

Judicial Department

G.O. 1326

Dated 18-9-1882

18TH SEPTEMBER 1882, No. 1326, JUDICIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

From Brigadier-General GODFREY CLERK, Adjutant-General, to the Acting Secretary to Government, Military Department, dated Ootacamund, 15th April 1882, No. O-157.

I am directed by His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief to forward, for the consideration of Government, the enclosed correspondence.

In this correspondence the Inspecting Veterinary Surgeon states that it is very desirable in order to stamp out the disease that all horses in the district of Madras and the Mount should be regularly inspected under the provisions of the Glanders and Farcy Act (XX of 1879).

2. I am to state that glanders and farcy have recently been prevalent amongst the horses of the Royal Artillery at St. Thomas' Mount, necessitating the destruction of twelve horses for the latter disease and three for the former, and six horses are still under treatment.

ENCLOSURES.

From J. MILLS, Esq., Veterinary Surgeon doing duty with Royal Artillery, Central District, to the Inspecting Veterinary Surgeon, Madras Army, dated St. Thomas' Mount, 29th March 1882, No. 37-I-6.

I have the honor to report that I proceeded to Poonamallee to examine a horse cast 12 months ago from I-6, Royal Artillery (No. 3), and found him suffering from farcy, and I am of opinion he is as bad a case as any we have destroyed in O. 1st, Royal Artillery.

From G. EVANS, Esq., M.D., Inspecting Veterinary Surgeon, dated Ootacamund, 1st April 1882, No. 4.

- Do you know for how long this horse has shown symptoms of farcy ?
2. Please state the date when he left the Battery.

From J. MILLS, Esq., Veterinary Surgeon in charge, dated St. Thomas' Mount, 7th April 1882, No. 41-I-6.

The undersigned has the honor to state :—

1. The first sign of farcy was noticed on the 14th January 1882—see attached letter.
2. The horse left the Battery on the 30th September 1881.

From G. EVANS, Esq., M.D., Inspecting Veterinary Surgeon, dated Ootacamund, 10th April 1882, No. 67.

Forwarded for the information of His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief through the Assistant Adjutant-General, Royal Artillery.

2. This horse was cast for "sprain foreleg." As the first symptoms of farcy did not appear for 3½ months after the horse left the Battery, the probability is that he was not infected

Govt. of (1882) Madras.
JUDICIAL.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 18th September 1882, No. 1326.

SEPTEMBER.

Cattle Diseases Prevention Act.

Directing that the Madras — be brought into operation in the Town of Madras and the Saidápet Taluk of the Chingleput District.

Saidápet

27th July 82

Madras

Cotacamund

15th April 1882

For the Honble the Secretary to the Government of Madras
Act II. of 1868 was extended to the whole District of Chingleput on the 1st April 1881 but only for 3 months. That time Government has of course long since expired and the Act is now Cotacamund longer in force.

vide report at 187th page of the Fort St George Gazette of the 1st April 1881. This Excellency the Governor is forward for the consideration of Government the enclosed correspondence.

In this correspondence the Inspecting Veterinary Surgeon states, that it is very desirable in order to stamp out the disease that all horses in the District of Madras and the District should be regularly inspected under the provisions of the Glanders and Sore Act (XX of 1879).

I am to state that Glanders and Sore have recently been prevalent amongst the

SEPTEMBER.

Directing that the Madras — be brought into operation in the Town of Madras and the Saidápet Taluk of the Chingleput District.

2. I am to state that Glanders and
Tarcy have recently been prevalent amongst

the horses of the Royal Artillery at St. Thomas
Account, necessitating the destruction of
twelve horses for the latter disease and
three for the former, and six horses are
still under treatment.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient Servant

George Clerk.

Brigadier General

Adjutant General

1326/5

Legislative
 History 1882 Department
 (Glanders & Farcy, etc)

6P

Polacumund.
 24th April 1882.

No: 1906

Referred for con-
 sideration in the Leg-
 islative Deptt., with an
 expression of the opinion
 of foot: in the Military Deptt
 that it is very desirable
 to extend the provisions
 of Act XX of 1879 (India)
 to Madras & Thomas'
 Mount - By order.

Education, Colonel
 As Secy to Govt.

to the Legislative Deptt.

