்ள அல்லா பிழை
வெள்ளென்பதென (பிழை)

ഗ്രന്ഥകൃത്ത്: (പി. ജി. ജോർജ്ജ്)
 പ്രസിദ്ധീകരണം: (1980)

உள்ளுறைகள் (5)

നഷ്ടമെന്ന് വിശദീകരിക്കാൻ കഴിയാത്തതുകൊണ്ട് ഇത് Indemnity

உள்ளுள்ளி நூல்

പാലക്കാട് ജില്ല - മി

[Handwritten signature]

20 നവംബർ 1954

അമൽഗിരിയെ പറ്റി

മലയാളം ഭാഷയിൽ

ഭരണകൂടം

പ്രതിപാദനം

பெரிய கிணறு

2019-2020-21
 2019-2020-21

2. 10. 2019
 2. 10. 2019

മിസ്റ്റർ എസ്.എസ്.എസ്.

29) അമ്മയുടെ മരണം

25.10.2023/

[Handwritten signature]

എന്നാൽ എന്റെ അഭിപ്രായം. എന്നാൽ

John Masade of Thos. & J.

കിടയിൽ പറമ്പിൽ ഭാഗത്തു വെച്ച് നടന്നു

നമ്മുടെ ജീവിതത്തിൽ നാം ഉൾക്കൊള്ളേണ്ട
വിലാസം ഉൾക്കൊള്ളേണ്ട

പ്രൊഫസർ എ. കെ. സിദ്ദീഖ്
ഡയറക്ടർ ഗവണ്മെന്റ് ഹൈസ്കൂൾ തൃശ്ശൂർ

ഡെവനഗരം ൧൫ ൧൦൦൦ ടി. ടി. ജി. ഫിസ്മ

James J. [unclear]
J. J. [unclear]

പള്ളി പാമ്പിൻ കുറേ പെട്ടെന്ന്

വി-വി-അ പെട്ടെന്ന് പെട്ടെന്ന്

ജോസഫ് ജോസഫ്

കെ-കുറേ പെട്ടെന്ന്

പെട്ടെന്ന് പെട്ടെന്ന്

പെട്ടെന്ന് പെട്ടെന്ന്

George Bunde Frander

കെ-കുറേ പെട്ടെന്ന്

ജോസഫ് ജോസഫ്

കെ-കുറേ പെട്ടെന്ന്

കെ-കുറേ പെട്ടെന്ന്

കെ-കുറേ പെട്ടെന്ന്

കെ-കുറേ പെട്ടെന്ന്

കെ-കുറേ പെട്ടെന്ന്

കെ-കുറേ പെട്ടെന്ന്

കെ-കുറേ പെട്ടെന്ന്

കെ-കുറേ പെട്ടെന്ന്

12
James J. [unclear] 23
കുറേ പെട്ടെന്ന്

J. R. [unclear]

ജോസഫ് ജോസഫ്

Anthony Barrie

J. [unclear]

ജോസഫ് ജോസഫ്

ജോസഫ് ജോസഫ്

ജോസഫ് ജോസഫ്

Barrie Frander

ജോസഫ് ജോസഫ്

ജോസഫ് ജോസഫ്

ജോസഫ് ജോസഫ്

ജോസഫ് ജോസഫ്

ജോസഫ് ജോസഫ്

ജോസഫ് ജോസഫ്

ജോസഫ് ജോസഫ്

ജോസഫ് ജോസഫ്

C. No. 257 of 1884

[9]

Judicial Department

CURRENT No. 329

From

Editor & Managing Proprietor
Western Star
Cochin, Malabar.

For orders.

Please see the enclosed bundle
if that notification referred to in
this letter is in that bundle
fine. The petitioners must be told
that Government see no reason to
alter the determination already
arrived at.

No 620/1014

No. 183.

Dated 24 } January 1884.
Received 26 }
Enclosures 1

SUBJECT.

Regarding the territorial
Jurisdiction of the Cochin
Sut Court.

For

But before saying this we might
ask the High Court on C. No. 343
if they see any cause for recon-
sidering the suggestion &c
they made in para 10 of their
letter d/23 Nov last No 3068 (file
62-2/1095) as a restriction on
the 200

No 657

5 P 1

High Court of Judicature,
Madras, 23rd February 1884.

From

J. A. Davies Esquire,
Acting Registrar of the High
Court of Judicature at Madras.

To

The Honorable C. F. Webster,
Chief Secretary to
Government.

