

Judicial (1896) Department.

ORDINARY

Mis

CONFIDENTIAL.

G.O., No. 1198

Confidential No. 99

DATED 20-7-96

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sub-judge M R R. N. Swaminatha Aya
Asking for the opinion of the Govt Pleader
as to whether there are sufficient grounds
for filing a criminal prosecution against

PRINTED IN G.O., dated

13 Aug. 1896, No. 1295

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Dis. No. 69 of 1896.

Confidential.

CURRENT No. 32109

High Court of Judicature,

Madras, 15th July 1896.

49. 1174/1896. 20/7/96

From

D. W. G. Cowie, Esquire,

Registrar of the High Court of Judicature, Madras.

To

The Honorable Mr. J. F. Price, C.S.I.,

Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras.

Honorable Sir,

With reference to Government Order No. 1070, Judicial, dated 26th June 1896, requesting the Honorable the Judges of the High Court to be good enough to favor Government with their opinion on the Honorable Mr. Crole's report of his enquiry into the charges against Sub-Judge M.R. Ry. N. Saminatha Aiyar Avargal, I am directed to state that the Honorable the Judges have read Mr. Crole's report, but in view of the possibility of the matter coming before them judicially at some future stage, they consider it undesirable to express any opinion upon the evidence.

2. The records of the enquiry are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,

Honorable Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

D. W. G. Cowie

Registrar.

CURRENT No. 3209
Judicial (1896) Department.

From
The Registrar,
High Court of Judicature,
Madras.
To
The Hon. Chief Secretary
to the Government of Madras.

Dated 15th July
Sent 16th 1896.
Received

Dis. No. 69
1896

Enc: one-

Subject.

Declining to express any opinion
upon the Hon. Mr. Crole's report of
his enquiry into the charges against
M.R.Ry.N. Saminatha Aiyar Avargal,
Subordinate Judge of Masulipatan.

[G.]
24.
1,000-15-11-95.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated 29 - 4 - 1896, No. 786. Q.
Again G.O., dated 26 - 6 - 1896, No. 1070 - P
Current No. 3209 of 1896, dated 15/7, from High Court - A.
" " " 189 " " " "
" " " 189 " " " "

ORDER. No 1198. D 20 July 1896.

The Honble Mr. Crole's report
will now be forwarded to
the Govt. Pleader who will,
after consulting the Advocate
General, favour Govt. with his
opinion as to whether there
are sufficient grounds for
instituting a criminal prosecution
against the subjudge ^{in this} ~~on anyone~~
of the ^{two} ~~three~~ charges referred to herein.
18/7/96

Govt Pleader,

with Cuo. 2655 & its 63 encls.

Note

The enclosures have not yet
arrived, but they are probably
on

on their way-

The Govt. Pleader is entitled to advice from the advocate general (vide slip A) and the draft order is, it is submitted, in order.

The order follows His instructions ^{in 80.10708 26-6-96.} and need not, it is submitted, be circulated to Him at this stage.

At 10/96

Sub-judge Swaminatha Aiyar

FROM ORDERS.

C. S.

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for Signature.

Despatched.

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATE

NUMBER OF COPIES
REQUIRED. { Order complete.
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

Spare Copies.

Struck

Issued

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No

Total ..

In hand ..

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.