For Secy of Legation - Paris
 with
 26/4

of
 The Adjutant General

Dated 15th April
 Received 17

24 -
 10/5/82

Current No 27

Forwards correspondence
 regarding Glanders and
 Farcy being prevalent
 amongst the horses of the
 Royal Artillery at Agra.

P. J. ...
 ...

July 1881. ...
 34. 1/6
 Farcy ...
 James. Mills. T.B. ...
 R.A.C.H.

G. ...
 ...
 Madras Army -

Dear Sir,
 I have the honor to re-
 port that I proceeded
 to Poona to examine
 a horse sent 12 months
 ago from S.H. R.A. (in S.)
 and found him suffering
 from Farcy. I am of
 opinion he is as bad a
 case as any we have dis-
 ed in S.H. R.A.

I have the honor to be
 Sir,
 Your most Obedt Servant
 James. Mills. T.B.

No.

Dated the 22nd Decr 1882

With reference to the order
number 1906, dated 24. April 1862, the
Military Department is requested to
report whether the extension of the
provisions of Madras Act II of 1866 to
the District of Madras and the Districts
would not sufficiently serve the
purpose contemplated.

2. It is observed that India Act XX
of 1879 would require special legislation
to extend its operation to this Dominion.

(By air)

John Lewis
deputy Secretary

The Military and Naval

11. 4. 1. 1/16.

St. Charles Avenue
April 24 1883.

10-11

Wednesday 14th August 1891
 No. 20 Continued 1891

42

37

The undesignated was the horse
to state -
1. The first animal of farm -
was noticed on the 14th Jan'y
1880. He attacked 2. him.
2. The horse left the paddock
on the 30th Sept-1881.

James Smith, Dr.
— in charge.

5/2

1. How soon for handling the case?
2. How soon for the date when to call the meeting?

7

100

Polacambund
10 May 1882.

No. 294

(By order)

Assistant Colonel
Adjutant to Govt:

The Indian Department

July: 1882 Wesley

From the Inst^d Sept^r
660-R

Have the body done

4/1 May

13/30

all 10 days
11 —————
OCTOBER 10, 1962

Regarding the
extension to maderas
and St. Thomas' Mount
of the provisions of
Act XX of 1879 (Indians)

Ind. apt 1326
46

From Philby: Dept. d. 24 April '82 no 1906, referring
correspondence of Admt. General, with exposure
of opinion of desirability of extending the
Phary Act xx of 1879 to Madras & Thomas
Mount

One to Kelly Sept. d. 1st May 82 no. 660 Cont.

From Hilth Sept. 2. 10 may be intimating that
the extension of the Act II of 1866 would probably
meet the case.

Forwards.

The Pharyng Act for the better pre-
vention of glanders & Pharyng among
Horses, Act xxv 1874, does not
extend to this Presidency, & its
extension would require special
legislation.

Our own Act of 1866 practically gives all the powers which the Indian enactment does: & the Military Dept. think it would effect all that is required.

The India Act which is
restricted to Pharyngitis
among horses
confers powers of search,
seizure, & destruction.

The Madras Act is wider,
& embracing all infectious
diseases of all animals -
curable & incurable, dangerous
or otherwise - provides for
Hospital Pounds & transfer
of animals there for treat-
ment, besides giving power
to destroy.

If the Military Authorities
do not consider it necessary
to establish Hospital Pounds
& keepers, for which it is
observed the charge would
apparently fall on the ^{Pound} ~~State~~ ^{Government} ~~Government~~, it will be
enough to bring into effective
operation the other parts
of the Madras Act.

It is assumed that every
horse found afflicted with
Pharyngitis or glanders will be
ordered to be at once destroyed.

M. Act I of 1879

Section 10 empowers any
"person authorized by the Dyke of
the Dist. to destroy any animal
(before it is unbound) whenever
it shall appear to him to be
necessary to prevent the spread
of contagion or infection."

The sections to be worked
would be ^{from sec.} ~~the~~ of on-
wards.

At Madras the ^{Resident} ~~Police~~ ^{Police} & at St Thomas' Mount
the Dist. Muz. would authorize
persons ~~cases~~ for the purposes
of the Act. These persons might
be the Veterinary Surgeons at-
tached to the Regiments or
Batteries, stationed there, as
the most economical ar-
rangement.

Their powers would be:-

- (1) to enter & inspect any
premises in wh. they suspect
infected animals to be, (sec 7):
- (2) to examine the animals (sec 7):
- (3) to cause disinfection of such
premises, & of fodder, & refuse.

