

(1) Number of pages

18

(2) Date of repair

25-9-41

(3) Initials of the Referencer

J

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2043

-Press-
Mis.

Dated

4. 10.

1881 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

South Brook.

No. 59.

Collectors Office

Cuddalore

22nd September

CURRENT NO. 2836

From,

A. Quicksnark Esq.

Collector of South Brook.

To,

The Honorable R. Davidson
C.S.I.

Chief Secretary to Government

Cotacamund.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknow-

* Returned
herewith.

- ledge the receipt of the endorse-
- ment of Government dated 17th

Instant No. 1570, on Petition No.
1734 of 1881 presented by

Abdul

last No. 7. that "there is no doubt
that the son and designated
heir of the deceased is, in the
opinion of the great majority
of his co-religionists, sufficiently
qualified for the performance
of the ordinary routine duties
of the office in a manner satis-
- factory to those who seek his
services."

4. Mr. Gibson convened three
meetings of the Mahomedan
inhabitants of Chidambaram
which were fully attended before
he submitted the proposals con-
- tained in his letter above quoted,
and the necessity for appointing
a

a second Kazi for the town was
not urged by those then present.
It is also now for the first time
mentioned in the two petitions
reposed that the present incumbent
belongs to Shafi sect, and that
certain Mahomedans of Chidam-
- baram belong to the Hanafi
sect and that therefore another
Kazi should be appointed. The
present incumbent's father and
grandfather, both of the Shafi
sect, (grandfather was appointed
by Nizam Wallajah in 1788)
performed the duties of Kazi
for 93 years for the whole of
the

*vide Mr. Reader's
letter recorded
in Board's Pro-
- ceedings dated
21st April
1864 No. 2571.

the Mahomedan population of
Chidambaram without ever
needing an assistant. The
person whom Petitioners seek to have
an additional Kaiji was a rival
claimant at the time of the last
vacancy with the present incumbent,
and having failed to get it is now
trying to get himself appointed
as a second Kaiji for the reason
newly stated. If the person now
recommended by the petitioners
is of the Hanafi sect, the distinction
between the two sects must be
very unimportant, for he is no
other than a son-in-law of
the last incumbent and
a

a brother-in-law of the present.
Family quarrels have very little
much more to do with the
opposition got up than any
thing else.

5. If another Kaiji is appointed,
the emoluments of the
office would require a subdivision.
The last Kaiji was originally
in receipt of a ready-money allowance
of Rs. 387-2-11 granted by the British
Government as emoluments of the
office which was subsequently
commuted into a grant of land
revenue in 1872. He was also in
the enjoyment of certain Deam
lands granted by the former
Governments

* vide Board's
Proceedings of
the 4th January
1872.

* acres 47.89
bearing an
assessment
of Rs. 250-2-7.

Governments, for which the Enam
Commissioner has issued Title Deeds,
on condition that they be held
by him so long as the duties of
the Range Office are duly performed.

6. In conclusion I submit that
I concur with the Head Assistant
Collector in the opinion that no
additional Range is required.

7. As to the jurisdiction of
the present Range which was
defined in the Government
Notification dated 3rd June last,
to comprise all the villages
in the Chidambaram Taluk
south of the Vellar, Syed
Shah

21.
Shah Mohideen Bacha Saib
Jaghiradas of Killai, one of
the villages south of the Vellar,
recently advanced a claim to perform
the duties of Range in that tract
independently of the Range of
Chidambaram. The Head
Assistant Collector ~~who~~
called upon to report on the
claim states that the marriages
in that village have up to this
time been registered by the
claimant, and that the present
Range of Chidambaram has
no objection to his continuing to do
so in future. In para 2 of my
letter dated 23rd May last

No 34 I stated, on the authority
of a report received from Mr. Gilson,
that there were no private indi-
viduals who claimed to perform
the office of Kari in any villages
South of the Vellar. This report
is now found to be not altogether
~~correct~~ correct. No other similar
private claims have come forward
as regards other villages South
of the Vellar, and it is now more
than 3 months since the jurisdiction
of the present Kari was notified.
I therefore request that Govern-
ment will be pleased to cause
a revised notification to be
published in the Fort
Saint.

11.
Saint George Gazette exempting
the village of Killai from
the jurisdiction of the present
Kari of Chidambaram.

I have the honour

Sir,
Yours most obedient servant.

Collector.

Judicial Department. 15

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter from

The District Magistrate of South Arcot
27th Sep. 81. reporting on
2 petitions.

Order v. 4th October 1881,
No. 207.

Under the circumstances
stances represented,
the Govt. agree with the
District Magistrate
that an additional kazi
is not required for
South Arcot.

2. As suggested
in para 7 the following
revised notification will
be published in the
Fort St. George Gazette.

Notification

Referring to

1881. Department.

From

The Collector of

R.D.

South Arcot.

7 SEP 81

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue,

Madras.

Cotacamund

No. 59

Dated

Despatched

Received

22 } 27th Sep. 1881.
24 }
26 }

No. 59

ENCLOSURE. 2

Subject.

Reporting that there is
no necessity for appointing
an additional kazi
for the town of Chidambaram
& requesting to publish a
revised notification
exempting hillai from the
jurisdiction of the kazi
of Chidambaram.

Notification published
in the Fort St. George
Gazette under date the
7 June 1881, appointing
Mahomed Akmed Khader
Ali Sahab as Kazi for
the villages in the Taluk
of Chidambaram South
of the Vellar, as well as
for the town of Chidam-
baram, it is hereby
notified that the village
of Killai, south of the
Vellar, is exempted
from the jurisdiction
of the aforesaid Kazi.

3. The petitioners
will be informed by
endorsement that the
Govt. have received a
report from the District
Magistrate, and see
no sufficient reason
for the appointment

17
of an additional Kazi
for South Arcot.

J. F. 1881

Bl. Magli. Arcot - c (Desp. 8/10)
Gazette. (11/10)

Petition Bl. Magli. & for c. m. (Desp. 8/10)
to petitioners

21-2-81

206 words

18-3-81

1675700
26-2-32 BW
15711/33 W
15-8-39 V
1-8-40 V

309 3/17

Govt. of 188 } Madras.
DISPOSED OF
4 OCT 21
BY G.O. NO. 2043 (1889)

Dated }
Recd. } 188 , No.

Nos.
✓