Sir,

With reference to your letter
dated 6th February 1884, forwarding
Proceedings of the Madras Government
of the same date No. 341, Miscellaneous,
on a Memorial from the Chamber
of Commerce at Cochin and to the
endorsement of Government dated
25th January 1884. No. 174, transferring
several telegrams (herewith returned)
from the inhabitants of Cochin.

representing

representing the inexpediency of
reducing the territorial and pecuni-
ary jurisdiction of the Subordinate
Court at Cochin, I am directed to
state that the questions have been
fully considered by the High Court
and it sees no reason to think that
any substantial inconvenience
will be occasioned by the territorial
re-arrangements which were re-
solved on for manifest advantages.

As regards the reduction of the
pecuniary jurisdiction of the
Subordinate Judge, the High Court
has ascertained that only 18 Small
Cause suits in which the value
exceeded Rupees 200 were filed from
Cochin in 1883 and only 16 in 1882.

Is

No sufficient reason is afforded by
these figures to induce the Court to
alter its previous recommendation
for there can be no hardship in
requiring so small a number of
suits to be tried regularly instead
of as Small Cause Cases. The only
difference will be that the decisions
in these 16 or 18 cases per annum
will be subject to appeal. I may
add however, that if Mr. Subbaraya
Siyar is retained at Cochin
as Deputy Collector, there can
be no objection to his personally
having the larger summary powers
which he has heretofore exercised
for some time. It was only in case
the post was held by an officer of
less

less judicial experience that the
reduction in the pecuniary limit
of the jurisdiction was proposed
by the High Court.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

J. S. Davis

Seking Registrar,

Appellate Side.

Ind. Co. 6-2-24/341h.
22-12-23: 3371
Recd. of Court file

COMPLINT No. 772

Judicial { 1884. } Department

No.

FROM

THE ~~THE~~ REGISTRAR OF THE HIGH COURT
OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS,
Appellate Side.

Dated 23^d February } 1884
Received 21

No. 657 (No 757/100)
Enc: /

Stating that no substantial
inconvenience will be
occasioned by the territorial
and pecuniary re-arrangements
of the jurisdiction of
Cochin Subordinate Court.

Just. Dept.

Pro. of the Madras Sub.

Read the file.
From the Chamber of
Commerce. 24th Jan.
1884.

" Letter & Managing
Proprietor, Western Star,
24th Jan. 1884.

" Repr. High Court,
23^d Feb. 1884, No. 657.
Order 7th March 1884, No. 620.

The Sub. being advised
that no substantial
inconvenience will be
occasioned by the terri-
torial re-arrangements
of the jurisdiction of

The Subordinate Bench
at Jodhpur, Messrs
Karn & Co. Open a
question which has
been decided after
the fullest considera-
tion.

As there is no imme-
diate intention, however,
of moving the Bench
by J. W. H. 2. the
J. W. H. Bench, accept-
ing the suggestion
made by J. W. H. the
Judge of the H. Bench,
is pleased to modify
the Bench of the Bench.
Published in J. W. H.
Feb. 1884. No. 278. to
be H. Sabarnia.

Mr. Jones says the officers
will remain on in C. W. H.
Some time ago.
M. H.
1-11

with the full powers
of a Judge of a Bench
of S. Cases, such
powers to be exercised
only within the limits
of Jodhpur. A revised
Bench will come
accordingly.

Bench.

In modification of the
Bench of the Bench.
Sanctioned by J. W. H.
Feb. 1884. No. 278, as
invested the Deputy
Inspector of Jodhpur with
the powers of a Judge
of a Bench of S. Cases.

for the trial of small
 Cause cases up to Rs. 10,
 H. P. in District
 that the said District
 Judge shall exercise
 all the full powers
 of a Judge of a District
 of S. Cause within
 the limits of the Town
 of Jodhpur.

Justified

P. C. Judge, Jodhpur

P. V.

1-23-84

H. C. J. Jodhpur

Chamberlain Jodhpur
 District, Western Dist.
 Rep. to Govt.
 On the 15th July 1884
 D. Judge, Malabar
 15/3

Order of Government, dated 6/7/84
 No. 341 Miscellaneous.

No. of 188

PAPER No. 18

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

329
 7/2

STATEMENT WITH DATES.

ORDER, &c. OF GOVERNMENT—A.

PAPERS KEPT OUT OF FILE FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.
 (not paged.)

Order
 Letter
 Collection

N.B.—
 A = Print in full.
 B = Not to be printed.
 P = Print abstract only.
 Q = Print heading only.
 R = Print, but not for monthly volume.


 DEPARTMENT.
 G.O. DATED 7th March 1904
 No. 620

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Moller Nochin Sust Judge

Declining to
reconsider ^{the} ~~the~~ arrangement ^{of} ~~of~~ regarding ^{the} ~~the~~ ter-
ritorial jurisdiction of the
Sust Judge Nochin, but
increasing his pecuniary
jurisdiction ^{to the full} ~~for the purpose~~
~~to the~~ powers of a Judge
of a Court of Small Causes.