(4) to destroy any animal when it shall appear necessary for the prevention or spread of contagion.

(5) to license the removal of animals which have been in the same shed or herd or in contact with any animal labouring under contagious or infectious disease to some place where it can be kept apart from all other animals until they are satisfied there is no reasonable probability of such animal propagating the disease. (4.12)

(6) to receive notice of from owner of infectious disease, in Tables (4.13 & 4).

Note. The Hosp. Boardkeeper is here mentioned specifically but the person authorized may be declared to be the H. P. keeper for the purposes of this section 13.

Assuming, then, that the act is to be enforced only for the purpose of

13. 19
destroying horses afflicted with Farcy or glanders, & that Vet. Surgeons as the Statute-ment will be empowered, there need be no expense in carrying out the act. For by Section 10, "no compensation shall be claimable in respect of any loss incurred" by reason of the destruction of any animal under the Statute.

The disinfection of animals, premises, refuse &c is to be carried out by the owner or possessor (Sec. 14). Should there be refusal or neglect, the charge would fall on the Farcy Board Fund: (5.17). But as refusal or neglect would entail fine, which is to be credited to the Fund, there wd be little loss.

I should think that the extension of the provisions of headrow Act II of 1866 would meet the requirements of the military.

1.6.82

J.H.

D. F. 25/5/72
J.H.

Phary Act, & Act
II of 1866 (Madras)

2 Sir Fred. Roberts & Co
1 Hon. D. F. Carmichael

Vol. 10 of the Madras
Legislation 1866
Part II
Act II of 1866

Jud. No. 1336 of 1882

ccy 5
876

9th Just. DEPARTMENT. 17
No.

From

Military Dept. d. 24 April 82 no 1906
O.M. to Military Dept. d. 1 May 82 no 660
From Military Dept. d. 10 May 82
Do. from Madras d. 27 July (intimating)
that the Madras Cattle Disease, Act
II of 1866 ceased to be in force in
the Chingleput Dist. on the 22 July 81

FOR ORDERS.

18th Sept 82, no 1326.
The Madras Cattle Disease
Prevention Act will be brought into
operation in the town of Madras
& the Saidapet Taluk of the
Chingleput Dist., as requested
by the Military Dept., with
special view to the prevention
and the stamping out of
Phary & Glanders among
horses

2. The Dist. Mags of Chingleput
& the President of the Madras
Mty. may refrain from taking
action, so far as this special
object is concerned, until the

interference is requested by
the Military authorities. On
such requisition they will at
once proceed to enforce such
portions of the act as they
may consider best suited
to the circumstances; appointing
the inspecting ^{or} officers,
mentioned in section 7 & seq.
in consultation with the
Military Auth^s, who may
find it convenient to
nominate ^{officers of the} Veterinary
~~regiment~~ ^{regiment} to discharge these
duties.

3. The following Notice
will be published in the
Fort St. Geo. Gazette.

Notice

Under the provisions of
Section 1 of Madras Act II of
1866 "An Act for the pre-
vention of the spread of
disease among cattle."

10 P

137/15

the Presidency of Madras, the
Rt. H. the Govt. directs that
the aforesaid act with the
amending Act II of 1879
(M) shall ~~be~~ ^{be} put in force in the
Oaidapett Taluk of the
Chingleput Dist & within
the limits of the City of
Madras from and after
this date.

[Signature]
To Milt Dept.
Dist by Chingleput
Resident Madras
13 Oct 1879

Copy

FOR ORDERS.

*9.0 1826
18.9.1826*

D. all

*last in the list
of the same*

Print in full

*Print in full
the letter*

1826

[Despatch]

READ AGAINST order of Government, dated
1826 No. Miscellaneous.

ENCLOSURES.

1. a

CURRENT No.

*27
1554 } a*

STATEMENT WITH DUTY

ORDER No. OF GOVERNMENT—A

PAPERS KEPT OUT OF FILE FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.
(not paged.)

Order *50*
Letter
Collection

N.B.—
A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.

✓
PRESS.

✓
G.O. DATED 18 Sept/82
No. 1326
DEPARTMENT.

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print. 28/9



[Despatch Abstract.]

Cattle Disease, Prevention

Act. Directly that the
Madras ———— be
brought into force operation
in the Town of Madras
and the Suddapet Taluk
of the Chingleput Dist.

✓