

- (1) பக்கங்கரின் எண்ணிக்கை 15
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் நவம்பர் 1995
 (3) ஆதாரங் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

Judicial துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	73 (54-55)	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள்:	17.1.1881	199

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது.

ந.ப.பு.

ஆவண. கா. 1-18,006-69-90.

செப்பிடுபவர் பெயர் K. V. K.
செப்பிடுவதற்காக எடுத்த
கொள்கைப்பட்ட நாள் 1881
செப்பிடு முடிந்த நாள் 1881
சுரபுரம்
மு. ப. ரா. ப. ப. ப.
கையொப்பம் 12/75

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

17th January 1881, No. 73.

Magisterial Powers.

Read the following paper:—

No. 54. From Lieutenant-Colonel T. E. TENNANT, Inspector-General of Jails, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Ootacamund, 30th November 1880, No. 368.

I have the honor to forward, for the orders of Government, the accompanying letter from the Acting District Magistrate of Cuddapah, requesting to be informed whether a Taluk Sariahtadar who is not invested with magisterial powers may be placed in charge of a Subsidiary Jail.

2. By Rule 2 under Section 3 of the Subsidiary Jail Rules it is directed that the executive charge of Subsidiary Jails should be vested in the Sub Magistrate or other chief magisterial officers, whilst the general control and superintendence of such jails is vested in the Magistrate of the district. Further, Government directed in Judicial Order, dated 18th March 1875, No. 649, that the powers of punishment vested in the Superintendent of a Jail by Sections 9 and 11 of Act V of 1869 be conferred on all Sub Magistrates in executive charge of these jails, but it is not clear that a Sariahtadar who is not invested with magisterial powers can exercise such authority. If this be so, it follows that such officers cannot be placed in charge of a Subsidiary Jail.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From S. T. McCARTHY, Esq., Acting District Magistrate of Cuddapah, to the Inspector-General of Jails, dated 18th November 1880, No. 3094.

I have the honor to ask whether it is allowable to place a Taluk Sariahtadar, who is not invested with magisterial powers, in charge of a Subsidiary Jail? Cases may occur in which it is not advisable to invest such officers with magisterial powers, or in which it is specially ordered that they should not have them. Such is the case as regards the present Taluk Sariahtadar of Cuddapah, and it is in connection with him that I make the present reference.

No. 55. ORDER THEREON, 17th January 1881, No. 73.

A Taluk Sariahtadar, not being a Magistrate, should not be placed in executive charge of a Sub Jail; but every Taluk Sariahtadar should be recommended for magisterial powers, unless his employment as a Magistrate has been expressly inhibited.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Inspector-General of Jails,
 „ all District Magistrates.

Exd. J. Wright.

Govt. of 1881 Madras.

JUDICIAL.

To
THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL
OF JAILS,
&c. &c. &c.

Dated January, 17th.

Issued

Recd.

Recd.

G.O., 17th January 1881, No. 73.

JANUARY.
Nos. 54-55.

Magisterial Powers.—Intimating that a Taluk Sarishtadar, not being a Magistrate, should not be placed in executive charge of a Sub Jail; but that every Taluk Sarishtadar should be recommended for magisterial powers, unless his employment as a Magistrate has been expressly inhibited.

No. 4007.

Just. DEPARTMENT.
B/V

From

The J. G. of Jail

Dated

30 Nov/80. No. 360. Regarding, the

the existence of the

St. Mary's Juddahat.

FOR ORDERS.

to be informed whether
a Zulu Shrivastava not
invested with magis-
terial powers may be
placed in charge of a
Sub Jail.

9/12/1900

Franklin Jones
not a general
order but a
letter from the
Colonial Secretary
(not being a general
instruction) should
be considered
with mag. powers.
Please see

No such general order can
be traced.

GO. 1874.71 apparently
3/12/1900. Contemplates that Taluk

1. 01/10/1901.
2. 21/10/1902. put up. thing

211

Denistadors shall be
 generally made. (Go.
 21 Decr 72 continues
 existing powers).
 B.S. 1. No. 246.
 127-1

The following are the
 names of the persons who
 have been appointed to
 the various committees,
 viz.:
 Finance Committee -
 Messrs. [illegible]
 Education Committee -
 Messrs. [illegible]
 Public Works Committee -
 Messrs. [illegible]

73/2

Change of Seat Belts

FOR ORDERS.

Recd to
H. H. Hammond

So far? 73/81.

С. 10. 12
К' 13-12

No 368

349. 1 P

Obtained 30 November 1880

From the Honble R. Davidson C.S.I.

Chief Secretary to Government

For the Honble R. Davidson C.S.I.

Chief Secretary to Government

For the Honble R. Davidson C.S.I.

For the Honble R. Davidson C.S.I.

Sir

I have the honor to forward for the orders of Government the accompanying letter from the Acting District Magistrate of Fuddahab requesting to be informed whether a taluk Sherikhatdar who is not invested with magisterial powers may be placed in charge of a subsidiary taluk.

2. By rule 2 under Section 3

of the subsidiary Jail Rules it
is directed that the executive
charge of subsidiary jails should
be vested in the sub-Magistrate,
or other chief Magisterial officers,
while the general control and
superintendence of such jails
is vested in the Magistrate of
the District. Further Government
directed in Judicial Order dated
18th March 1875 to 1869 that the
powers of punishment vested
in the Superintendent of a
Jail by sections 9 and 11 of
Act V. of 1869 be conferred on
all sub-Magistrates in executive
charge of these jails, but it is
not clear that a Sheristadar
who is not invested with magisterial

315 200
powers can exercise such authority.
If this be so it follows that
such officers cannot be
placed in charge of a subsidiary
jail.

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Your most obedient servant
J. J. J. J.
Inspector General of Jails

No 3094/16 Cuddapah District Magistrate's
Office.
18 November 1880.

From. The Acting District Magistrate
of Cuddapah.
To The Inspector General
of Sails.
Madras.

Sir, I have the honor to ask whether it is allowable
to place a Taluk Serishtadar, who is not invested
with magisterial powers, in charge of a Subdivisional
Jail? Cases may occur in which it is not advisable
to invest such officers with magisterial powers, or
in which it is specially ordered that they should
not have them. Such is the case as regards the
present Taluk Serishtadar of Cuddapah, and it
is in connection with him that I make the
present reference -

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant.

J. M. G. S.
Acting District Magistrate

At 10/11/80
The District Magistrate
Cuddapah
To The Inspector General
of Sails
Madras
No 3094/16
Cuddapah District Magistrate's
Office
18 November 1880
Forwarding letter from
the District Magistrate
Cuddapah for order
regarding a Subdivisional
Jail Serishtadar in charge
of a Subdivisional Jail is
not invested with magisterial
powers.

73/9
 Just: Department. 48

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

From the Sd. & Jails of
 35. Dec. 1880. No. 368.
 Order thereon 17 Jan. 1881. No. 73

A Taluk Sarikh
 Caddas, not being a Magistrate,
 should not be placed
 in executive charge of a
 Sub Jail; but every Taluk
 Sarikh Caddas should be
 deemed for magisterial purposes
 unless his employment
 as a Magistrate has been
 expressly inhibited

The Sd. Jails & Dep. Mag. as a Magistrate has been ex-
 pressedly inhibited
 1880/1 150
 [From order of 17.1.81 No. 73]

1880

From the Sd. Magistrate

& the District Jail

of the District Jail

Order 1880

1880/1 No. 368

Regarding to the

known under a Taluk

Magistrate who is

not situated with the

power may be ordered

to be in charge of all
 necessary detail of
 the Jail: 1880/1

Chief Justice

Gobt. of {1880} Madras.

To

Judicial.

Dated

Recd.

17th Aug 1880, No. 73

Nos. 54-55

Magt. Powers. Intimating
that a Taluk Serishtah not
being a Magt. should not
be placed in executive
charge of a sub jail; but
that every Taluk Serishtah
should be removed
unless his employment as
Magt. has been
properly inhibited.